

Convolution of Orbital Measures on Complex Grassmannians

Mahmoud Al-Hashami and Boudjemâa Anouché

Communicated by B. Ørsted

Abstract. In [2] and [1], the regularity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the convolutions of orbital measures on a compact symmetric space of rank one was studied. The aim of this paper is to extend the results obtained in [1] to the case of complex Grassmannians. More precisely, let $M = U/K$, where $U = SU(p + q)$ and $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$, be the complex Grassmannian of a p -plane in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} , $p \geq q \geq 2$, a_1, \dots, a_r be r points in U , and consider the convolution product $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$ of the orbital measures $\nu_{a_1}, \dots, \nu_{a_r}$ supported on Ka_1K, \dots, Ka_rK . By a result of Ragozin [10], if $r \geq \dim M$, then $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of U . The aim of this paper is to investigate the C^k -regularity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$ with respect to the Haar measure of U .

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 43A77, 43A90; Secondary 53C35, 28C10.

Key Words and Phrases: Convolution of orbital measures, Grassmannians, spherical functions, Radon-Nikodym derivative.

1. Introduction

The regularity of the convolution of orbital measures on compact symmetric spaces was considered by several authors. In [10] Ragozin proved that the convolution of m orbital measures on a compact symmetric space $M = U/K$, with $\dim M = m$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of U . In [2] and [1], the regularity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the convolution of some orbital measures on compact symmetric spaces $M = U/K$ of rank one, with respect to the Haar measure of U , was considered. Following the philosophy which says that if a result is true for Grassmannians (real, complex, quaternionic) then it should be true for all compact symmetric spaces ([14], p. 29), we consider in this paper the smoothness of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a convolution of some orbital measures on complex Grassmannians. Let $M = SU(n)/S(U(p) \times U(q))$, where p and q are integers satisfying $p \geq q \geq 2$, and $n = p + q$, a_1, \dots, a_r be r -points of $U - N_U(K)$, where $N_U(K)$ is the normalizer of K in U , ν_{a_j} an orbital measure supported on Ka_jK , $j = 1, \dots, r$ (see section 2) and suppose

that the orbital measure $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure $\mu_{SU(n)}$ of $SU(n)$, and denote by f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} its density, or its Radon-Nikodym derivative.

Let k be a positive integer, and let s be a real number such that

$$s > k + \frac{n^2 - 1}{2} \text{ and } C(p, q, s) \geq 2pq, \quad (1)$$

where

$$C(p, q, s) := \frac{1 + 2s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}{2p - q}. \quad (2)$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem (Main Theorem). *Let k be a positive integer and s as in (1) and $C(p, q, s)$ as in (2). If*

$$r > C(p, q, s),$$

then

$$f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} \in C^k(SU(n)).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review few basic facts about orbital measures, Fourier transform, and Plancherel theorem. In section 3, we review basic facts about complex Grassmannians and restricted roots. In section 4 we review the properties of spherical functions on complex Grassmannians. In section 5 we give a proof of the main result of the paper.

2. Some Preliminary Results

2.1. Convolution of Orbital Measures.

Let G be a non-compact real semisimple Lie group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra, θ a Cartan involution, and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Then

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p},$$

is a real Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . Let U be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} . Then U is compact and semisimple. Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal Abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . Then

$$G = K \exp(\mathfrak{a}) K \text{ and } U = K \exp(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}) K,$$

where K is the Lie subgroup of U with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} .

Let a_1, \dots, a_r be points in $U - N_U(K)$, and define the following positive functionals

$$I_{a_j}(f) = \int_K \int_K f(k_1 a_j k_2) d\mu_K(k_1) d\mu_K(k_2), \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

where f is an arbitrary continuous function on U and μ_K is the normalized Haar measure of K , i.e., $\mu_K(K) = 1$. By a very well known result of Radon and Riesz, the positive functional I_{a_j} defines a positive Borel measure ν_{a_j} , i.e., there exist a positive Radon measure ν_{a_j} such that for all continuous functions f on U , we have

$$I_{a_j}(f) = \langle \nu_{a_j}, f \rangle = \int_U f(g) d\nu_{a_j}(g).$$

By construction, the measure ν_{a_j} is K -bi-invariant. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$a_j = \exp(\sqrt{-1}X_j),$$

for some X_j in \mathfrak{a} .

The convolution of the measures ν_{a_j} , $j = 1, \dots, r$, denoted by $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$, is the positive measure corresponding to the positive functional

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(f) &= \int_U \dots \int_U f(x_1 \dots x_r) d\nu_{a_1}(x_1) \dots d\nu_{a_r}(x_r) \\ &= \int_K \dots \int_K f(k_1 a_1 k_2 \dots k_r a_r k_{r+1}) d\mu_K(k_1) \dots d\mu_K(k_{r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$I_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(f) = \int_U f(g) d(\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r})(g).$$

In all what follows, to simplify the notations, we will denote $\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r}$ by $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$. The absolute continuity of the measure $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ with respect to the Haar measure of U is characterized in terms of its support as is explained in the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let a_1, \dots, a_r be as above. Then the measure $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of U if and only if $Ka_1 \dots Ka_r K$ has a non-empty interior.*

The proof of the Proposition relies on the following very well known result, for which we include a proof to make the paper self contained. Recall that the support of a measure μ on U , denoted by $\text{Supp}(\mu)$, is the complement of the largest open subset of U on which the measure μ is zero.

Lemma 2.2.

$$\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}) = Ka_1 K \dots Ka_r K.$$

Proof. Let $x \notin \overline{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})}$. Then there exists a neighborhood V of x such that

$$V \cap (\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})) = \emptyset.$$

Let f be a continuous function in U such that its support is in V , i.e.,

$$\text{Supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}} \subset V.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \cdots \int_U f(x_1 \dots x_r) d\nu_{a_1}(x_1) \dots d\nu_{a_r}(x_r) &= \\ &= \int_{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1})} d\nu_{a_1}(x_1) \cdots \int_{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})} f(x_1 \dots x_r) d\nu_{a_r}(x_r), \end{aligned}$$

and since for $x_j \in \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_j})$, for $j = 1, \dots, r$, we deduce that

$$x_1 \dots x_r \in \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r}),$$

hence $f(x_1 \dots x_r) = 0$. Hence $x \notin \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r})$. Consequently, we deduce that

$$\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}) \subset \overline{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})}. \quad (3)$$

Let \tilde{V} be an open subset of U , such that \tilde{V} is of $(\nu_{a_1} * \dots * \nu_{a_r})$ -measure zero, and let f be a nonzero continuous function with compact support $C \subset \tilde{V}$, and values in $[0, 1]$, $f|_C = 1$, and $f = 0$ outside of \tilde{V} . By assumption

$$\int_U \cdots \int_U f(x_1 \dots x_r) d\nu_{a_1}(x_1) \dots d\nu_{a_r}(x_r) = 0.$$

So the open set defined by

$$S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in U^r \mid f(x_1 \dots x_r) > \frac{1}{10}\}$$

is of $(\nu_{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \nu_{a_r})$ -measure zero, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S \cap \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \nu_{a_r}) &= S \cap (\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \times \dots \times \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})) \\ &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \longrightarrow x_1 \dots x_r$ is continuous, we deduce that

$$C \cap \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r}) = \emptyset.$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})} \subset \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}). \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) and (4), we get

$$\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}) = \overline{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})}. \quad (5)$$

Using the fact that, in a locally compact group, a product of a closed subgroup by a compact subgroup is closed (see [7], Theorem 4.4) and the fact that in our setting the group U is compact, an induction argument gives

$$\overline{\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r})} = \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_1}) \dots \text{Supp}(\nu_{a_r}). \quad (6)$$

The Lemma follows from (5), (6) and $\text{Supp}(\nu_{a_j}) = Ka_jK$. ■

As a consequence of the Lemma above, we deduce the following

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let μ_U be the Haar measure of U . Suppose that $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_U . By Lemma 2.2 we deduce that $Ka_1K \dots Ka_rK$ is of non-empty interior, for, if $Ka_1K \dots Ka_rK$ is of empty interior, then it will be of measure zero with respect to μ_U , and therefore $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ will be singular with respect to μ_U . Conversely, suppose that $Ka_1K \dots Ka_rK$ is of non-empty interior. Then the map $f : K^{r+1} \rightarrow U$ given by $f(k_1, \dots, k_{r+1}) = k_1 a_1 \dots a_r k_{r+1}$ is of maximal rank, i.e., $\text{rank } f = \dim U$. Let E be a μ_U -measurable set and suppose that $\mu_U(E) = 0$. By definition of $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}(E) &= I_{a_1 \dots a_r}(\chi_E) \\ &= \int_K \dots \int_K \chi_E(k_1 a_1 \dots a_r k_{r+1}) d\mu_K(k_1) \dots d\mu_K(k_{r+1}) \\ &= f_* \mu_{K^{r+1}}(E) = \mu_{K^{r+1}}(f^{-1}(E)), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_* \mu_{K^{r+1}}$ is the push-forward of the Haar measure on K^{r+1} . Using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [10], we deduce that

$$\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}(E) = 0.$$

We infer that $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure μ_U . Hence the proposition. \blacksquare

The following corollary is implicit in [10]

Corollary 2.3. *Let a_1, \dots, a_r be as above. If $r \geq U/K$ and U/K is a compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric space, then the measure $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of U .*

Proof. Since U/K is a compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric space, by [13], the condition 2.1 in [10] is satisfied. If $r \geq U/K$, then the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [10] implies that $Ka_1K \dots Ka_rK$ is of nonempty interior. The Corollary follows from Proposition 2.1. \blacksquare

2.2. Plancherel Theorem. Let U be a compact Lie group and let π be a unitary irreducible representation of U with a U -invariant inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on E_π . Consider the Hilbert space $\text{End}(E_\pi)$ with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product

$$(T, S)_{HS} = \text{Tr}(S^* \circ T) = \sum_i (Tv_i, Sv_i)$$

where $T, S \in \text{End}(E_\pi)$, S^* the adjoint of S and $\{v_i\}$ an orthonormal basis of E_π . Consider the Hilbert space direct sum

$$\text{Op}(\widehat{U}) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}} \text{End}(E_\pi)},$$

where the inner product is defined by

$$\langle (S_\pi)_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}}, (T_\pi)_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}} \rangle_{\text{Op}(\widehat{U})} = \sum_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}} \langle S_\pi, T_\pi \rangle_{HS}.$$

Plancherel theorem states that there exist an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} : L^2(U) \longrightarrow \text{Op}(\widehat{U})$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f = \left((\dim E_\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(f) \right)_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}},$$

such that

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(U)} = \langle \mathcal{F}f_1, \mathcal{F}f_2 \rangle_{\text{Op}(\widehat{U})},$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(U)$, where

$$\pi(f) = \int_U f(g) \pi(g^{-1}) d\mu_U(g).$$

Similarly, the Fourier transform of a measure μ on U , denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \left((\dim E_\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(\mu) \right)_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}},$$

where

$$\pi(\mu) = \int_U \pi(g^{-1}) d\mu(g).$$

Suppose that $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of U , and let f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ with respect to the Haar measure μ_U of U . Then $\pi(\mu) = \pi(f_{a_1, \dots, a_r})$, and consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}(\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}) = \mathcal{F}(f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}).$$

For more details, see [1] and [11].

Let $H^s(U)$ be the Sobolev space of functions in $L^2(U)$ whose weak derivatives up to order s are in $L^2(U)$, and denote by $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(U)}$ be the corresponding Sobolev norm. Using Plancherel theorem which says that

$$\|f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}\|_{L^2(U)} = \|\mathcal{F}(f_{a_1, \dots, a_r})\|_{\text{Op}(\widehat{U})},$$

and the properties of Fourier Transform, we deduce the following

Proposition 2.4 ([1]). *With the above notation defined, we have*

$$\|f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}\|_{H^s(U)}^2 = \sum_{[\pi] \in \widehat{U}_K} d_\pi (1 + \kappa_\pi)^s \prod_{k=1}^r |\varphi_\pi(a_k)|^2,$$

where \widehat{U}_K is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible spherical representations of the Gelfand pair (U, K) , $d_\pi = \dim E_\pi$, κ_π is the Casimir constant corresponding to the representation π , and φ_π is the spherical function corresponding to the spherical representation π .

3. The Case of $SU(p+q)/S(U(p) \times U(q))$

Let p and q be two integers such that $p \geq q \geq 2$, $n = p + q$, and let

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_j is the $j \times j$ identity matrix. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : SL(n, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ g &\longmapsto \tau(g) = I_{p,q} (g^*)^{-1} I_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $I_{p,q}^2 = I_{p+q}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G = SU(p, q) &= \{g \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \tau(g) = g\} \\ &= \{g \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid g^* I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The group $SU(p, q)$ is the linear isometry group for the indefinite Hermitian form

$$\sum_{j=1}^p |z_j|^2 - \sum_{j=1+p}^{p+q} |z_j|^2,$$

and it is a noncompact real form of the complexification $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ of G . Let $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be the Lie algebra of $SU(p, q)$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p, q) = \{A \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^* I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} A = 0, \operatorname{Tr}(A) = 0\},$$

and let

$$\theta(X) = I_{p,q} X^T I_{p,q}, \quad X \text{ in } \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$$

be a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$. The corresponding Cartan decomposition is given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p, q) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{k} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{u}(p), B \in \mathfrak{u}(q) \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}(B) = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ \bar{Z}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid Z \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

Let K be the compact Lie subgroup of $SU(p, q)$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} . Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of $SU(p, q)$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K &= S(U(p) \times U(q)) \\ &= \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A \in U(p), B \in U(q) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it can be seen that

$$SU(p, q)/S(U(p) \times U(q)) \cong D_{p,q}^I,$$

4. Spherical Functions of the Grassmannian

$\text{Grass}(p, q) = SU(p + q) / S(U(p) \times U(q))$

A highest restricted spherical weight λ of the symmetric pair

$$(SU(p + q), S(U(p) \times U(q)))$$

corresponding to the spherical irreducible representation π_λ is of the form (see [4])

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^q m_j^\lambda \alpha_j,$$

where m_j are even integers satisfying

$$m_1^\lambda \geq m_2^\lambda \geq \dots \geq m_q^\lambda \geq 0.$$

Let

$$a_l = \exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1^l, \dots, t_q^l)),$$

where $H(t_1^l, \dots, t_q^l) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, for $l = 1, \dots, r$. If we denote the spherical function corresponding to the spherical representation π_λ by φ_λ , then by Berezin-Karpelevich formula (see [3], [8], [4]), we have

$$\varphi_\lambda(\exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1^l, \dots, t_q^l))) = \frac{\vartheta(t_1^l, \dots, t_q^l) \det \left[\tilde{P}_{n_j^\lambda}(\cos t_k^l) \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq q}}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (\sigma(n_j^\lambda) - \sigma(n_k^\lambda))}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\vartheta(t_1^l, \dots, t_q^l) = \frac{2^{\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^q j! (j + p - q)^{q-j}}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (\cos t_j^l - \cos t_k^l)}, \quad (8)$$

and the integers $n_1^\lambda, \dots, n_p^\lambda$ are related to the indices m_j^λ of the weight π_λ above by

$$n_j^\lambda = m_j^\lambda + q - j, \quad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

hence $n_1^\lambda > n_2^\lambda > \dots > n_q^\lambda \geq 0$, and $\sigma(n_j^\lambda) = n_j^\lambda (n_j^\lambda + p - q + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{n_j^\lambda}(\cos t_k^l) &= \frac{P_{n_j^\lambda}^{(p-q, 0)}(\cos t_k^l)}{P_{n_j^\lambda}^{(p-q, 0)}(1)} \\ &= {}_2F_1 \left(-n_j^\lambda, n_j^\lambda + p - q + 1, p - q + 1, \sin^2 \left(\frac{t_k^l}{2} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where ${}_2F_1(\dots)$ is the Gauss hyper-geometric function and $P_j^{(a,b)}$ is the Jacobi polynomial. From now on, to simplify the notation, we put $m_j^\lambda = m_j$ and $n_j^\lambda = n_j$. Recall the following result of Darboux which gives the expansion of $P_j^{(a,b)} \left(\cos \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle t}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \right)$ for j large enough.

Lemma 4.1. (Darboux [5]) *Let a and b be real numbers. Then*

$$P_j^{(a,b)} \left(\cos \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} t \right) = \frac{\cos \left(\frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} jt + \frac{1}{2} (a + b + 1) \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} t - \frac{1}{2} a\pi - \frac{1}{4} \pi \right) + O \left(j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)}{\sqrt{j\pi} \left(\sin \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} t \right)^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\cos \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} t \right)^{b+\frac{1}{2}}},$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any subinterval $\delta \leq \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} t \leq \pi - \delta$, $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *For j a sufficiently large integer, and t a real number such that $\delta \leq t \leq \pi - \delta$, $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\left| \tilde{P}_j(\cos t) \right| \leq \frac{\beta(t)}{j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $\tilde{P}_j(\cos t)$ is defined as in (9).

Proof. Suppose that $j \neq 0$, $t > 0$, $t \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, hence $\sin t \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_j^{(p-q,0)}(\cos t) \right| &= \left| \frac{\cos(jt + \frac{1}{2}[p-q+1]t + \frac{1}{2}(p-q)\pi - \frac{1}{4}\pi) + O(j^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{\sqrt{j\pi} (\sin \frac{t}{2})^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}} (\cos \frac{t}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{j} \left| (\sin \frac{t}{2})^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}} (\cos \frac{t}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|} \leq \frac{c(t)}{\sqrt{j}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(t) = \frac{c}{\left| (\sin \frac{t}{2})^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}} (\cos \frac{t}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|}.$$

Since

$$P_j^{(p-q,0)}(1) = \frac{(p-q+1)_j}{j!},$$

and

$$\frac{j!}{(p-q+1)_j} = \frac{\Gamma(p-q+1)}{j^{p-q}},$$

where Γ is the Euler Gamma function given by $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \exp(-t) dt$, we have

$$\left| \tilde{P}_j(\cos t) \right| \leq \frac{\beta(t)}{j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where

$$\beta(t) = c(t)\Gamma(p-q+1).$$

■

Proposition 4.3. Let $\lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_q, 0, \dots, 0)$, with $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_q \geq 0$, and let $n_j = m_j + q - j$. For sufficiently large n_j we have

$$|\varphi_{\pi_\lambda}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1, \dots, t_q)))| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(2p-q)}} & \text{if } \lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_q, 0, \dots, 0), \\ & \text{where } m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_q > 0, \\ \frac{C(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(2p-q+3)}} & \text{if } \lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_q, 0, \dots, 0), \\ & \text{where } m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $j < k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(n_j) - \sigma(n_k) &\geq n_j(n_j + p - q + 1) - n_k(n_k + p - q + 1) \\ &\geq n_j^2 - n_k^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Combining (7), (10), and Hadamard inequality we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{\pi_\lambda}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1, \dots, t_q)))| &\leq \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \left| \det [\tilde{P}_{n_j}(\cos t_k)]_{1 \leq j, k \leq q} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \prod_{j=1}^q \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q |\tilde{P}_{n_j}(\cos t_k)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have two cases

Case 1 ($\lambda = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $m_q > 0$, hence also $n_q > 0$). As a consequence of

$$\begin{aligned} n_j^2 - n_k^2 &= (n_j - n_k)(n_j + n_k) && \text{where } j < k \\ &\geq (n_j + n_k) && \text{since } n_j - n_k \geq 1 \\ &\geq \sqrt{n_j n_k}, && \text{since } (n_j + n_k) \geq 2\sqrt{n_j n_k}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} n_j n_k = \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q n_j n_k = \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{(q-j)} n_{j+1} \dots n_q = \prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{(q-1)},$$

and Lemma 4.2, we get

$$|\varphi_{\pi_\lambda}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1, \dots, t_q)))| \leq \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \prod_{j=1}^q \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q |\tilde{P}_{n_j}(\cos t_k)|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j n_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^q \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q \left| \frac{\beta(t_k)}{n_j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}} \right|^2} = \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(q-1)}} \prod_{j=1}^q \left(\frac{\beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{n_j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)^q |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(q-1)} \prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{C(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(2p-q)}}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\beta(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q |\beta(t_k)|^2} \text{ and } C(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)^q |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|, \quad (11)$$

where $\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is defined as in (8).

Case 2 ($\lambda = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, hence $n_q = 0$). In this case we have

$$n_j^2 - n_k^2 = \begin{cases} n_j^2 & \text{if } k = q, \\ n_j^2 - n_k^2 \geq 2\sqrt{n_j n_k} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\tilde{P}_0(\cos t_k) = 1$, again, as a consequence of Hadamard inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{\pi_\lambda}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}H(t_1, \dots, t_q)))| &\leq \frac{|\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \left| \det [\tilde{P}_{n_j}(\cos t_k)]_{1 \leq j, k \leq q} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q} |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q |\tilde{P}_{n_j}(\cos t_k)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q} |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q-1} (n_j^2 - n_k^2)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} n_j^2 \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^q \left| \frac{\beta(t_k)}{n_j^{(p-q+\frac{1}{2})}} \right|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q} |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq q-1} \sqrt{n_j n_k}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} n_j^2 \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \left(\frac{\beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{n_j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^2 \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{p-q+\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{C(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{\frac{1}{2}(2p-q+3)}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \sqrt{q} \beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)^{q-1} |\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)|$, where $\beta(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is as in (11) and $\vartheta(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is defined as in (8). ■

5. Proof of the Main Theorem

Proposition 5.1. *With the above notation defined, we have $\|f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}\|_{H^s(U)}^2 \leq$*

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_r) \left(\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^q n_j^{r(2p-q)}} + \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q = 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q+3)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{r(2p-q+3)}} \right),$$

where $C(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is a positive constant depending on a_1, \dots, a_r .

Proof. It is known that $\kappa_\lambda > 0$ (see [6]). By Cauchy Schwarz,

$$\kappa_\lambda = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \leq \|\lambda + 2\rho\| \|\lambda\| \leq \|\lambda\|^2 + 2\|\rho\| \|\lambda\| \leq C \|\lambda\|^2,$$

where C is a positive constant,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} m_\alpha \alpha,$$

and $\Sigma^+ = \{\alpha_i, 2\alpha_i (i = 1, \dots, q), \alpha_i \pm \alpha_j, (1 \leq i < j \leq q)\}$ is the set of positive restricted roots. Since on a finite dimensional vector space all norms are equivalent, after changing the constant, if necessary, we can assume that

$$\kappa_\lambda \leq C m_1^2 \leq C n_1^2. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, Weyl dimension formula (see [6]) gives us

$$d_\lambda \leq (1 + \|\lambda\|)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \leq C m_1^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \leq C n_1^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}. \tag{13}$$

By Proposition 2.4, the estimates (12), (13) and Proposition 4.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}\|_{H^s(U)}^2 &\leq C(a_1, \dots, a_r) \left(\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q > 0} \frac{n_1^{2s+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^q n_j^{r(2p-q)}} + \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q = 0} \frac{n_1^{2s+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{r(2p-q+3)}} \right) \\ &\leq C(a_1, \dots, a_r) \left(\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^q n_j^{r(2p-q)}} + \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q = 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q+3)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} n_j^{r(2p-q+3)}} \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.2. *If $r > \frac{1 + 2s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}{2p - q}$, then the function f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} is in $H^s(U)$, i.e., $\|f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}\|_{H^s(U)} < \infty$.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_q > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^q n_j^{r(2p-q)}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \prod_{i=2}^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{k^{r(2p-q)}} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \prod_{i=2}^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{r(2p-q)}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and since $2p - q \geq p \geq 2$, hence $r(2p - q) \geq 2$, we deduce that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{r(2p-q)}}$ is convergent. Hence the series

$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^q n_j^{r(2p-q)}}$$

is convergent for

$$r > \frac{1 + 2s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}{2p - q}.$$

A similar argument implies that the series

$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_q = 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{r(2p-q+3)-2s-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^{q-1} n_j^{r(2p-q+3)}}$$

is convergent for

$$r > \frac{1 + 2s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}{2p - q + 3}.$$

Hence the Corollary. ■

Proof of the Main Theorem. Note first that

$$\dim(SU(n) / S(U(p) \times U(q))) = 2pq.$$

Let s be a positive real number for which $C(p, q, s) \geq 2pq$ and let r be a positive integer such that $r > C(p, q, s)$. Then by $\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of $SU(n)$, hence

$$d\nu_{a_1 \dots a_r} = f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} d\mu_{SU(n)}.$$

Since $r > C(p, q, s)$, by Corollary 5.2, $f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} \in H^s(U)$. Let k be a positive integer and choose the real number s as above and satisfying

$$s > k + \frac{n^2 - 1}{2}.$$

Then the Main Theorem is a consequence of the Sobolev Embedding Theorem ([1], Theorem 2). ■

Let k be a positive integer, such that

$$k > \frac{\dim SU(n)}{4} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}, \quad (14)$$

and let s be a real number such that

$$s > 2k + \frac{n^2 - 1}{2} \text{ and } C(p, q, s) \geq 2pq, \quad (15)$$

where $C(p, q, s)$ is as in (2). As a consequence of our Main Theorem and [12] (Theorem 1, part (1)), we get the following

Corollary 5.3. *Let k be a positive integer satisfying (14) and s a real number satisfying (15). If $r > C(p, q, s)$, then the Fourier series*

$$\sum_{[\pi] \in \widehat{G}} d_{\pi} \text{Tr}(\pi(f_{a_1, \dots, a_r}) \pi(g))$$

of the density function f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} converges absolutely and uniformly to f_{a_1, \dots, a_r} .

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Professor J. FARAUT for helpful conversations and to the referee for his careful reading of the paper.

References

- [1] Anchouche, B., and S. K. Gupta, *Smoothness of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a convolution of orbital measures on compact symmetric spaces of rank one*, Asian Journal of Mathematics, to appear.
- [2] Anchouche, B., S. K. Gupta, and A. Plagne, *Orbital Measures on $SU(2)/SO(2)$* , Monatsh. Math **178** (2015), 493–520.
- [3] Berezin, F. A., and F. I. Karpelevich, *Zonal spherical functions and Laplace operators on some symmetric spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR **118** (1958), 9–12.
- [4] Camporesi, R., *The spherical Paley-Wiener Theorem on the complex Grassmann Manifold $SU(p+q)/S(U_p \times U_q)$* , Proc. Ame. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 2649–2659.
- [5] Darboux, G., *Mémoire sur l'approximation des fonctions de très-grandes nombres et sur une classe étendue de développements en série*, J. Math. Pures Appl **4** (1878), 5–57.
- [6] Faraut, J., “Analysis on Lie Groups. An Introduction,” Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2008.
- [7] Hewitt, E., and K. A. Ross, “Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Volume I,” Second Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

- [8] Hoogenboom, B., *Spherical functions and differential operators on complex Grassmann manifolds*, Ark. Mat. **20** (1982), 69–85.
- [9] Mok, N., “Metric Rigidity Theorems on Hermitian Locally Symmetric Manifolds,” World Science Publishing, Singapore 1989.
- [10] Ragozin, D., *Zonal measure algebras on isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces*, J. Func. Anal. **17**(4) (1974), 355–376.
- [11] Sepanski, M. R., “Compact Lie Groups,” Graduate Texts in Mathematics **235**, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [12] Sugiura, M., *Fourier series of smooth functions on compact Lie groups*, Osaka J. of Math **8** (1971), 33–47.
- [13] Wolf, J., *The geometry and structure of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **120** (1968), 59–148.
- [14] —, “Harmonic Analysis on Commutative Spaces,” Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. i., 2007.

Mahmoud Al-Hashami
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
College of Science
Sultan Qaboos University
Oman
s100111@student.squ.edu.om

Boudjemâa Anchouche
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
College of Science
Sultan Qaboos University
Oman
anchouch@squ.edu.om

Received May 3, 2017
and in final form January 12, 2018