

Discrete Subgroups of a Locally Compact Group with Jointly Discrete Chabauty Neighborhoods

Hatem Hamrouni and Abdellatif Omri

Communicated by E. B. Vinberg

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact group. We denote by $SUB(G)$ the space of closed subgroups of G equipped with the *Chabauty topology*. A discrete subgroup Γ of G is said to admit a *jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood* if there exists an identity neighborhood U in G and an open neighborhood Ω of Γ in $SUB(G)$ such that every closed subgroup $L \in \Omega$ satisfies $L \cap U = \{e\}$. Recently, T. Gelander and A. Levit proved that every lattice in a semi-simple analytic group admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood. In this paper, we prove that G is a Lie group if and only if the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood, if and only if every discrete subgroup of G admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 22D05, 54B20, 22E40.

Key Words and Phrases: Locally compact group, Lie group, pro-Lie group, discrete subgroup, Chabauty topology, jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood.

1. Introduction and main result

Let G be a locally compact group with identity element e . We denote by $SUB(G)$ the space of closed subgroups of G equipped with the *Chabauty topology*; this is a compact space. In this space, each closed subgroup H of G has a neighborhood base consisting of sets

$$\mathcal{U}_G(H; K, W) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L \in SUB(G) \mid L \cap K \subseteq WH \text{ and } H \cap K \subseteq WL\}, \quad (1)$$

where K ranges through the set $\mathcal{K}(G)$ of all compact subsets of G and W through the filter $\mathcal{U}(e)$ of all neighborhoods of the identity (The statement “ U is a neighborhood of a point x ” is used in the Bourbaki sense throughout this paper; i.e., U is any subset which contains an open subset containing x). In particular, the trivial subgroup $H = \{e\}$ has a neighborhood base consisting of sets

$$\mathcal{U}_G(H; K, W) = \{L \in SUB(G) \mid L \cap K \subseteq W\}, \quad (2)$$

where $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}(e)$.

The Chabauty topology is named after Claude Chabauty, who introduced it in [7] to generalize Mahler’s compactness criterion to lattices in locally compact groups.

In [3] it is introduced in a measure theoretic manner. The space $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$ has been used in several contexts to obtain approximation properties of the collection of closed subgroups of a locally compact group, and is an essential ingredient in the emerging theory of invariant random subgroups ([1]).

Following [8, Definition 7.1], we say that a discrete subgroup Γ of a locally compact group G admits a *jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood* if there exists an identity neighborhood U in G and an open neighborhood Ω of Γ in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$ such that every closed subgroup $L \in \Omega$ satisfies $L \cap U = \{e\}$. Recently, T. Gelfander and A. Levit proved the following theorem ([8, Theorem 7.2]).

Theorem 1.1. *Every lattice Γ in a semi-simple analytic group G admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood.*

The main result of the present paper is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every discrete subgroup of G admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood.*
- (2) *The trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ admits a jointly discrete Chabauty neighborhood.*
- (3) *G is a Lie group.*

A family $\{H_\lambda \mid \lambda \in I\}$ of subgroups of topological group G is called *uniformly discrete* if $H_\lambda \cap V = \{e\}$ for some neighborhood V of the identity e and all λ ([16, Definition 1.1], see also [9, page 48]). As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 we obtain the following result of S. P. Wang ([16, Lemma 1.3]).

Corollary 1.3. *Let (H_n) be a sequence of closed subgroups of a Lie group G converging to a discrete subgroup H in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$; then $\{H_n \mid n \geq n_0\}$, n_0 a certain integer, is uniformly discrete.*

For further applications see [9] and [10, pages 25–27, Theorem 5.5].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 2.1. *A locally compact group G is a Lie group if and only if it has an open subgroup which is a Lie group.*

Proof. Let H be an open subgroup of G which is a Lie group. We have

$$G_0 = H_0, \tag{3}$$

where G_0 (resp. H_0) denotes the identity component of G (resp. of H). Since H_0 is open in H , then G_0 is open in G and so the factor group G/G_0 is discrete. On the other hand, from (3) we deduce that G_0 is a Lie group. Consequently, by Theorem 7 of [13], G is a Lie group. The converse is trivial, since any Lie group is an open subgroup of itself. ■

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a locally compact group and let \mathcal{F} be a filter basis of closed subgroups of G . If \mathcal{F} converges to e then the net $(N)_{N \in \mathcal{F}}$ converges to $\{e\}$ in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}_G(\{e\}; K, U)$ be a neighborhood of $\{e\}$ in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$, where $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}(e)$. As the filter basis \mathcal{F} converges to e , there exists $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $N \subseteq U$. It is clear that for any $M \subseteq N$ we have $M \in \mathcal{U}_G(\{e\}; K, U)$. Then $\lim_{N \in \mathcal{F}} N = \{e\}$. ■

Definition 2.3 (Pro-Lie group). A topological group G is *pro-Lie* if every neighborhood of the identity in G contains a compact normal subgroup N such that G/N is a Lie group.

Note that pro-Lie groups are locally compact. For a topological group G , let $\mathcal{N}(G)$ be the set of compact normal subgroups N of G such that G/N is a Lie group. As $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is stable under finite intersections, it is a filter basis of G .

Remark 2.4 (Remark 3.3 of [12]). For a locally compact group G , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) G is a pro-Lie group.
- (2) The filter basis $\mathcal{N}(G)$ converges to e .

We denote by $\mathbf{SUB}_d(G)$ the subspace of all discrete subgroups of a locally compact group G .

Proposition 2.5. *For every locally compact group G , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is a Lie group.
- (2) $\mathbf{SUB}_d(G)$ is open in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$.
- (3) The trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ is an interior point of $\mathbf{SUB}_d(G)$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): See Proposition E.1.5 of [2] (see also [3], [6, Theorem 1.3.1.4] or [5, Proposition 3.4 (iii)]).

The implication (2) \implies (3) is trivial.

(3) \implies (1): Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbf{SUB}(G)$ such that $\{e\} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbf{SUB}_d(G)$. Let A be an open almost connected subgroup of G (that is, the quotient group A/A_0 , modulo the connected component A_0 of the identity, is compact; see Lemma 6 of [4]). By Proposition 1 of [15], the identity mapping $\phi: \mathbf{SUB}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{SUB}(G)$ is continuous and then $\phi^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{SUB}(A)$. As a consequence of $\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{SUB}_d(G)) = \mathbf{SUB}_d(A)$ we have $\{e\} \in \phi^{-1}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathbf{SUB}_d(A)$. As A is a pro-Lie group ([14, page 175, Theorem 4.6]), then by Remark 2.4 and Proposition 2.2, the net $(N)_{N \in \mathcal{N}(A)}$ converges to $\{e\}$ in $\mathbf{SUB}(A)$ and therefore there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ such that $N \in \phi^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then N is discrete and so, by Theorem 7 of [13], A is a Lie group. As A is open in G , G is also a Lie group (Lemma 2.1). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The implication (1) \implies (2) is trivial.

(2) \implies (3): This follows from Proposition 2.5.

(3) \implies (1): Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G . Let U be a compact symmetric neighborhood of e which does not contain any non-trivial subgroup (see Proposition 2.17 of [11]) and such that

$$U^3 \cap \Gamma = \{e\}. \quad (4)$$

Let $\Delta = \mathcal{U}_G(\Gamma; U^2, U)$ be a neighborhood of Γ in $\mathcal{SUB}(G)$ and let $H \in \Delta$. As

$$\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L \in \mathcal{SUB}(G) \mid L \cap U^2 \subseteq U\Gamma, \Gamma \cap U^2 \subseteq UL\}$$

then $(H \cap U)^2 \subseteq H \cap U^2 \subseteq U\Gamma \cap U^2$. Using (4) we deduce that $U^2 \cap U\Gamma = U$ and so

$$(H \cap U)^2 = H \cap U. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, since U is symmetric then

$$(H \cap U)^{-1} = H \cap U. \quad (6)$$

Consequently, by (5) and (6) we deduce that $H \cap U$ is a subgroup of G contained in U and then $H \cap U = \{e\}$. Thus, there exists an open neighborhood $\Omega \subseteq \Delta$ of Γ such that every closed subgroup $L \in \Omega$ satisfies $L \cap U = \{e\}$. \blacksquare

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Professor Karl Heinrich Hofmann for many helpful discussions. We would also like to express our sincere thanks to Professor Ernest Borisovich Vinberg for his interest, comments and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Abert, Y. Glasner, B. Virag: *Kesten's theorem for invariant random subgroups*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014) 465–488.
- [2] R. Benedetti, C. Petronio: *Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin et al. (1992).
- [3] N. Bourbaki: *Éléments de Mathématique, Intégration, Chapitres 7-8*, Springer, Berlin et al. (2007).
- [4] J. Cleary, S. A. Morris: *Trinity... a tale of three cardinals*, Proc. Centre Mathematical Analysis **14** (1986) 117–127.
- [5] M. Bridson, P. De La Harpe, V. Kleptsyn: *The Chabauty space of closed subgroups of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group*, Pacific J. Math. **240** (2009) 1–48.
- [6] R. D. Canary, D. B. A. Epstein, P. L. Green: *Notes on notes of Thurston*, in: *Fundamentals of Hyperbolic Manifolds: Selected Expositions*, R. Canary, D. Epstein, A. Marden (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 328, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006) 1–115.
- [7] C. Chabauty: *Limite d'ensemble et géométrie des nombres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950) 143–151.
- [8] T. Gelander, A. Levit: *Invariant random subgroups over non-archimedean local fields*, arxiv 1707.03578 (2017).

- [9] T. Gelander, A. Levit: *Kazhdan-Margulis theorem for invariant random subgroups*, Advances in Mathematics **327** (2018) 47–51.
- [10] V. V. Gorbatsevich, O. V. Shvartsman, E. B. Vinberg: *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, in: *Lie groups and Lie algebras II*, A. L. Onischik, E. B. Vinberg (eds.), Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 21, Springer, Berlin et al. (2000) 1–123.
- [11] K. H. Hofmann, S. A. Morris: *The Lie Theory of Connected Pro-Lie Groups*, European Mathematical Society, Zurich (2007).
- [12] K. H. Hofmann, S. A. Morris, M. Stroppel: *Locally compact groups, residual Lie groups, and varieties generated by Lie groups*, Topology and its Applications **71** (1996) 63–91.
- [13] K. Iwasawa: *On some types of topological groups*, Ann. Math. 50 (1949) 507–558.
- [14] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin: *Topological Transformation Groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics 1, Interscience Publishers, New York (1955).
- [15] I. Schochetman: *Nets of subgroups and amenability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971) 397–403.
- [16] S. P. Wang: *Limit of lattices in a Lie group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968) 519–526.

Hatem Hamrouni
Faculty of Sciences at Sfax
Department of Mathematics
Sfax University
B.P. 1171
3000 Sfax
Tunisia
hatemhamrouni@gmail.com

Abdellatif Omri
Faculty of Sciences at Sfax
Department of Mathematics
Sfax University
B.P. 1171
3000 Sfax
Tunisia
omri_abdellatif@yahoo.fr

Received September 16, 2018
and in final form September 25, 2018