

The Strong Trotter Property for Locally μ -Convex Lie Groups

Maximilian Hanusch

Communicated by K.-H. Neeb

Abstract. We show that an infinite dimensional Lie group in Milnor’s sense has the strong Trotter property if it is locally μ -convex. This is a continuity condition imposed on the Lie group multiplication that generalizes the triangle inequality for locally convex vector spaces, and is equivalent to C^0 -continuity of the evolution map on its domain. In particular, the result proven in this paper significantly extends the respective result obtained by Glöckner in the context of measurable regularity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 22E65.

Key Words: Infinite-dimensional Lie groups, Trotter property.

1. Introduction

Let G be an infinite dimensional Lie group in Milnor’s sense, with exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \supseteq \text{dom}[\exp] \rightarrow G$. We say that G has the *strong Trotter property* (cf. [3]) if for each $\mu \in C^1([0, 1], G)$ with $\mu(0) = e$ and $\dot{\mu}(0) \in \text{dom}[\exp]$, we have

$$\lim_n \mu(\tau/n)^n = \exp(\tau \cdot \dot{\mu}(0)) \quad \forall \tau \in [0, \ell] \quad (1)$$

uniformly for each $\ell > 0$. As already shown in [3], this implies¹ the *strong commutator property*, and also the *Trotter-*, and the *commutator property* that are relevant, e.g., in representation theory of infinite dimensional Lie groups [9]. More importantly, Theorem I in [3] states that G has the strong Trotter property if it is R -regular. Now, R -regularity implies C^0 -continuity of the evolution map, so that Theorem 1 in [5] shows that G is *locally μ -convex*. This condition has originally been introduced in [2], and states that to each continuous seminorm \mathbf{u} on the modeling space E of G , and to each chart $\Xi: G \supseteq \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subseteq E$ of G around e with $\Xi(e) = 0$, there exists a continuous seminorm $\mathbf{o} \leq \mathbf{u}$ on E such that $\Xi^{-1}(X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \Xi^{-1}(X_n) \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\text{and} \quad (\mathbf{u} \circ \Xi)(\Xi^{-1}(X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \Xi^{-1}(X_n)) \leq \mathbf{o}(X_1) + \dots + \mathbf{o}(X_n) \quad (2)$$

holds for all $X_1, \dots, X_n \in E$ with $\mathbf{o}(X_1) + \dots + \mathbf{o}(X_n) \leq 1$.

¹Although in [3] $\text{dom}[\exp] = \mathfrak{g}$ is presumed, the proofs of the mentioned implications just carry over to the situation considered in this paper – provided, of course, that the definitions given in [3] for the (strong) commutator-, and the Trotter property are adapted in the obvious way.

Evidently, this condition generalizes the triangle inequality for locally convex vector spaces; and, in general (without regularity presumptions on G) is equivalent to C^0 -continuity of the evolution map on its domain, cf. Theorem 1 in [5]. In this paper, we show that local μ -convexity already suffices to ensure validity of (1), i.e., that we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is locally μ -convex, then G has the strong Trotter property.*

In particular, this drops the presumptions made in [3] on the domain of the evolution map, as well as the completeness presumptions made in [3] on \mathfrak{g} .

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we fix the notations, and discuss the properties of the product integral (evolution map) that we shall need in Sect. 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. The proofs of the facts mentioned but not verified in this section can be found, e.g., in Sect. 3 and Sect. 4 in [5].

2.1. Lie groups. In the following, G will denote an infinite dimensional Lie group in Milnor's sense [1, 4, 7, 8] that is modeled over the Hausdorff locally convex vector space E , with corresponding system of continuous seminorms \mathfrak{P} . We denote the Lie algebra of G by \mathfrak{g} , fix a chart

$$\Xi: G \supseteq \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subseteq E$$

with \mathcal{V} convex, $e \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\Xi(e) = 0$; and identify $\mathfrak{g} \cong E$ via $d_e \Xi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E$. Specifically, the latter condition means that we will write $\mathfrak{p}(X)$ instead of $(\mathfrak{p} \circ d_e \Xi)(X)$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ in the following. We let $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ denote the Lie group multiplication, $R_g := m(\cdot, g)$ the right translation by $g \in G$, and $\text{Ad}: G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the adjoint action, i.e., we have

$$\text{Ad}_g(X) := \text{Ad}(g, X) := d_e \mathfrak{c}_g(X) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{c}_g: G \ni h \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1} \in G$$

for each $g \in G$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

2.2. The product integral. Let $\mathfrak{K} := \{[r, r'] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid r < r'\}$ denote the set of all proper compact intervals in \mathbb{R} . The *right logarithmic derivative* is given by

$$\delta^r: C^1([r, r'], G) \rightarrow C^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g}), \quad \mu \mapsto d_\mu R_{\mu^{-1}}(\dot{\mu})$$

for all $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$. We let $\mathfrak{D} := \bigsqcup_{[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}} \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']}$ with $\mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']} := \delta^r(C^1([r, r'], G))$ for each $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$, and define

$$C_*^1([r, r'], G) := \{\mu \in C^1([r, r'], G) \mid \mu(r) = e\}$$

as well as

$$\text{Evol}: \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']} \rightarrow C_*^1([r, r'], G), \quad \delta^r(\mu) \mapsto \mu \cdot \mu(r)^{-1}$$

for all $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$. The *product integral* is given by

$$\mathfrak{f}_s^t \phi := \text{Evol}(\phi|_{[s, t]})(t) \in G \quad \forall [s, t] \subseteq \text{dom}[\phi], \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{D},$$

and we let $\mathfrak{f} \phi := \mathfrak{f}_r^{r'} \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}$ with $\text{dom}[\phi] = [r, r']$.

Remark 2.1. Evidently, for $[r, r'] = [0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{f} \phi$ just equals the “small evolution map”, usually denoted by evol in the literature. Moreover, $\mathfrak{f} \phi$ equals the Riemann integral for the case that $(G, \cdot) = (F, +)$ is the additive group of a Hausdorff locally convex vectors space F . The formulas (a)–(d) below then just generalize the respective formulas for the Riemann integral. ■

We have the following elementary identities:

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi \cdot \mathfrak{f}_r^t \psi = \mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi + \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi}(\psi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']}, \quad t \in [r, r'].$$

$$(b) \quad [\mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi]^{-1} [\mathfrak{f}_r^t \psi] = \mathfrak{f}_r^t \text{Ad}_{[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}}(\psi - \phi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']}, \quad t \in [r, r'].$$

(c) For $r = t_0 < \dots < t_n = r'$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi = \mathfrak{f}_{t_p}^t \phi \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{t_{p-1}}^{t_p} \phi \cdot \dots \cdot \mathfrak{f}_r^{t_1} \phi \quad \forall t \in (t_p, t_{p+1}], \quad p = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

(d) For $\varrho: [\ell, \ell'] \rightarrow [r, r']$ of class C^1 , we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_r^{\varrho(\bullet)} \phi = [\mathfrak{f}_\ell^\bullet \dot{\varrho} \cdot (\phi \circ \varrho)] \cdot [\mathfrak{f}_r^{\varrho(\ell)} \phi] \quad \forall \phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{[r, r']}.$$

Next, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we write ϕ_X for the constant map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto X \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $\phi_X \in \mathfrak{D}_{[0, 1]}$ holds, we define $\text{exp}(X) := \mathfrak{f} \phi_X$. Evidently, we have $0 \in \text{dom}[\text{exp}]$, and it is straightforward from (d) that, cf. Appendix A.1

$$X \in \text{dom}[\text{exp}] \quad \implies \quad \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot X \subseteq \text{dom}[\text{exp}]. \quad (3)$$

Then, we let $\mathfrak{DP}^0(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{g}) := \bigsqcup_{[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}} \mathfrak{DP}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$; where $\mathfrak{DP}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$ (for $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$) denotes the set of all maps $\phi: [r, r'] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that there exist $r = t_0 < \dots < t_n = r'$, and $\phi[p] \in \mathfrak{D}_{[t_p, t_{p+1}]}$ with

$$\phi|_{(t_p, t_{p+1})} = \phi[p]|_{(t_p, t_{p+1})} \quad \forall p = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

In this situation, we define $\mathfrak{f}_r^r \phi := e$, and let

$$\mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi := \mathfrak{f}_{t_p}^t \phi[p] \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{t_{p-1}}^{t_p} \phi[p-1] \cdot \dots \cdot \mathfrak{f}_r^{t_1} \phi[0] \quad \forall t \in (t_p, t_{p+1}] \quad (4)$$

for $p = 0, \dots, n-1$. A standard refinement argument in combination with (c) then shows that this is well defined (cf. Sect. 4.3 in [5]), i.e., independent of any choices we have made. It is furthermore not hard to see that for $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{DP}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$, we have $\text{Ad}_{[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}}(\psi - \phi) \in \mathfrak{DP}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$ with

$$[\mathfrak{f}_r^t \phi]^{-1} [\mathfrak{f}_r^t \psi] = \mathfrak{f}_r^t \text{Ad}_{[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}}(\psi - \phi) \quad \forall t \in [r, r']. \quad (5)$$

2.3. Some estimates. We recall several facts (cf. Sect. 3.4.1 in [5]).

i) For each compact $C \subseteq G$, and each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exists some $\mathfrak{q} \leq \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$, as well as $O \subseteq G$ open with $C \subseteq O$, such that

$$\mathfrak{q} \circ \text{Ad}_g \leq \mathfrak{m} \quad \forall g \in O.$$

- ii) Assume we are given $\mu \in C^1([0, 1], G)$, as well as $\ell > 0$ and $m \geq 1$ with $[0, \ell] \cdot [0, 1/m] \subseteq [0, 1]$. For $\tau \in [0, \ell]$, we define $\mu_\tau: [0, 1/m] \ni t \mapsto \mu(\tau \cdot t) \in G$. The chain rule yields $\delta^r(\mu_\tau)(t) = \tau \cdot \delta^r(\mu)(\tau \cdot t)$ for each $t \in [0, 1/m]$, so that

$$\alpha: [0, \ell] \times [0, 1/m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (\tau, t) \mapsto \delta^r(\mu_\tau)(t) \quad (6)$$

is continuous.

We say that $C^0([0, \ell], G) \supseteq \{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mu \in C^0([0, \ell], G)$ converges uniformly for $\ell > 0$ if to each neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ of e , there exists some $n_U \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\mu_n(t) \in U \cdot \mu(t) \cap \mu(t) \cdot U \quad \forall n \geq n_U, \quad t \in [0, \ell].$$

It is straightforward to see that

Lemma 2.2. *A sequence $C^0([0, \ell], G) \supseteq \{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mu \in C^0([0, \ell], G)$ converges uniformly if and only if to each neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$ of e , there exists some $n_V \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$\mu_n(t) \in \mu(t) \cdot V \quad \forall n \geq n_V, \quad t \in [0, \ell].$$

Proof. The proof is elementary, and can be found in Appendix A.2. ■

2.4. Continuity of the integral. As already mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1 in [5] shows that² local μ -convexity (2) is equivalent to continuity of the product integral on $\mathfrak{D} \cap C^k([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \{\text{lip}, \infty\}$, and $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$ w.r.t. the C^0 -topology, i.e., w.r.t. the seminorms

$$\mathfrak{p}_\infty(\phi) := \sup_{t \in [r, r']} \mathfrak{p}(\phi(t)) \quad \forall \phi \in \mathfrak{D} \cap C^k([r, r'], \mathfrak{g}) \quad (7)$$

for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$. It was furthermore shown in [5] that local μ -convexity implies that the product integral is continuous at zero on $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{g})$ w.r.t. the L^1 -topology, i.e., that the following proposition holds, cf. Proposition 2 in [5].

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that G is locally μ -convex. Then, to each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exists some $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{P}$, such that for each $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{g})$ we have*

$$\int_r^{r'} \mathfrak{q}(\phi(s)) \, ds \leq 1 \quad \implies \quad (\mathfrak{p} \circ \Xi)(\int_r^\bullet \phi) \leq \int_r^\bullet \mathfrak{q}(\phi(s)) \, ds$$

with $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{dom}[\phi] = [r, r']$.

Using (5), this generalizes as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that G is locally μ -convex, and let $K \subseteq G$ be compact. Then, to each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exist $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $O \subseteq G$ open with $K \subseteq O$, such that for each $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$, we have*

$$(\mathfrak{p} \circ \Xi)([\int_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}[\int_r^\bullet \psi]) \leq \int_r^\bullet \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) \, ds$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$ with $\text{im}[\int_r^\bullet \phi] \subseteq O$ and $\int_r^{r'} \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) \, ds \leq 1$.

²Here, one additionally has to apply Lemma 15 in [5], together with the observation that this lemma also holds if the interval $[0, 1]$ is replaced by some fixed arbitrary interval $[r, r'] \in \mathfrak{K}$ there.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$ fixed, we choose $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{q}$ as in Proposition 2.3. Since $K := K^{-1}$ is compact, by i), there exists some $\mathfrak{q} \leq \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$, as well as $O \subseteq G$ open with $K \subseteq O$, such that

$$\mathfrak{q} \circ \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \leq \mathfrak{m} \quad \forall g \in O. \quad (8)$$

Let now $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{D}\mathcal{P}^0([r, r'], \mathfrak{g})$ with $\text{im}[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi] \subseteq O$ and $\int_r^{r'} \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) ds \leq 1$ be given. We obtain from (8) that

$$\mathfrak{q}(\chi) \leq \mathfrak{m}(\psi - \phi) \quad \text{holds for} \quad \chi := \text{Ad}_{[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}}(\psi - \phi), \quad (9)$$

hence, $\int_r^{r'} \mathfrak{q}(\chi(s)) ds \leq \int_r^{r'} \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) ds \leq 1$. Then, Proposition 2.3 shows

$$(\mathfrak{p} \circ \Xi)(\mathfrak{f}_r^t \chi) \leq \int_r^t \mathfrak{q}(\chi(s)) ds \stackrel{(9)}{\leq} \int_r^t \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) ds \quad \forall t \in [r, r'].$$

Since $\mathfrak{f}_r^t \chi$ equals the right hand side of (5), we obtain

$$(\mathfrak{p} \circ \Xi)([\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \phi]^{-1}[\mathfrak{f}_r^\bullet \psi]) \leq \int_r^\bullet \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \phi(s)) ds,$$

which proves the claim. \blacksquare

3. The Strong Trotter Property

We now prove Theorem 1.1. We start with the following observation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be locally μ -convex, and assume that $L \cdot \phi \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathcal{P}^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ holds for $L \in \mathfrak{K}$ and $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Then,*

$$\Phi: L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G, \quad (\tau, t) \mapsto \mathfrak{f}_0^t \tau \cdot \phi \quad (10)$$

is continuous, thus has compact image.

Proof. Let $\tau \in L$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and $h, h' \in [-1, 1]$ be such that $\tau + [0, 1] \cdot h \subseteq L$ and $t + [0, 1] \cdot h' \subseteq [0, 1]$ holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} B_h &:= [\mathfrak{f}_0^t(\tau + h) \cdot \phi] \cdot [\mathfrak{f}_0^t \tau \cdot \phi]^{-1} \\ &= [\mathfrak{f}_0^t \tau \cdot \phi] \cdot \underbrace{[\mathfrak{f}_0^t \tau \cdot \phi]^{-1} \cdot [\mathfrak{f}_0^t(\tau + h) \cdot \phi]}_{C_h} \cdot [\mathfrak{f}_0^t \tau \cdot \phi]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

tends to e for $h \rightarrow 0$; because C_h tends to e for $h \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.4 applied to $K = \text{im}[\mathfrak{f}_0^\bullet \tau \cdot \phi]$. We obtain from (c) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tau + h, t + h') \cdot \Phi(\tau, t)^{-1} &= \underbrace{[\mathfrak{f}_t^{t+h'}(\tau + h) \cdot \phi]}_{A_{h'}^+} \cdot B_h \quad \text{holds for} \quad h' > 0, \\ \Phi(\tau + h, t + h') \cdot \Phi(\tau, t)^{-1} &= \underbrace{[\mathfrak{f}_{t-|h'|}^t(\tau + h) \cdot \phi]}_{A_{h'}^-} \cdot B_h \quad \text{holds for} \quad h' < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrands are bounded, Proposition 2.3 shows that $\lim_{h' \rightarrow 0} A_{h'}^\pm = e$ converges uniformly in h , from which the claim is clear. \blacksquare

Combining Lemma 3.1 with Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be locally μ -convex, and assume $L \cdot \phi \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ for $L \in \mathfrak{K}$ and $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Then, to each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exists some $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$, such that*

$$(\mathfrak{p} \circ \Xi)([\mathfrak{f}_0^\bullet \tau \cdot \phi]^{-1}[\mathfrak{f}_0^\bullet \psi]) \leq \int_0^1 \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \tau \cdot \phi(s)) \, ds$$

holds for each $\tau \in L$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ with $\int_0^1 \mathfrak{m}(\psi(s) - \tau \cdot \phi(s)) \, ds \leq 1$.

Proof. Let Φ be defined by (10). Since Lemma 3.1 shows that $K := \text{im}[\Phi]$ is compact, the claim is clear from Lemma 2.4. \blacksquare

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mu \in C^1([0, 1], G)$ with $\mu(0) = e$ and $\dot{\mu}(0) \in \text{dom}[\text{exp}]$ be given. We fix $\ell > 0$, let $X := \dot{\mu}(0)$, and choose $m \geq 1$ so large that $\ell/m \leq 1$ and $\mu([0, \ell/m]) \subseteq \text{dom}[\Xi] = \mathcal{U}$ holds. We obtain from (3) that $\{X_\tau := \tau \cdot X \mid \tau \in [0, \ell]\} \subseteq \text{dom}[\text{exp}]$ holds, hence

$$[0, \ell] \cdot \phi_X \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g}). \quad (11)$$

The hypotheses of Corollary 3.2 are thus fulfilled for $L = [0, \ell]$ and $\phi = \phi_X$ there. We proceed as follows.

- For $\tau \in [0, \ell]$ and $n \geq m$, we define

$$\chi_{\tau, n} := \delta^r(\mu_\tau)|_{[0, 1/n]} \quad \text{for} \quad \mu_\tau: [0, 1/m] \ni t \mapsto \mu(\tau \cdot t),$$

and let $t_p := p/n$ for $p = 0, \dots, n$. We furthermore let

$$\phi_{\tau, n}[p]: [t_p, t_{p+1}] \ni t \mapsto \chi_{\tau, n}(t - t_p) \quad \forall p = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

and define $\phi_{\tau, n} \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{P}^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ by putting $\chi_{\tau, n}$ n -times in a row, i.e., we let

$$\phi_{\tau, n}|_{[t_p, t_{p+1}]} := \phi_{\tau, n}[p]|_{[t_p, t_{p+1}]} \quad \forall p = 0, \dots, n-2$$

as well as $\phi_{\tau, n}|_{[t_{n-1}, t_n]} := \phi_{\tau, n}[n-1]$.

- For $\tau \in [0, \ell]$, $n \geq m$, and $0 \leq p \leq n-1$, we apply (d) to

$$\varrho_p: [t_p, t_{p+1}] \ni t \mapsto t - t_p \in [0, 1/n],$$

and obtain

$$\mathfrak{f} \phi_{\tau, n}[p] = \mathfrak{f} \chi_{\tau, n} \circ \varrho_p = \mathfrak{f} \dot{\varrho}_p \cdot \chi_{\tau, n} \circ \varrho_p \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathfrak{f} \chi_{\tau, n} = \mu_\tau(1/n) = \mu(\tau/n). \quad (12)$$

Then, (4) provides us with

$$\mathfrak{f} \phi_{\tau, n} \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathfrak{f} \phi_{\tau, n}[n-1] \cdot \dots \cdot \mathfrak{f} \phi_{\tau, n}[0] \stackrel{(12)}{=} \mu(\tau/n)^n. \quad (13)$$

- For each $\tau \in [0, \ell]$, $n \geq m$, and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$, we have

$$\mathbf{m}_\infty(\phi_{\tau,n} - \phi_{X_\tau}) \stackrel{(7)}{=} \sup_{t \in [0, 1/n]} \mathbf{m}(\chi_{\tau,n}(t) - \tau \cdot X)$$

with $\chi_{\tau,n}(0) = \delta^r(\mu_\tau)(0) = \dot{\mu}_\tau(0) = \tau \cdot \dot{\mu}(0) = \tau \cdot X$.

It follows from continuity of (6) in ii) that to each $\mathbf{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, there exists some $n_{\mathbf{m},\varepsilon} \geq m$ with

$$\mathbf{m}_\infty(\phi_{\tau,n} - \phi_{X_\tau}) < \varepsilon \quad \forall \tau \in [0, \ell], \quad n \geq n_{\mathbf{m},\varepsilon}$$

$$\text{implying} \quad \int_0^1 \mathbf{m}(\phi_{\tau,n}(s) - \tau \cdot \phi_X(s)) \, ds < \varepsilon \quad \forall \tau \in [0, \ell], \quad n \geq n_{\mathbf{m},\varepsilon}. \quad (14)$$

Let now $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ be fixed. We choose $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$ as in Corollary 3.2 for $L = [0, \ell]$ and $\phi = \phi_X$ there (recall that $[0, \ell] \cdot \phi_X \subseteq \mathfrak{D}P^0([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ holds by (11)), and let $n_{\mathbf{m},\varepsilon} \geq m$ be as in (14). Since

$$\int_0^t \tau \cdot \phi_X \stackrel{(d)}{=} \exp(t \cdot \tau \cdot X) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \tau \in [0, \ell]$$

holds, we obtain from (14) and Corollary 3.2 that

$$(\mathbf{p} \circ \Xi)(\exp(t \cdot \tau \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot \int_0^t \phi_{\tau,n}) \leq \int_0^t \mathbf{m}(\phi_{\tau,n}(s) - \tau \cdot \phi_X(s)) \, ds \stackrel{(14)}{<} \varepsilon$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $\tau \in [0, \ell]$, and $n \geq n_{\mathbf{m},\varepsilon}$. It is thus clear that to each open neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$ of e , there exists some $n_V \geq m$ with

$$\mu(\tau/n)^n \stackrel{(13)}{=} \int_0^1 \phi_{\tau,n} \in \exp(\tau \cdot \dot{\mu}(0)) \cdot V \quad \forall n \geq n_V, \quad \tau \in [0, \ell].$$

The claim now follows from Lemma 2.2. ■

Acknowledgements

The author thanks K.-H. Neeb for raising the question whether the strong Trotter property holds in the locally μ -convex context. He furthermore thanks K.-H. Neeb and H. Glöckner for general remarks on a draft of the present article; as well as an anonymous JoLT referee for his helpful suggestions concerning the presentation of this article. This work has been supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation of Germany.

A. Appendix

A.1. Appendix

Proof of Implication (3). It follows, e.g., from Lemma 11 in [5] that for each $n \geq 1$, the constant map $\phi_X^n: [0, n] \ni t \mapsto X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is in $\mathfrak{D}_{[0,n]}$. Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be fixed. Then, (d) applied to $\varrho: [0, 1] \ni t \mapsto s \cdot n \cdot t \in [0, s \cdot n]$ gives

$$\int_0^{s \cdot n} \phi_X^n \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 \phi_{s \cdot n \cdot X} = \exp(s \cdot n \cdot X) \quad \implies \quad s \cdot n \cdot X \in \text{dom}[\exp].$$

Since $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (0, n]$ holds, the claim follows. ■

A.2. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.2. The one direction is evident. For the other direction, let $U \subseteq G$ be a fixed neighbourhood of e . To establish the proof, it suffices to show that there exists a neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of e with

$$\mu(t) \cdot V \subseteq U \cdot \mu(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, \ell]. \quad (15)$$

Since $\text{im}[\mu]$ is compact, Theorem 4.9 in [6] provides us with an identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$, such that $\mu(t) \cdot V \cdot \mu(t)^{-1} \subseteq U$ holds for each $t \in [0, \ell]$, which is equivalent to (15). ■

References

- [1] H. Glöckner: *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*, in: *Geometry and Analysis of Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups*, A. Strasburger et al. (eds.), Banach Center Publications 55, Warsaw (2002) 43–59.
- [2] H. Glöckner: *Regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, and semiregularity*, arxiv 1208.0715 (2012).
- [3] H. Glöckner: *Measurable regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, arxiv 1601.02568 (2015).
- [4] R. Hamilton: *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982) 65–222.
- [5] M. Hanusch: *Regularity of Lie Groups*, arxiv 1711.03508 (2018).
- [6] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross: *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. I*, Springer, Berlin (1979).
- [7] J. Milnor: *Remarks on infinite dimensional Lie groups*, in: *Relativity, Groups and Topology II*, B. DeWitt and R. Stora (eds.), North-Holland (1984) 1007–1057.
- [8] K.-H. Neeb: *Representations of infinite dimensional groups*, in: *Infinite Dimensional Kähler Manifolds*, A. Huckleberry and T. Wurzbacher (eds.) DMV-Seminar 31, Birkhäuser, Basel (2001) 131–178.
- [9] K.-H. Neeb, H. Salmasian: *Differentiable vectors and unitary representations of Fréchet-Lie supergroups*, Math. Zeitschrift 275(1-2) (2013) 419–451.

Maximilian Hanusch
 Universität Würzburg
 Würzburg, Germany
 mhanusch@math.upb.de

Received April 15, 2018
 and in final form October 1, 2019