

Boundedness of Hausdorff Operators on Hardy Spaces over Homogeneous Spaces of Lie Groups

Adolf R. Mirotin

Communicated by G. Mauceri

Abstract. The aim of this note is to give boundedness conditions for Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces H^1 with the norm defined via $(1, q)$ atoms over homogeneous spaces of Lie groups with doubling property and to apply the obtained results to generalized Delsarte operators and to Hausdorff operators over multidimensional spheres.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 43A85, 47G10, 22E30.

Key Words: Hausdorff operator, Lie group, homogeneous space, Hardy space, generalized shift operator of Delsarte.

1. Introduction

One-dimensional Hausdorff operators were introduced by Garabedian and independently by Rogosinski (see [15, p.282]) as a transformations of functions of a continuous variable analogous to the regular Hausdorff transformations for sequences and series. Although occasionally one-dimensional Hausdorff operators appeared before 2000 (see [14] and [13]), the modern development of this theory begins with the work of Lifyand and Móricz [23] where Hausdorff operators on one-dimensional Hardy space were considered. The first reasonable result in several dimensions was given in [18]. For more details of the development of the theory of Hausdorff operators up to 2014 see [21], and [7].

Hausdorff operators on the Hardy space H^1 over homogeneous spaces of locally compact groups were first introduced by the author in [26] for the case of doubling measures, and in [29] for the case of locally doubling measures. The case of locally compact groups was considered earlier in [25] via the atomic approach. It is worth mentioning the first attempt of such kind for the Euclidean case [22]. On the other hand, the Corollary 2.9 of our main result is a wide extension of the Euclidean result [6, Theorem 2.1].

The aim of this work is to improve and generalize results from [26] to the case of Hardy spaces $H^1(G/K)$ with the norm defined via $(1, q)$ atoms when G is a Lie group and to apply the obtained results to generalized Delsarte operators and to Hausdorff operators over multidimensional spheres.

2. The main result

Let G be a locally compact metrizable group with left invariant metric ρ and left Haar measure ν . We assume that the following *doubling condition* in the sense of [9] holds: there exists a constant C such that

$$\nu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C\nu(B(x, r))$$

for each $x \in G$ and $r > 0$; here $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball of radius r centered at x . The *doubling constant* is the smallest constant $C \geq 1$ for which the last inequality is valid. We denote this constant by C_ν . Then for each $x \in G, k \geq 1$ and $r > 0$

$$(D) \quad \nu(B(x, kr)) \leq C_\nu k^d \nu(B(x, r)),$$

where $d = \log_2 C_\nu$ (see, e.g., [16, p. 76]). The number d takes the role of a "dimension" for a doubling metric measure space G .

A homogeneous group in the sense of Folland and Stein [12] (i.e., a connected simply connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra is equipped with dilations) enjoys the doubling condition and $C_\nu = 2^Q$, where Q stands for the homogeneous dimension of G [11, Lemma 3.2.12]. A complete Riemannian manifold, with Ricci curvature non-negative outside a compact subset of the manifold, satisfies the doubling condition, as demonstrated in [20, Lemma 1.3]. Compact Lie groups endowed with Riemann metric and Haar measure satisfy the doubling condition, too [9, p. 588, Example (7)]. For complete noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, the doubling property for the volume measure follows from the volume comparison inequality of Bishop and Gromov [5, Theorem 10.6.6].

We denote by $\text{Aut}(G)$ the space of all topological automorphisms of G endowed with its natural topology \mathcal{T}_β [1, Ch. X, §3, n 5], $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ denotes the space of linear bounded operators on a normed space Y .

Let K be a compact subgroup of G with normalized Haar measure β . Consider the quotient space G/K of left cosets $\dot{x} := xK = \pi_K(x)$ ($x \in G$) where $\pi_K : G \rightarrow G/K$ stands for the natural projection. We shall assume that the measure ν is normalized in such a way that (generalized) Weil's formula

$$\int_G g(x) dx = \int_{G/K} \left(\int_K g(xk) dk \right) d\lambda(\dot{x}) \quad (1)$$

holds for all $g \in L^1(G)$, where λ denotes some left- G -invariant measure on G/K (see [2, Chapter VII, §2, no. 5, Theorem 2] and especially remark c) after this theorem or [17, Proposition 10.4.12]). Here G -left invariance of λ means that $\lambda(xE) = \lambda(E)$ for every Borel subset E of G/K and for every $x \in G$. This measure is unique up to a constant multiple.

Henceforth we write dx instead of $d\nu(x)$ and dk instead of $d\beta(k)$. We shall write also $d\dot{x}$ instead of $d\lambda(\dot{x})$.

The function $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *right- K -invariant* if $g(xk) = g(x)$ for all $x \in G, k \in K$. For such a function we put $\dot{g}(\dot{x}) := g(x)$. This definition is correct and for $g \in L^1(G)$ formula (1) implies (recall that $\int_K dk = 1$)

$$\int_G g(x) dx = \int_{G/K} \dot{g}(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}. \quad (2)$$

The map $g \mapsto \dot{g}$ is a bijection between the set of all right- K -invariant functions on G (all right- K -invariant functions from $L^1(G)$) and the set of all functions on G/K (respectively functions from $L^1(G/K, \lambda)$).

Consider an automorphism $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ mapping K onto itself. Since

$$A(\dot{x}) := A(xK) = \{A(x)A(k) : k \in K\} = A(x)K = \pi_K(A(x))$$

we get a homeomorphism $\dot{A} : G/K \rightarrow G/K$, $\dot{A}(\dot{x}) := \pi_K(A(x))$. Then for every right- K -invariant function g on G we have $\dot{g}(\dot{A}(\dot{x})) = g(A(x))$. We put

$$\text{Aut}_K(G) := \{\dot{A} : A \in \text{Aut}(G), A(K) = K\}.$$

A ν -measurable function a on G is called an $(1, q)$ -atom ($q \in (1, \infty]$) if

- (i) the support of a is contained in a ball $B(x, r)$;
- (ii) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r))}$ if $q = \infty$, and $\|a\|_q \leq \nu(B(x, r))^{\frac{1}{q}-1}$ if $q \in (1, \infty)^1$;
- (iii) $\int_G a(x) d\nu(x) = 0$.

In case $\nu(G) < \infty$ we shall assume $\nu(G) = 1$; in this case the constant function having value 1 is also considered to be an atom.

Hereafter by atom we mean a $(1, q)$ -atom on G .

Definition 2.1. [26], [29] We define the *Hardy space* $H^1(G/K) = H^{1,q}(G/K)^2$ as a space of such functions f on G/K that admit an atomic decomposition of the form

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \dot{a}_j$$

where a_j are right- K -invariant $(1, q)$ -atoms on G and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| < \infty$. In this case,

$$\|f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} := \inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|,$$

and the infimum is taken over all decompositions above of f . ■

In other words, $f = \dot{g}$ where $g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$, a_j are right- K -invariant $(1, q)$ -atoms on G , and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| < \infty$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} = \|g\|_{H^{1,q}(G)}$.

Remark 2.2. Real Hardy spaces over compact connected (not necessary quasi-metric) Abelian groups were defined in [24].

Proposition 2.3. [29] *Let $G \neq K$. Then the space $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ is nontrivial and Banach.*

Definition 2.4. [26] Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, $(\dot{A}(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \text{Aut}_K(G)$ a family of homeomorphisms of G/K , and Φ a measurable function on (Ω, μ) . For a Borel measurable function f on G/K we define a *Hausdorff operator on G/K* as follows

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f)(\dot{x}) := \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f(\dot{A}(u)(\dot{x})) d\mu(u).$$

¹ As usual, $\|\cdot\|_q$ denotes the L^q norm.

² It is known that $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ does not depend on $q \in (1, \infty]$ [9, Theorem A, p. 592]. We write $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ instead of $H^1(G/K)$ in order to stress the fact that we use the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)}$ described below.

For the proof of our main result the next two lemmas are crucial. The first of them enables us to replace the “pointwise” definition of the Hausdorff operator by the vector one. Lemma 2.6, in turn, allows one to extend the technique from the Euclidean setting to the Lie setting.

Lemma 2.5. [25] *Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure μ , (X, m) be a measure space and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be some Banach space of m -measurable functions on X . Assume that the convergence of a sequence strongly in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ yields the convergence of some subsequence to the same function for m -almost all $x \in X$. Let $F(u, x)$ be a function such that $F(u, \cdot) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ for μ -almost all $u \in \Omega$ and the map $u \mapsto F(u, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is Bochner integrable with respect to μ . Then for m -almost all $x \in X$*

$$\left((B) \int_{\Omega} F(u, \cdot) d\mu(u) \right) (x) = \int_{\Omega} F(u, x) d\mu(u).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a (finite dimensional real or complex) connected Lie group with left invariant Riemann metric ρ . Then every automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $\|(d\varphi)_e\|$.*

Proof. Let $T_a(G)$ denote the tangent space for G at the point $a \in G$. Let $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ be the left translation in G . Then the tangent map

$$l_a := (dL_a)_e : T_e(G) \rightarrow T_a(G)$$

is a bijection. We fix the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $T_e(G)$ and introduce the norm in $T_a(G)$ by the rule $\|X_a\| := \|X_e\|$ if $X_a = l_a(X_e)$, $X_e \in T_e(G)$, $a \in G$. As is well known, for every $p, q \in G$

$$\rho(p, q) = \inf_{\alpha} \int_0^1 \|\alpha'(t)\| dt$$

where infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves α from $[0, 1]$ to G with $\alpha(0) = p$, $\alpha(1) = q$ ($\alpha'(t)$ stands, as usual, for the tangent vector to α at the point $\alpha(t)$). Since $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, the formula $\beta = \varphi \circ \alpha$ gives the general form of all piecewise smooth curves in G with $\beta(0) = \varphi(p)$ and $\beta(1) = \varphi(q)$. Thus, by the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varphi(p), \varphi(q)) &= \inf_{\alpha} \int_0^1 \|(\varphi \circ \alpha)'(t)\| dt \\ &= \inf_{\alpha} \int_0^1 \|(d\varphi)_{\alpha(t)} \alpha'(t)\| dt \leq \inf_{\alpha} \int_0^1 \|(d\varphi)_{\alpha(t)}\| \|\alpha'(t)\| dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is known (see, e.g., [3]) that for every left invariant vector field X on G (this means that $X_a = l_a(X_e)$ for all $a \in G$) the vector field $(d\varphi)(X)$ ³ is left invariant, too. In other words, $(d\varphi)_a(X_a) = l_a(d\varphi)_e(X_e)$, i.e., $(d\varphi)_a = l_a((d\varphi)_e)l_a^{-1}$ and therefore $\|(d\varphi)_a\| = \|(d\varphi)_e\|$ for all $a \in G$. The result follows. ■

Now we are in a position to prove the next theorem.

³ In [3] the map $d\varphi$ is denoted by $L(\varphi)$

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a (finite dimensional real or complex) connected Lie group with left invariant Riemann metric ρ and left Haar measure ν such that the space (G, ρ, ν) is doubling. Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure μ , and let $q \in (1, \infty]$. If*

$$\|\Phi\|_{A,q} := \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(u)| (\text{mod} A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d} d\mu(u) < \infty$$

where $k(u) := \|(d(A(u)^{-1}))_e\|$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}$ is bounded on the space $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1,q}(G/K))} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\Phi\|_{A,q}.$$

Proof. If we set $X = G/K$ and $m = \lambda$ the pair (X, m) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 with $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ in place of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Indeed, let $f_n = \dot{g}_n \in H^{1,q}(G/K)$, $f = \dot{g} \in H^{1,q}(G/K)$, and $\|f_n - f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\|_{L^1(G/K)} &= \int_{G/K} |\pi_K(g_n - g)| d\lambda \\ &= \int_G |g_n(x) - g(x)| dx \leq \|g_n - g\|_{H^{1,q}(G)} = \|f_n - f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

(by Hölder's inequality $\|a\|_1 \leq 1$ for each atom a), there is a subsequence of f_n that converges to f λ -a.e. Then Definition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 imply for $f \in H^{1,q}(G/K)$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f \circ \dot{A}(u) d\mu(u),$$

the Bochner integral (recall that $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ is a subspace of $L^1(G/K, \lambda)$ [9, p. 592], and thus we identify functions that equal λ -a.e.). Therefore (below $f = \dot{g}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(u)| \|f \circ \dot{A}(u)\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)} d\mu(u) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(u)| \|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1,q}(G)} d\mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

If $g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ then

$$g \circ A(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \circ A(u). \quad (3)$$

We claim that $b_{j,u} := C_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{q}-1} (\text{mod}(A(u)))^{\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(\frac{1}{q}-1)s} a_j \circ A(u)$ is an atom, too. Indeed, Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$A(u)^{-1}(B(x, r)) \subseteq B(x', k(u)r),$$

where $x' = A(u)^{-1}(x)$. If a_j is supported in $B(x_j, r_j)$ then $b_{j,u}$ is supported in $B(x'_j, k(u)r_j)$. So the condition (i) holds for $b_{j,u}$.

Next, by the property (D) we have

$$\nu(B(x_j, k(u)r_j)) \leq C_{\nu} k(u)^d \nu(B(x_j, r_j)).$$

This estimate yields in view of (ii) and the left invariance of ρ and ν that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_j \circ A(u)\|_q &= \left(\int_G |a_j \circ A(u)| d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|a_j\|_q) \\ &\leq (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} (\nu(B(x_j, r_j)))^{\frac{1}{q}-1}) \leq (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\nu(B(x_j, k(u)r_j))}{C_\nu k(u)^d} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1}) \\ &= \left(C_\nu^{\frac{1}{q}-1} (\text{mod}(A(u))^{\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(\frac{1}{q}-1)d}) \right)^{-1} (\nu(B(x'_j, k(u)r_j)))^{\frac{1}{q}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (ii) holds for $b_{j,u}$, too.

Finally, the validity of (iii) follows from [2, VII.1.4, formula (31)].

Since formula (3) can be rewritten in the form

$$g \circ A(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\lambda_j C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d}) \right) b_{j,u},$$

we have
$$\|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1,q}(G)} \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|.$$

It follows that (recall that $f = \dot{g}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1,q}(G)} &\leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d}) \|g\|_{H^{1,q}(G)} \\ &= C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} (\text{mod}(A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d}) \|f\|_{H^{1,q}(G/K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1,q}(G/K))} \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(u)| (\text{mod}A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{d(1-\frac{1}{q})} d\mu(u)$$

and the proof is complete. ■

Setting in Theorem 2.7 $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) with counting measure μ we get a result for discrete Hausdorff operators. In connection with the following corollary, we note that the particular case of the discrete Hausdorff operator was introduced in [6]. More general cases were considered in [27], and [28].

Corollary 2.8. *Let (G, ρ, ν) and K be as in Theorem 2.7, $(\dot{A}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \subset \text{Aut}_K(G)$, and $q \in (1, \infty]$. If $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that*

$$\|\Phi\|_{A,q} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^m} |\Phi(n)| (\text{mod}A(n))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(n)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d} < \infty,$$

then the discrete Hausdorff operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f(\dot{x}) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \Phi(n) f(\dot{A}(n)(\dot{x})) \tag{4}$$

is bounded on the space $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1,q}(G/K))} \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\Phi\|_{A,q}.$$

(The right-hand side in (4) is considered as a Lebesgue integral with respect to the counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^m .)

As a special case of Theorem 2.7 for $K = \{e\}$ (e denotes the unit of G) one has

Corollary 2.9. (cf. [25]) *Let (G, ρ, ν) and (Ω, μ) be as in the Theorem 2.7, $(A(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$, and $q \in (1, \infty]$. If $\|\Phi\|_{A,q} < \infty$ then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$ is bounded on $H^{1,q}(G)$ and*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1,q}(G))} \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\Phi\|_{A,q}.$$

Remark 2.10. The condition $\|\Phi\|_{A,q} < \infty$ is not necessary for boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$ in Hardy space as the following simple example shows⁴. Consider the Hausdorff operator

$$(\mathcal{H}_0 f)(x) := \int_\Omega f(u_1 x_1, \dots, u_n x_n) du$$

in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Omega = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : u_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n\}$, μ and ν are Lebesgue measures on Ω and \mathbb{R}^n respectively, $K = \{0\}$, $A(u)(x) = A_u x$, where $A_u = \text{diag}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a column vector, $u \in \Omega$), $\Phi \equiv 1$, $d = n$. The necessary moment condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u) du = 0$ for functions from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ yields that $\mathcal{H}_0 f = 0$ for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, $\text{mod}A(u) = |\det A_u| = |u_1 \dots u_n|$ [4, Subsection VII.1.10, Corollary 1], $(dA(u)^{-1})_0 X = A_u^{-1} X$ ($X \in \mathbb{R}^n$), and $k(u) = \|A_u^{-1}\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-2})^{1/2} \geq n^{1/2} |u_1 \dots u_n|^{-1/n}$. Then

$$\|\Phi\|_{A,q} = \int_\Omega (\text{mod}A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d} du \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})n} \int_\Omega \frac{du}{|u_1 \dots u_n|} = \infty.$$

3. Examples

3.1. Generalized shift operator of Delsarte. Let G be as above and \mathfrak{A} a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ with normalized Haar measure m . Recall that the generalized shift operator of Delsarte [10], [19, Ch. I, §2] (also the terms “generalized translation operator of Delsarte”, or “generalized displacement operator of Delsarte” are used) is defined to be

$$T^x f(h) = \int_{\mathfrak{A}} f(ha(x)) dm(a) \quad (x, h \in G).$$

Since the group G acts on G/K , one can define a generalization of this operator to G/K as follows. Let $\Omega := \{u \in \mathfrak{A} : u(K) = K\}$. Then Ω is a compact subgroup of \mathfrak{A} . We denote by μ the normalized Haar measure of Ω and put for a Borel measurable function f on G/K

$$T^{\dot{x}} f(h) := \int_\Omega f(h\dot{u}(\dot{x})) d\mu(u) \quad (\dot{x} \in G/K, h \in G).$$

Let h be fixed and $L^h f(\dot{x}) := T^{\dot{x}} f(h)$. Then $L^h = \mathcal{H}_1 \tau_h$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_1 f(\dot{x}) := \int_\Omega f(\dot{u}(\dot{x})) d\mu(u)$$

is a Hausdorff operator on G/K with $\Phi \equiv 1$ and $A(u) = u$, and $\tau_h f(\dot{x}) := f(h\dot{x})$.

Note that mod is a continuous homomorphism from $\text{Aut}(G)$ to the multiplicative group $(0, \infty)$. Since Ω is a compact group, it follows that $\text{mod}(\Omega) = \{1\}$.

Assume that the doubling condition for the Lie group G holds and Ω is quasi-metric.

⁴The sufficient boundedness conditions from [18] and [8] are also not met in this example.

Then the operator \mathcal{H}_1 is bounded on $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ by Theorem 2.7 and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_1\| \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_\Omega k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})s} d\mu(u)$$

where $k(u) = \|(d(u^{-1}))_e\|$. Since τ_h is an isometry of $H^{1,q}(G/K)$, we conclude that the operator L^h is bounded on $H^{1,q}(G/K)$ and

$$\|L^h\| \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_\Omega k(u)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})d} d\mu(u).$$

3.2. Hausdorff operators on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (the case $n = 3$ was studied in [29]). The compact group $G = SO(n)$ acts on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} transitively by restriction of the natural action of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^n . It is known that the isotropy subgroup K of the point $e_n := (0, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ consists of all elements in $SO(n)$ of the form

$$\tilde{a} := \begin{pmatrix} a & \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $a \in SO(n-1)$. Hence we identify \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with the homogeneous space $SO(n)/K$. Let $s \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. If a matrix $x(s) \in SO(n-1)$ satisfies $s = x(s)e_n^\top$ we can identify the point s with the coset $\dot{x}(s) := x(s)K$.

Consider the set of automorphisms of $G = SO(n)$ of the form

$$A(u)(x) = \tilde{u}^{-1}x\tilde{u}, \quad u \in O(n-1).$$

Since every mapping $x \mapsto u^{-1}xu$ with $u \in O(n-1)$ maps $SO(n-1)$ onto itself (being a connected component of unit in $O(n-1)$ the group $SO(n-1)$ is a normal subgroup of $O(n-1)$), we have in our case that all automorphisms $A(u)$ where $u \in O(n-1)$ map K onto K . Then by definition the coset

$$\dot{A}(u)(\dot{x}(s)) = \pi_K(\tilde{u}^{-1}x(s)\tilde{u})$$

can be identified with the point

$$\tilde{u}^{-1}x(s)\tilde{u}e_n^\top = \tilde{u}^{-1}x(s)e_n^\top = \tilde{u}^{-1}s = (u^{-1}s', s_n), \quad s' := (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}),$$

of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Thus, Definition 2.4 takes the form (we put $x = x(s)$ in this definition and identify the coset $\dot{x}(s)$ with a column vector $s \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$)

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,\mu}f)(s) = \int_{O(n-1)} \Phi(u)f(u^{-1}s', s_n)d\mu(u) \tag{5}$$

where μ stands for a (regular Borel) measure on $O(n-1)$ and f is a Borel measurable function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Note that the point $(u^{-1}s', s_n)$ runs over the cross-section of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} by the hyperplane $\{x = s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (which contains s) orthogonal to the last coordinate axis when u runs over $O(n-1)$. So (5) looks as a ‘‘horizontal slice transform’’ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

To apply Theorem 2.7 first we show that $k(u) = 1$ for $u \in O(n-1)$. Indeed, $k(u) = \|d(A(u^{-1}))_{1_n}\|$ (here 1_n stands for the unit $n \times n$ matrix).

It is easy to verify that for every $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, the Lie algebra of $SO(n)$

$$d(A(u^{-1}))_{1_n}X = \tilde{u}X\tilde{u}^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, $\tilde{u} \in O(n)$ for $u \in O(n-1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(A(u^{-1}))_{1_n}\| &= \max_{\|X\|=1, \|Y\|=1} |\langle d(A(u^{-1}))_{1_n}X, d(A(u^{-1}))_{1_n}Y \rangle| \\ &= \max_{\|X\|=1, \|Y\|=1} |\langle \tilde{u}X\tilde{u}^{-1}, \tilde{u}Y\tilde{u}^{-1} \rangle| = \max_{\|X\|=1, \|Y\|=1} |\langle X, Y \rangle| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the Euclidean inner product). Since $SO(n)$ is compact, it is doubling [9]. Next, since $SO(n)$ is unimodular, we get that $\text{mod}A = 1$ for all $A \in \text{Aut}(SO(n))$. So if $\Phi \in L^1(O(n-1), \mu)$ [26, Theorem 1] yields that the operator (5) is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and $\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu)}$. Moreover, Theorem 2.7 yields that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1,q}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))} \leq C_\nu^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu)}$$

where C_ν is the doubling constant for $SO(n)$.

Let us finally consider the following special case. Let $\Phi \equiv 1$ and m be a Haar measure of the (compact) group $O(n-1)$. Then for every $f \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ the function

$$(\mathcal{H}_{1,m}f)(s) = \int_{O(n-1)} f(u^{-1}s', s_n) dm(u)$$

belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. On the other hand, this function depends on s_n only. Indeed, $(s', s_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ if and only if s' belongs to the sphere \mathbb{S}_r^{n-2} centered at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of radius $r = \sqrt{1 - s_n^2}$. Fix $s'_0 \in \mathbb{S}_r^{n-2}$. Since $SO(n-1)$ acts transitively on \mathbb{S}_r^{n-2} , for every $s' \in \mathbb{S}_r^{n-2}$ there is such $v \in SO(n-1)$ that $vs'_0 = s'$. Taking into account that $O(n-1)$ is unimodular, we get

$$(\mathcal{H}_{1,m}f)(s) = \int_{O(n-1)} f(avs'_0, s_n) dm(u) = \int_{O(n-1)} f(us'_0, s_n) dm(u)$$

which completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the State Program of Scientific Research of the Republic of Belarus and the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia, Agreement No. 075-02-2021-1386. The author thanks the referee for useful comments and remarks that improve the presentation.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *General Topology. Chapters 5–10*, Springer, Berlin (1989).
- [2] N. Bourbaki: *Elements of Mathematics. Integration II, Chapters VII–IX*, Springer, Berlin (2004).
- [3] N. Bourbaki: *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Chapters 1–3*, Springer, Berlin (1989).
- [4] N. Bourbaki: *Éléments de Mathématique. Livre VI: Intégration, Chapitres 1–9*, 2nd edition, Hermann, Paris (1965–1969).
- [5] D. Burago, Yu. Burago, S. Ivanov: *A Course in Metric Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001).
- [6] J.-C. Chen, D.-S. Fan, J. Li: *Hausdorff operators on function spaces*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 33 (2012) 537–556.
- [7] J.-C. Chen, D.-S. Fan, S.-L. Wang: *Hausdorff operators on Euclidean space*, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. 28 (2013) 548–564.
- [8] J.-C. Chen, X. Zhu: *Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , J. Math. Analysis Appl. 409 (2014) 428–434.

- [9] R. R. Coifman, G. Weiss: *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977) 569–645.
- [10] J. Delsarte: *Hypergroupes et operateurs de permutation et de transmutation*, Coll. Internat. Centre Nat. Rech. Sci. 71 (1956) 29–45.
- [11] V. Fischer, M. Ruzhansky: *Quantization on Nilpotent Lie Groups*, Progress in Mathematics 314, Birkhäuser, Basel (2016).
- [12] G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein: *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Mathematical Notes 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1982).
- [13] C. Georgakis: *The Hausdorff mean of a Fourier-Stieltjes transform*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992) 465–471.
- [14] R. R. Goldberg: *Certain operators and Fourier transforms on L^2* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959) 385–390.
- [15] G. H. Hardy: *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1949).
- [16] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, J. T. Tyson: *Sobolev Spaces on Metric Measure Spaces. An Approach Based on Upper Gradients*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015).
- [17] J. Hilgert, K.-H. Neeb: *Structure and Geometry of Lie Groups*, Springer, New York (2012).
- [18] A. Lerner, E. Lifyand: *Multidimensional Hausdorff operators on the real Hardy space*, J. Australian Math. Soc. 83 (2007) 79–86.
- [19] B. M. Levitan: *The Theory of Generalized Displacement Operators (Russian)*, Nauka Moscow (1973); English translation: *Generalized Translation Operators and Some of Their Applications*, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem (1964).
- [20] P. Li, L.-F. Tam: *Green's functions, harmonic functions, and volume comparison*, J. Diff. Geometry 41 (1995) 277–318.
- [21] E. Lifyand: *Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces*, Euras. Math. J. 4 (2013) 101–141.
- [22] E. Lifyand: *Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008) 845–851.
- [23] E. Lifyand, F. Móricz: *The Hausdorff operator is bounded on the real Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000) 1391–1396.
- [24] A. R. Mirotin: *On the general form of linear functionals on the Hardy spaces H^1 over compact Abelian groups and some of its applications*, Indag. Math. 28 (2017) 451–462.
- [25] A. R. Mirotin: *Boundedness of Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces H^1 over locally compact groups*, J. Math. Analysis Appl. 473 (2019) 519–533.
- [26] A. R. Mirotin: *Hausdorff operators on homogeneous spaces of locally compact groups*, J. Belarusian State University, Math. Mech. 2 (2020) 28–35; *Letter to the editors*, ibid. 3 (2020) 92.
- [27] A. R. Mirotin: *On the description of multidimensional normal Hausdorff operators on Lebesgue spaces*, Forum Math. 32 (2020) 111–119.
- [28] A. R. Mirotin: *A Hausdorff operator with commuting family of perturbation matrices is a non-Riesz operator*, Russian J. Math. Phys. 27 (2020) 488–494.
- [29] A. R. Mirotin: *Hausdorff operators on real Hardy spaces H^1 over homogeneous spaces with local doubling property*, Analysis Mathematica 47 (2021) 385–403.

Adolf R. Mirotin, Dept. of Mathematics and Programming Technologies, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Sovietskaya 104, Gomel 246019, Belarus; and: Regional Mathematical Center, Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russia; amirotin@yandex.ru.

Received February 20, 2021
and in final form May 17, 2021