

Nilpotent Orbits of Kac-Moody Algebras and Their Parameterization for $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$

Esther Galina and Lorena Valencia

Communicated by R. Avdeev

Abstract. In the context of affine complex Kac-Moody algebras, we define the meaning of nilpotent orbits under the adjoint action of the maximal Kac-Moody group. We also give a parameterization of nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B67.

Key Words: Nilpotent Orbits, Kac Moody algebras, Kac Moody groups.

1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra. Consider the adjoint group \dot{G}_{ad} of \mathfrak{g} and the adjoint representation Ad of \dot{G}_{ad} over \mathfrak{g} . We say $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} if $\text{ad}x$ is a nilpotent endomorphism over \mathfrak{g} . If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent then so is $\text{Ad}g(x)$ for all $g \in \dot{G}_{\text{ad}}$. This fact allows us to define nilpotent orbits in a semisimple Lie algebra: if $x \in \dot{G}_{\text{ad}}$ is nilpotent, then the *nilpotent orbit* through x is

$$\mathfrak{O}_x = \text{Ad}\dot{G}_{\text{ad}}(x).$$

Nilpotent orbits have several applications in representation theory of Lie algebras and groups, algebraic groups, Weyl groups, and other related objects. For that, there has been huge interest in their study and classification. Dynkin and Kostant gave a classification of such orbits by using weighted Dynkin diagrams [Co]. In the particular case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the nilpotent elements in \mathfrak{g} are the nilpotent endomorphisms. In general, for the classical complex semisimple Lie algebras, the normal Jordan form allows us to parameterize the nilpotent orbits of \mathfrak{g} by partitions of n . In the case of exceptional complex semisimple Lie algebras, even though there is a correspondence between nilpotent orbits and weighted Dynkin diagrams, Bala and Carter determined which weighted Dynkin diagram corresponds to a nilpotent orbit of the algebra. For classical and exceptional real semisimple Lie algebras the classification was done by Djokovic in [5] and [6]. Later, Noël gives an analogous of the Bala-Carter classification for the real case [17] and there is a way to associate a weighted Vogan diagram to each real nilpotent orbit in [8].

The complex Kac-Moody algebras are generalizations of finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras defined by generators and relations from a generalized Cartan matrix. There are three types of indecomposable Kac-Moody algebras:

those of finite type (finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras), the affine and the indefinite ones. The affine Kac-Moody algebras are the most widely studied infinite dimensional Lie algebras and they have several applications. They are completely classified and they have a very interesting representations theory. Both, the classification and its representation theory, are similar to those of simple Lie algebras.

This analogy has motivated the question of whether it is possible to speak of nilpotent orbits in the affine context and, in this case, how to obtain a classification or parameterization of these objects. The results obtained, presented in this paper, are the product of the doctoral thesis of Lorena Valencia at the Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (Argentina).

As a first step, it is necessary to choose an appropriate group acting on the affine Kac-Moody algebra that assumes the role of the adjoint Lie group in the case of simple Lie algebras of finite dimension.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ the loop algebra associated with \mathfrak{g} . Then, the algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$$

is an *affine Kac Moody algebra* with bracket given by

$$\begin{aligned} [t^m \otimes x + \lambda c + \mu d, t^n \otimes y + \lambda' c + \mu' d] = \\ = t^{m+n} \otimes [x, y] + \mu n t^n \otimes y - \mu' m t^m \otimes x + m \delta_{m, -n} \langle x, y \rangle c \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Killing form over \mathfrak{g} and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu' \in \mathbb{C}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the endomorphism $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is defined by $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)(Y) = [X, Y]$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where the bracket is as in equation (1).

Define the extension of \mathfrak{g} as the algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathbb{C}[[t]][t^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$$

whose bracket naturally extends the bracket of \mathfrak{g} . For $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(X)$ is defined analogously to $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ but with the extended bracket.

The correspondence between finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras and connected and simply connected Lie groups has been extended by Kac-Peterson to the correspondence between complex Kac-moody algebras and certain simply connected topological groups known as Kac Moody groups [16].

In the literature, there are several constructions of Kac-Moody groups associated with a Kac-Moody algebra. We will work with the maximal Kac-Moody group [16]. It has different presentations. In the more general case, it is the amalgamated product of a certain system of groups. We will adopt a special presentation for Kac-Moody groups associated with affine Kac-Moody algebras based on the loop group of the finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

In general, a maximal Kac-Moody group \mathcal{G} is an ind-algebraic group and shares several important properties with simple algebraic groups, as the Bruhat decomposition and the generalized Gaussian decomposition.

An endomorphism T on a vector space V is *locally nilpotent* over V if, for any $v \in V$, there exists a finite dimensional subspace $v \in W \subset V$ such that $TW \subset W$ and

the restriction of T to W is a nilpotent transformation. We say that $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$) is *locally nilpotent* over \mathfrak{g} (resp. over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$), if the endomorphism $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}X \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}X \in \text{End}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$) is locally nilpotent over \mathfrak{g} (resp. $\text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}X \in \text{End}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$). We prove in Theorem 3.4 that all locally nilpotent elements of an extended affine Kac-Moody algebra are actually nilpotent. Moreover, if Ad is the adjoint representation of \mathcal{G} over the algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, Proposition 2.4 guarantees that $\text{Ad}_g X$ is also nilpotent for all nilpotent element $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, nilpotent orbits in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ make total sense.

In this work, we present some properties of nilpotent elements of affine Kac-Moody algebras and we give a parametrization of the nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we defined Kac-Moody algebras and groups as extensions of loop algebras and loop groups respectively. Section 3 presents the central objects of this work, that is nilpotent elements and nilpotent orbits of affine Kac-Moody algebras under the adjoint action of the group \mathcal{G} over the algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and some structure results of these orbits. In particular, Theorem 3.3 claims that every nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} is conjugated by \mathcal{G} to an element of $\mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \eta^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, where $\eta^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$ is the sum of all positive root spaces of the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Section 4 focuses on nilpotent orbits of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$. We introduce a set of elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]][t^{-1}])$ denominated quasi-Jordan matrix, whose entries are all zero except at the entries $(i, i + 1)$. We obtain that every nilpotent orbit contains an element of type $D + \lambda c$, where D is a quasi-jordan matrix and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. These distinguished elements in each nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ permit us to obtain the principal result of this paper, the classification of the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$, given by the following theorem:

Theorem: *There is a bijective correspondence between nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and the set $\{(\sigma, j) : \sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d] \in \mathcal{P}(n) \text{ and } 0 \leq j < i_d\} \times \mathbb{C}$.*

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Kac Moody algebras. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials and $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}[[t]][t^{-1}]$ the field of Laurent polynomial series. Consider $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) := \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the loop algebra associated with $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, with the Lie algebra structure given by

$$[P \otimes x, Q \otimes y] = PQ \otimes [x, y] \tag{2}$$

for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, $x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ the central extension of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated with the 2-cocycle ν defined over $\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ by

$$\nu(P \otimes x, Q \otimes y) = \text{res}\left(\frac{dP}{dt}Q\right)\kappa(x, y)$$

where $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, $x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and κ is the Killing form of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Then, $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) := \mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ and its bracket is given by

$$[f, c] = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$[f, g] = [f, g]_{\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} + \nu(f, g)c \tag{4}$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, where $[f, g]_{\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})}$ is the bracket of $\mathfrak{L}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The (*untwisted*) affine Kac Moody algebra associated with $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathbb{C}d.$$

It is the result of adding a derivation d to $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{\mathfrak{g}})$, where d acts on $\mathfrak{L}(\dot{\mathfrak{g}})$ by $t \frac{d}{dt}$ and annihilates c . The bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [t^m \otimes x + \lambda c + \mu d, t^n \otimes y + \lambda' c + \mu' d] = \\ = t^{m+n} \otimes [x, y] + \mu n t^n \otimes y - \mu' m t^m \otimes x + m \delta_{m,-n} \langle x, y \rangle c \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $\lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu' \in \mathbb{C}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The application $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$ is an embedding of Lie algebras, $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. So, we can consider the algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . If $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $\mathfrak{h} = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, if $\{e_i, f_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are the Chevalley's generators of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $h_i \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $[e_i, f_i] = h_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\{E_i, F_i | i = 0, \dots, n\}$ are the Chevalley's generators of \mathfrak{g} , where $E_i = 1 \otimes e_i$, $F_i = 1 \otimes f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and E_0, F_0 are obtained as follows: consider ω the linear involution of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, defined by

$$\omega(e_i) = -f_i, \quad \omega(f_i) = -e_i, \quad \omega(h_i) = -h_i,$$

choose $f_0 \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta$, where $\theta \in \dot{\Delta}$ is the highest root, such that $\langle f_0, \omega(f_0) \rangle = -1$ and define $e_0 = -\omega(f_0) \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\theta}$. Therefore,

$$E_0 = t \otimes e_0, \quad F_0 = t^{-1} \otimes f_0.$$

Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be given by $\delta|_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c} \equiv 0$, $\delta(d) = 1$. Set $\Pi = \{\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ the simple root system of \mathfrak{g} , where $\dot{\Pi} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is the simple root system of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The set of roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is

$$\Delta = \{j\delta; j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{j\delta + \beta; j \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \dot{\Delta}\},$$

where $\dot{\Delta}$ is the root system of $(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{\mathfrak{h}})$. Define the completion of \mathfrak{g} as the algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d \quad (6)$$

with the linear extension of the bracket given by (5). As a finite number of negative powers of t are allowed, this bracket has sense.

2.2. Weyl group. Let $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ be the Weyl group of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}^\vee := \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i^\vee \subset \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ the lattice of coroots of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$. This means that $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ has a canonical action over $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}^\vee$ obtained from its action on $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$. The affine Weyl group is the semidirect product $\text{Aff } \dot{\mathcal{W}} := \dot{\mathcal{W}} \ltimes \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^\vee$. For $q \in \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^\vee$ we define $\tau_q = (1, q) \in \text{Aff } \dot{\mathcal{W}}$.

If \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group associated with the affine Kac Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} , there exists a (unique) group isomorphism

$$\beta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Aff } \dot{\mathcal{W}} \quad (7)$$

such that $s_0 \mapsto \tau_{\theta^\vee} \gamma_\theta$ and $s_i \mapsto \dot{s}_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ are the simple reflections of \mathcal{W} , $\{\dot{s}_1, \dots, \dot{s}_n\}$ the simple reflections of $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\gamma_\theta \in \dot{\mathcal{W}}$ is the corresponding one to the highest root θ ([Ku], Proposition 13.1.7).

2.3. Kac Moody groups. As well as affine Kac Moody algebras can be presented as central extensions of loop algebras, affine Kac Moody groups can be seen as central extensions of loop groups.

Let \dot{G} be a connected simple complex algebraic group with Lie algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$. The set $\dot{G}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} -rational points of the algebraic group \dot{G} is the loop group associated with \dot{G} .

By hypothesis \dot{G} is a subgroup of $SL_N(\mathbb{C})$, for a convenient N . Let I be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[SL_N(\mathbb{C})]$ such that $\mathbb{C}[\dot{G}] = \mathbb{C}[SL_N(\mathbb{C})]/I$. Then, under the canonical identification,

$$\dot{G}(\mathcal{K}) = \{g = (g_{ij}) \in SL_N(\mathcal{K}) : P(g_{ij}) = 0, \text{ for all } P \in I\}.$$

Extend $\dot{G}(\mathcal{K})$ by adding ‘‘Exp d ’’ as follows. Denote the group of automorphisms of \mathbb{C} -algebras of \mathcal{K} by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ be the homomorphism of groups defined as $\gamma(z)(P(t)) = P(zt)$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $P \in \mathcal{K}$. It canonically induces a group homomorphism $\gamma_{\dot{G}} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\dot{G}(\mathcal{K}))$ given by $\gamma_{\dot{G}}(z)(P_{ij}(t)) = (P_{ij}(zt))$, where $\text{Aut}(\dot{G}(\mathcal{K}))$ is the group of automorphisms of $\dot{G}(\mathcal{K})$.

Define the semidirect product group as

$$\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G}) := \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \dot{G}(\mathcal{K}). \tag{8}$$

For all $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, denote by d_z the corresponding element $(z, 1) \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G})$. According to [19] there is a unique central extension of $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G})$.

The *Kac Moody group* \mathcal{G} associated with the affine Kac Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} is the central extension of the group $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G})$.

2.4. Adjoint representation. The adjoint representation of \dot{G} on $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ extends to a representation $\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{K}}$ of $\dot{G}(\mathcal{K})$ on $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$. By the choice of the inclusion of algebraic groups $\dot{G} \hookrightarrow SL_N(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{K}}g(x) = gXg^{-1} \tag{9}$$

for $g \in \dot{G}(\mathcal{K}) \subset SL_N(\mathcal{K})$ and $X \in \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \subset M_N(\mathcal{K})$ (where $M_N(\mathcal{K})$ is the space of $N \times N$ -matrices over \mathcal{K}). In this case, the adjoint representation Ad of $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G})$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is calculated as follows: for $g \in \dot{G}(\mathcal{K})$, $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_g(x + \lambda c + \mu d) &:= \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{K}}g(x) - \mu t \left(\frac{dg}{dt} \right) g^{-1} + \\ &+ \left(\lambda - \text{res} \left\langle g^{-1} \frac{dg}{dt}, x - \frac{1}{2} \mu t g^{-1} \frac{dg}{dt} \right\rangle_t \right) c + \mu d \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\text{Add}_z(x + \lambda c + \mu d) := \gamma_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)(x) + \lambda c + \mu d$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_t$ is the \mathcal{K} -bilinear form over $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ that extends the invariant bilinear form normalized by: $\langle p \otimes x, q \otimes y \rangle = pq \langle x, y \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Killing form over $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, res denotes the coefficient of t^{-1} , $\gamma_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the induced application from γ (similar to the application $\gamma_{\dot{G}}$), $g = (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \in SL_n(\mathcal{K})$, $\frac{dg}{dt}$ is $(\frac{dg_{ij}}{dt})_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{K})$ and $(\frac{dg}{dt})g^{-1} = g^{-1} \frac{dg}{dt} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2.5. Subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_w . For all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, let

$$\Delta_w = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ | w^{-1}\alpha \in \Delta^-\} = \Delta^+ \cap w\Delta^-. \tag{11}$$

By ([16], Lemma 1.3.14), if $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_l}$ is a reduced expression we have that $\Delta_w = \{\alpha_{i_1}, s_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{l-1}}\alpha_{i_l}\}$. Moreover, Δ_w is closed for the bracket, then $\mathfrak{b}_w := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_w} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ is a finite dimensional subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Following Kumar [16], consider the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{s} be a Lie algebra and (V, π) be an \mathfrak{s} -submodule, then \mathfrak{s} is called

- π -triangular, if there exists a flag of \mathfrak{s} -submodules: $V_0 = \{0\} \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$ such that $\bigcup V_i = V$ and, for each $i \geq 0$, $\dim(V_{i+1}/V_i) = 1$
- π -locally diagonalizable, resp. π -finitely semisimple, if V is a direct sum of irreducible 1-dimensional \mathfrak{s} -submodules, resp. finite-dimensional.
- π -locally finite, if all $x \in V$ is contained in a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{s} -submodule of V .

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a subalgebra, then the following conditions are equivalent,

- (1) \mathfrak{s} is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -triangular.
- (2) \mathfrak{s} is a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra which is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -locally finite.

Moreover, if \mathfrak{s} satisfies one of these conditions, there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\text{Adg}(\mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathfrak{b}_w$.

The proof is in [Ku] (10.2.5). Actually, he proves more than that, but we only need this part.

Remark 2.3. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a locally nilpotent over \mathfrak{g} . Consider the subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} generated by X . Since \mathfrak{s} satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 2.2, we have that for each locally nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} , there exist $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\text{Adg}(X) \in \mathfrak{b}_w$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

2.6. Locally nilpotent elements. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$). We say that X is *locally nilpotent* on \mathfrak{g} (resp. on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$), if $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}X \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}X \in \text{End}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$) is locally nilpotent.

Proposition 2.4. Let $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, $\text{Adg}(X)$ is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We have that $\text{Adg} \in \text{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, then for $Y \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$\text{ad}(\text{Adg}(X))(Y) = [\text{Adg}(X), Y] = \text{Adg}([X, (\text{Adg})^{-1}(Y)]) = (\text{Adg})(\text{ad}X)(\text{Adg})^{-1}(Y).$$

As $\text{ad}X$ is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\text{Adg} \in \text{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, then $(\text{Adg})(\text{ad}X)(\text{Adg})^{-1}$ is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, which means that $\text{Adg}(X)$ also is. \blacksquare

The previous proposition allows us to introduce the definition of locally nilpotent orbits on affine Kac Moody algebras.

Definition 2.5. Let $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We say that the \mathcal{G} -orbit through X ,

$$\mathfrak{O}_X = \text{Ad}\mathcal{G}(X) = \text{Ad}\bar{\mathfrak{L}}(\dot{G})(X) \tag{12}$$

is a *locally nilpotent orbit* over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ if each of its elements is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that locally nilpotent orbits are seen in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and not in \mathfrak{g} , because $\text{Ad}\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{D}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ the subset of orbits on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, whose intersection with \mathfrak{g} is not empty. Our interest is to characterize and classify the orbits in $\mathfrak{D}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. From now on, when we talk about a *locally nilpotent orbit* over \mathfrak{g} , we mean an element of $\mathfrak{D}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Also, whenever a locally nilpotent element of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is considered, it is supposed to belong to an orbit in $\mathfrak{D}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Until now, we have distinguished between the adjoint representation on \mathfrak{g} and on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$; from now on, we denote the adjoint representation on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\text{ad} = \text{ad}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. We will say that an element in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is *locally nilpotent* if it is locally nilpotent over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3. Distinguished representatives

In this section we will prove that every locally nilpotent orbit has an element in $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\eta}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, where $\dot{\eta}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}^+} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\Delta}^+$ are the positive roots of $\hat{\Delta}$. Moreover, we will show that every locally nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent.

Lemma 3.1. *For each locally nilpotent $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{Ad}g(X) \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\eta}^+$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an locally nilpotent element over \mathfrak{g} . As every locally nilpotent element over \mathfrak{g} is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -locally finite, by Theorem 2.2, there exist $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\text{Ad}g_1(X) = Y \in \mathfrak{b}_w$.

Consider $\Lambda = \{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta} : \alpha + n\delta \in \Delta_w \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $-\alpha \notin \Lambda$; otherwise there would be $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha + m\delta, -\alpha + n\delta \in \Delta_w$, which implies that $(m + n)\delta \in \Delta_w$ because Δ_w is closed, what is an absurd. In addition, as $\Lambda \subset \hat{\Delta}$ is closed, there exists $v \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $v \cdot \Lambda \subset \hat{\Delta}^+$. As \mathcal{W} is generated by simple reflections s_1, \dots, s_n and $s_i(\alpha + m\delta) = s_i(\alpha) + m\delta$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $v \cdot \Delta_w \subset \hat{\Delta}^+ \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\delta$.

Now, for each $s_i \in \mathcal{W}$ exists $\tilde{s}_i \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{Ad}\tilde{s}_i(Y) = s_i(\text{ad})(Y)$. Moreover, $\text{Ad}\tilde{s}_i(Y_{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{s_i(\alpha)}$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Then, if $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression in \mathcal{W} , $g_v = \tilde{s}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{s}_{i_k} \in \mathcal{G}$ is such that $\text{Ad}g_v(Y_{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{v\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$.

Hence, if $g = g_v g_1$, $\text{Ad}g(X) \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Theta} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$, where $\Theta \subset \{\alpha + n\delta : \alpha \in \hat{\Delta}^+, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let $Y = X + \lambda c + \mu d \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. If Y is locally nilpotent, then $\mu = 0$.*

Proof. Let $Y = X + \lambda c + \mu d \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a locally nilpotent element. By Lemma 3.1, assume that $X \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\eta}^+$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}^k(X + \mu d + \lambda c)(t \otimes H) &= (\text{ad}X + \text{ad}\mu d)^k(t \otimes H) \\ &= \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_s = k} \text{ad}^{i_1}(X) \text{ad}^{i_2}(\mu d) \dots \text{ad}^{i_{s-1}}(X) \text{ad}^{i_s}(\mu d)(t \otimes H). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\text{ad}^k(X + \mu d + \lambda c)(t \otimes H) = X' + \mu^k t \otimes H$, where $X' \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\eta}^+$, because each term in the sum belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dot{\eta}^+$, except the term $\text{ad}^k(\mu d)(t \otimes H) = \mu^k t \otimes H$. Therefore, $\text{ad}(X + \lambda c + \mu d)^k(t \otimes H) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. But this is possible only if $\mu = 0$.

Consider now any other element in the orbit of Y , it is of form $\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{K}}g(X) + \tau c$ or $\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)(X) + \lambda c$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the component of the derivation of any nilpotent element is null. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a locally nilpotent element. Then, there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{Ad}g(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \dot{\eta}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}c$.*

Proof. Let $Y = H + X + \lambda c$ be a locally nilpotent element with $X \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \dot{\eta}^+$, $H = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i^\vee$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If H is non zero, then $k_j \neq 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let us see that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{ad}^k(Y)(E_j) \neq 0$.

For each $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i \in \dot{\Delta}^+$ denote by $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ and consider the sets

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha+l\delta \in \Delta, |\alpha|=1} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+l\delta}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 = \bigoplus_{\alpha+l\delta \in \Delta, |\alpha|\geq 2} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+l\delta}.$$

We have that $\text{ad}^k(Y)(E_j) = r^k E_j + X_k$, where $r = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_j(\alpha_i^\vee) \neq 0$. Moreover, $r^k E_j \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $X_k \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ or $X_k = 0$, which contradicts the fact that Y is locally nilpotent. Hence, $H = 0$ and the result of the theorem follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.4. *Every locally nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} and in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. If $Y + \lambda c \in \mathfrak{g}$ is locally nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} , with $Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} t^{n_\alpha} \otimes Y_\alpha$ for some $\Lambda \subset \dot{\Delta} \cup \{0\}$, then $\bar{Y} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Y_\alpha \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is nilpotent in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Therefore, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{ad}^k(\bar{Y})|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \equiv 0$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in \dot{\Delta} \cup \{0\}$ and $Z_\beta \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have that

$$[Y, t^m \otimes Z_\beta] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} t^{n_\alpha+m} \otimes [Y_\alpha, Z_\beta] + n_\alpha \delta_{n_\alpha, -m} \kappa(Y, Z_\beta) c. \quad (13)$$

Hence, each term of $\text{ad}^k(Y)(t^m \otimes Z_\beta)$ is in the center of \mathfrak{g} or is of form $t^s \otimes W$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $W = [Y_{\alpha_{i_1}}, [\dots [Y_{\alpha_{i_l}}, Z_\beta] \dots]] \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ for some $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_l} \in \Lambda$. The nilpotency of \bar{Y} in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ implies that $W = 0$, hence $\text{ad}^{k+1}(Y)(t^m \otimes Z_\beta) = 0$. The same argumet works to show that $\text{ad}^{k+1}(Y)(d) = 0$. Therefore, Y is nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, it is easy to show that it is also in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. \blacksquare

4. Quasi-Jordan matrices

If $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are conjugated by an element in $\text{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, they are even conjugated by an element in $\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. But, since \mathcal{K} does not contain all the n th roots of its elements, this fact can not be extended to $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{K})$.

It is known that $s = \sum_{i=-k}^{\infty} a_i t^i \in \mathcal{K}$ has an n th root in \mathcal{K} if and only if the order of s , given by $\mathcal{O}(s) := \min\{m \mid a_m \neq 0\}$, is a multiple of n . Moreover, if $p, q \in \mathcal{K}$ are such that $\mathcal{O}(p) = m$ and $\mathcal{O}(q) = n$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{O}(pq) = m + n$ and $\mathcal{O}(pq^{-1}) = m - n$.

If $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{n-1}$, a matrix of the form

$$J_{\bar{p}, n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & & p_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{K}) \quad (14)$$

is called *quasi-Jordan block*. If $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,i_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{d,i_d}})$ is a block diagonal matrix with quasi-Jordan blocks $J_{\bar{p}_{k,i_k}}$, we say that X is a *quasi-Jordan matrix*. Without loss of generality, we establish that $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_d$. Define $\mathbf{m}(J_{\bar{p},n})$, the *multiplicity* of $J_{\bar{p},n}$, and \mathbf{n}_X , the *order of the multiplicities* of X , by

$$\mathbf{m}(J_{\bar{p},n}) = p_1^{n-1} p_2^{n-2} \dots p_{n-1} \quad \mathbf{n}_X = \mathcal{O} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d \mathbf{m}(J_{\bar{p}_{k,i_k}}) \right). \tag{15}$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ is nilpotent, then X is $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ -conjugated to a quasi-Jordan matrix.*

Proof. To show that, we begin from the existence of a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ such that $TXT^{-1} = J = \mathrm{diag}(J_{d_1}, \dots, J_{d_m})$ is a Jordan matrix. Let $q = \det(T)$ and $\mathcal{O}(q) = k$. There are two situations: first, when k is a multiple of n , so q has a n th root in \mathcal{K} . Then $T' = \frac{T}{q^{\frac{1}{n}}} \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ and satisfies that $T'XT'^{-1} = J$.

Second, when $k \equiv l \pmod{n}$ with $0 < l < n$, we have that $\mathcal{O}(qt^{-l}) = k - l$. Then, qt^{-l} has an n th root in \mathcal{K} . Consider $S = \mathrm{diag}(t^{-l}, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $T' = \frac{T}{(qt^{-l})^{\frac{1}{n}}}$. Hence, $T'XT'^{-1} = J$, $\det(T) = t^{-l}$ and

$$(ST')X(ST')^{-1} = SJS^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-l} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & J_{d_2} \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & J_{d_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a quasi-Jordan matrix such that $\det(ST') = 1$. ■

In addition to the result in Lemma 4.1, if $X \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \eta^+$ is conjugated by $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ to a quasi-Jordan matrix $D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,d_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{m,d_m}})$ for some $\bar{p}_{i,d_i} = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,d_i-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{d_i-1}$, we can explicitly give the expression of T in terms of X . Let $T \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ such that $TXT^{-1} = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,d_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{m,d_m}})$. Rewrite T as

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ \vdots \\ T_i \\ \vdots \\ T_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad T_i = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{t}_{i,1} \\ \bar{t}_{i,2} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{t}_{i,d_i-1} \\ \bar{t}_{i,d_i} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{t}_{i,j} \in \mathcal{K}^n.$$

Then, we have that $T_i X = (O_1 J_{\bar{p}_{i,d_i}} O_2) T_i$, where O_1 and O_2 are null matrices of size $d_i \times (d_1 + \dots + d_{i-1})$ and $d_i \times d_{i+1} + \dots + d_m$ respectively. From this equality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{i,1} X = p_{i,1} \bar{t}_{i,2} &\quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{t}_{i,2} = p_{i,1}^{-1} \bar{t}_{i,1} X \\ \bar{t}_{i,2} X = p_{i,2} \bar{t}_{i,3} &\quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{t}_{i,3} = p_{i,2}^{-1} \bar{t}_{i,2} X = p_{i,2}^{-1} p_{i,1}^{-1} \bar{t}_{i,1} X^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vdots & & \vdots \\ \bar{t}_{i,d_i-1}X = p_{i,d_i-1}\bar{t}_{i,d_i} & \Rightarrow & \bar{t}_{i,d_i} = p_{i,d_i-1}^{-1}\bar{t}_{i,d_i-1}X = \cdots = p_{i,d_i-1}^{-1}\cdots p_{i,1}^{-1}\bar{t}_{i,1}X^{n-1}. \end{array}$$

Hence, if we consider $\bar{t}_{i,1} = \bar{t}_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain that

$$T_i = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{t}_i & & & \\ & p_{i,1}^{-1}\bar{t}_iX & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & p_{i,1}^{-1}\cdots p_{i,d_i-2}^{-1}\bar{t}_iX^{d_i-2} \\ & & & & p_{i,1}^{-1}\cdots p_{i,d_i-1}^{-1}\bar{t}_iX^{d_i-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X = J_{\bar{p},n}$ and $Y = J_{\bar{q},n}$ be in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ for some $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$, $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{n-1}$. Then, X, Y are $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated if and only if there exists $r \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$r^n = \mathfrak{m}(X)\mathfrak{m}(Y)^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ be such that $TXT^{-1} = Y$, then by (16), one deduces

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{t} & & & \\ & q_1^{-1}\bar{t}X & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & q_1^{-1}\cdots q_{n-2}^{-1}\bar{t}X^{n-2} \\ & & & & q_1^{-1}\cdots q_{n-2}^{-1}q_{n-1}^{-1}\bar{t}X^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{K}_{1,n}$ for some $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume $T = (t_{ij})$. Hence,

$$t_{ii} = t_1 \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j q_j^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since $\det T = 1$ and T is upper triangular,

$$\det T = \prod t_{ii} = t_1^n p_1^{n-1} p_2^{n-2} \cdots p_{n-1} q_1^{-(n-1)} q_2^{-(n-2)} \cdots q_{n-1}^{-1} = t_1^n \mathfrak{m}(X)\mathfrak{m}(Y)^{-1}.$$

Then, considering $r = t_1^{-1}$ we obtain the expected result.

Reciprocally, considering $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{i+1} = \prod_{k=1}^i p_k q_k^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, then the diagonal matrix $T = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfies $TXT^{-1} = Y$. Moreover, (17) implies that $\det T = 1$. \blacksquare

Corollary 4.3. *Let $X = J_{\bar{p},n}$ and $Y = J_{\bar{q},n}$ be in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$. Then X, Y are $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated if and only if $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}(X)) - \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}(Y))$ is a multiple of n .*

Now, given $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,i_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{d,i_d}})$ and $Y = D(J_{\bar{q}_{1,j_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{q}_{l,j_l}})$, $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated quasi-Jordan matrices, we obtain that

1. $d = l$; that is, they have the same number of blocks,
2. $i_k = j_k$ for every $k = 1, \dots, d$; that is, blocks have the same size; in other words, X, Y have the same associated partition.

In fact, X and Y are $\mathrm{Gl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated to Jordan matrices with blocks of size i_1, \dots, i_d and j_1, \dots, j_l respectively. On the other hand, since X and Y are $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathcal{K})$ -

conjugated, they also are $GL_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated. So, X and Y are $GL_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated to the same Jordan matrix; which means that $d = l$ and $i_k = j_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, d$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,i_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{d,i_d}})$ and $Y = D(J_{\bar{q}_{1,j_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{q}_{d,j_d}})$ be quasi-Jordan matrices. If X and Y satisfy*

- (1) $d = l$; that is, they have the same number of blocks,
- (2) $i_k = j_k$ for every $k = 1, \dots, d$; that is, blocks have the same size, and
- (3) $\mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{q}_{k,j_k}})}{\mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{p}_{k,i_k}})}\right) = \mathfrak{n}_Y - \mathfrak{n}_X$ is a multiple of i_d ,

then, they are $SL_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated.

Proof. Given (1) and (2), the normal Jordan form ensures that X and Y are $GL_n(\mathcal{K})$ -conjugated. Consider $T \in GL_n(\mathcal{K})$ as in (16). Also assume, without loss of generality, that for each vector \bar{t}_k the entry $i_1 + \dots + i_k + 1$ is t_k and zero the other ones. Therefore, T is a diagonal block matrix $T = D(T_1, \dots, T_d)$, where

$$T_k = \begin{pmatrix} t_k & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t_k p_{k,1}}{q_{k,1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{t_k p_{k,1} p_{k,2}}{q_{k,1} q_{k,2}} & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{i_k-1} p_{k,j}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i_k-1} q_{k,j}} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, d$. It is clear that such a T satisfy $TXT^{-1} = Y$ and

$$\det T = \prod_{k=1}^d t_k^{i_k} \mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{p}_{k,i_k}}) \mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{q}_{k,i_k}})^{-1}.$$

So, if we choose in particular $t_1 = \dots = t_{d-1} = 1$ and t_d equal to the i_d th root of $\prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{q}_{k,i_k}})}{\mathfrak{m}(J_{\bar{p}_{k,i_k}})}$, whose existence is given by (3), then $\det T = 1$. Therefore, $T \in SL_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$. ■

5. Nilpotent orbits over $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$

Consider the group

$$\bar{\mathfrak{L}}(SL_n(\mathbb{C})) := \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathfrak{L}(SL_n(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes SL_n(\mathcal{K})$$

and the completion algebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d.$$

Hence, the Kac Moody group \mathcal{G} of $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ is the central extension of $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$. The orbits under the action of \mathcal{G} are in $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and they coincide with the $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -orbits ([21], Lemma A.0.18).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element. Then there exists $g \in \bar{\mathfrak{L}}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\text{Ad } g(X) = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,i_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{d,i_d}}) + \lambda c$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{p}_{k,i_k} \in \mathcal{K}^{i_k-1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent, by 3.3 there exists $g_1 \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\mathrm{Ad} g_1(X) = Y + \lambda_1 c$, where $Y \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \dot{\eta}^+$ and $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, there exists $g_2 \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathcal{K}} g_2(X) = g_2 X g_2^{-1} = D(J_{\bar{p}_1, i_1}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_m, i_m})$, for some $\bar{p}_{k, i_k} \in \mathcal{K}^{i_k}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, as was mentioned in the last section. Then,

$$\mathrm{Ad} g_2 g_1(X) = \mathrm{Ad} g_2(Y + \lambda_1 c) = D(J_{\bar{p}_1, d_1}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_m, d_m}) + (\lambda_1 - \mathrm{res}\langle g_2^{-1} \frac{dg_2}{dt}, Y \rangle_t) c.$$

Hence, taking $g = g_2 g_1$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \mathrm{res}\langle g_2^{-1} \frac{dg_2}{dt}, Y \rangle_t$, we obtain the expected result. \blacksquare

Until now, we have proved that every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -conjugated to an element of the form $D + \lambda c$, where D quasi-Jordan block. So, we wonder if conjugation classes under $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ and under $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ coincide.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element. Then, the nilpotent orbit of X in $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ under the action of $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ and of $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ are equal, that is*

$$\mathrm{Ad} \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])(X) = \mathrm{Ad} \overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))(X).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, each nilpotent $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -orbit in $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ has an element of the form $D + \lambda c \in \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$, with D a quasi-Jordan matrix and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence, we only have to prove that $\mathrm{Ad} \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])(D + \lambda c) = \mathrm{Ad} \overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))(D + \lambda c)$, or equivalently that for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathrm{Add}_z(D + \lambda c) \in \mathrm{Ad} \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])(D + \lambda c)$. Let $D = D(J_{\bar{p}_1, i_1}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_d, i_d})$, where $J_{\bar{p}_j, i_j} = J(p_{j,1}, \dots, p_{j, i_j})$ and $(p_{j,1}, \dots, p_{j, i_j}) \in \mathcal{K}^{i_j-1}$. Then,

$$\mathrm{Add}_z(D + \lambda c) = D(J(p_{1,1}(zt), \dots, p_{1, i_1}(zt)), \dots, J(p_{d,1}(zt), \dots, p_{d, i_d}(zt))) + \lambda c. \quad (18)$$

Denote $D_z = D(J(p_{1,1}(zt), \dots, p_{1, i_1}(zt)), \dots, J(p_{d,1}(zt), \dots, p_{d, i_d}(zt)))$. So, $\mathcal{O}(p(t)) = \mathcal{O}(p(zt))$ and $\mathcal{O}(p) = -\mathcal{O}(p^{-1})$ for all $p \in \mathcal{K}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, for each $j = 1, \dots, d$, we have that

$$\mathcal{O}(p_{j,1}(t)^{i_j-1} \dots p_{j, i_j}(t)) + \mathcal{O}(p_{j,1}(zt)^{-i_j+1} \dots p_{j, i_j}(zt)^{-1}) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, the matrices D and D_z are $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ -conjugated. \blacksquare

5.1. Level of nilpotent orbits

The following three lemmas are technical results we need to prove that conjugated nilpotent elements, whose components in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ are quasi-Jordan matrices, have the same component in $\mathbb{C}c$. That allows us to define the level of a nilpotent orbit.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_1, d_1}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_m, d_m})$ for some $\bar{p}_{i, d_i} = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i, d_i-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{d_i-1}$, and $T = (t_{ij}) \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ such that TXT^{-1} is a quasi-Jordan matrix. If*

$$i = r + d_1 + \dots + d_\alpha, \quad \text{with } r \leq d_\alpha$$

$$j = s + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta, \quad \text{with } s \leq d_\beta$$

and $1 \leq s < r \leq d_1$, then $t_{ij} = 0$

Proof. Let $i = r + d_1 + \dots + d_\alpha, j = s + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta$, with $r \leq d_\alpha, s \leq d_\beta$ and $1 \leq s < r \leq d_1$. By Lemma 4.1 and equation (16), there exists $\bar{t}_{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ such that

$$t_{ij} = \bar{t}_{\alpha+1} \cdot \mathrm{col}_j X^{r-1}.$$

It is easy to show that the columns $\lambda + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta$ of X^{r-1} , for $1 \leq \lambda \leq r - 1$, are null. So, since $s < r$, the column j of X^{r-1} is null. Hence, $t_{ij} = 0$. ■

Lemma 5.4. *Let $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,d_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{m,d_m}})$, with $\bar{p}_{i,d_i} = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,d_i-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{d_i-1}$, and $T = (t_{ij}) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ such that TXT^{-1} is a quasi-Jordan matrix. Let M_{ij} be the determinant of the matrix obtained from T eliminating row i and column j . If*

$$\begin{aligned} i &= r + d_1 + \dots + d_\alpha, \text{ with } r \leq d_\alpha \\ j &= s + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta, \text{ with } s \leq d_\beta \end{aligned}$$

and $1 \leq r < s \leq d_1$, then $M_{ij} = 0$.

Proof. Define $j_1 = 1$; $j_l = 1 + d_1 + \dots + d_{l-1}$ if $2 \leq l \leq m - 1$ (20)

and consider the following sets for $0 \leq u < d_1$

$$I_u = \{j_1 + u, \dots, j_{r_u} + u\}, \text{ where } d_{r_u} \geq u + 1 \text{ and } d_{r_u+1} < u + 1. \quad (21)$$

Let $i = r + d_1 + \dots + d_\alpha$ and $j = s + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta$, with $r < d_\alpha$, $s < d_\beta$ and $1 \leq r < s \leq d_1$. Consider two cases:

Case 1: If $i \neq j_l$ for all $1 \leq l \leq m - 1$, $M_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m \pm t_{j_k, j_l} M_{(i, j_k / j, j_m)}$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq m$ and take $S = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j, j_m\}$, $S' = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j_k\}$ and $\Omega = \{\sigma : S \rightarrow S' \text{ injective}\}$. Consider $\sigma \in \Omega$ satisfying that $t_{\sigma_a, a} \neq 0$ for all $a \in S$, then by Lemma 5.3, we have:

- If $a \in I_0 \setminus \{j_m\}$, then $\sigma_a \in I_0 \setminus \{j_k\}$.
- If $1 < \lambda < r$ and $a \in I_{\lambda-1}$, then $\sigma_a \in I_{\lambda-1}$.
- If $a \in I_{r-1}$, then $\sigma_a \in I_{r-1} \setminus \{i\}$.

Hence, there is $a \in I_{r-1}$ such that $g_{\sigma_a, a} = 0$. Therefore, $\prod_{a \in S} t_{\sigma_a, a} = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$; then $M_{ij} = 0$.

Case 2: If $i = j_l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq m - 1$, $M_{ij} = \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^m \pm t_{j_k, j_l} M_{(i, j_k / j, j_m)}$.

Consider S, S' and Ω as in the previous case. We have that $t_{\sigma_a, a} \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma_a \in I_0 \setminus \{j_l, j_k\}$ for all $a \in I_0 \setminus \{j_m\}$. Therefore, $\prod_{a \in S} t_{\sigma_a, a} = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$, which means that $M_{ij} = 0$. ■

Lemma 5.5. *Let $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,d_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{m,d_m}})$, with $\bar{p}_{i,d_i} = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,d_i-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{d_i-1}$ and $T = (t_{ij}) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ such that TXT^{-1} is a quasi-Jordan matrix. Let M_{ij} be the determinant of the matrix obtained from T eliminating the row i and the column j . If $t_{ij} \neq 0$, then $M_{i, j+1} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $t_{ij} \neq 0$, by Lemma 5.3, we know that $i = r + d_1 + \dots + d_\alpha$, $j = s + d_1 + \dots + d_\beta$, with $r \leq d_\alpha$, $s \leq d_\beta$ and $1 \leq r \leq s \leq d_1$. Since $r < s + 1$, by Lemma 5.4, $M_{i, j+1} = 0$. ■

In the following lemma, the integers j_i are as in (20).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $X = D(J_{\bar{p}_{1,d_1}}, \dots, J_{\bar{p}_{m,d_m}})$, with $\bar{p}_{i,d_i} = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,d_i-1}) \in \mathcal{K}^{d_i-1}$, and $T = (t_{ij}) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{K})$ such that TXT^{-1} is a quasi-Jordan matrix. Then, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_2 - 1, \dots, j_d - 1\}$, the entry $(i + 1, i)$ of $T^{-1} \frac{dT}{dt}$ is zero.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element, then by Theorem 5.1, there exists $g_1 \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}g_1(X) = D + \lambda c$, where $D = D(J_{\overline{p}_1, i_1}, \dots, J_{\overline{p}_d, i_d})$ is a quasi-Jordan matrix.

\mathbf{n}_D be the order of the multiplicities of D as in (15). Take $\sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d]$ and k so that $0 \leq k < i_d$ and $k \equiv \mathbf{n}_D \pmod{i_d}$. Hence, by Theorem 4.4, there exists $g_2 \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ such that $g_2 D g_2^{-1} = D_{\sigma, k}$. So, if $g = g_2 g_1$, we have that $\mathrm{Ad}g(X) = D_{\sigma, k} + \lambda c$. ■

Remark 6.2. The last theorem implies that given a partition $\sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d]$ of n and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, there are at most i_d nilpotent orbits in $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ with partition σ of level λ .

Proposition 6.3. Assume that $\sigma = [i_1^{k_1}, i_2^{k_2}, \dots, i_s^{k_s}]$ is a partition of n such that $i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_s$, and $0 \leq k', k < i_s$. Then $D_{\sigma, k}$ and $D_{\sigma, k'}$ are $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ -conjugated if and only if $k = k'$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $T \in \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ as in (16), conjugated to $D_k = D_{\sigma, k}$ and to $D_{k'} = D_{\sigma, k'}$.

1. Denote by

$$l_1 = 0; \quad l_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} k_j i_j, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq s. \tag{24}$$

Let M be the matrix obtained by taking from T the rows and columns

$$L_{t,r} = 1 + l_t + (r - 1)i_t, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq t \leq s \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq k_t$$

and M_j the matrix obtained by taking the first $k_1 + \dots + k_j$ rows and columns from M , for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Particularly, $M = M_s$. Set $T_{r,p}^{u,v} = t_{L_{r,u}, L_{p,v}}$.

2. For each $1 \leq r \leq s$

$$T_{r,p}^{u,v} = 0, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq r - 1, \quad 1 \leq u \leq k_r \text{ and } 1 \leq v \leq k_p. \tag{25}$$

Fix $1 \leq r \leq s$ and take $1 \leq u \leq k_r$. By Proposition 4.2,

$$\mathrm{row}_{L_{r,u+(i_r-1)}}(TD_k) = \mathrm{row}_{L_{r,u}}(T)D_k^{i_r}.$$

So, as $i_p < i_r$ if $1 \leq p < r$, then $L_{p,v}, L_{p,v} + i_r$ of $D_k^{i_r}$ is 1 if $1 \leq v \leq k_p$. Therefore,

$$(TD_k)_{L_{r,u}, L_{p,v}+i_r} = t_{L_{r,u}, L_{p,v}} = T_{r,p}^{u,v}.$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{row}_{L_{r,u+(i_r-1)}}(D_{k'}T) = \mathrm{row}_{L_{r,u+(i_r-1)}}(D_{k'})T$ is null. Since $TD_k = D_{k'}T$, the statement (25) occurs.

3. According to the notation in (1), by (2)

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} M_{j-1} & [T_{r,j}^{u,v}]_{1 \leq r \leq j-1} \\ 0 & [T_{j,j}^{u,v}] \end{pmatrix}$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq s$. Such that $\det M_j = \det M_{j-1} \det [T_{j,j}^{u,v}]$

4. We have $\det T = t^{k-k'} (\det M_1)^{i_1-i_2} (\det M_2)^{i_2-i_3} \dots (\det M_{s-1})^{i_{s-1}-i_s} (\det M_s)^{i_s}$.

5. Let $P_i = \det M_i$. Then, by (3) and (4), $\det T = t^{k-k'} \prod_{j=1}^s P_j^{m_j}$, where $m_j \geq i_s$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$. If we consider $1 \leq k < k' \leq i_s$, then $0 < k' - k < i_s$.

Hence, there are no elements P_i in \mathcal{K} for which $t^{k'-k} = \prod_{j=1}^s P_j^{m_j}$ if $m_j \geq i_s$. Therefore, it is not possible to obtain $T \in \text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ such that $TD_kT^{-1} = D_{k'}$. ■

The parameterization of nilpotent orbits over $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *There is a bijective correspondence between nilpotent orbits over $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and the set $\{(\sigma, j) : \sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $0 \leq j < i_d\} \times \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Consider the application

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{D}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \{(\sigma, j) : \sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d] \in \mathcal{P}(n) \text{ and } 0 \leq j < i_d\} \quad (26)$$

defined as follows: Let $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element, then by Corollary 6.1, there exist $g \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, a partition $\sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d]$ of n and $0 \leq k < i_d$, such that $\text{Ad}gX = D_{\sigma,k} + \lambda c$. Hence,

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{D}_X) = ([i_1, \dots, i_d], k, \lambda). \quad (27)$$

Observe that:

1. Ψ is well defined: Let $X, Y \in \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ be $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -conjugated nilpotent elements. Suppose that there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, a partition $\sigma_1 = [i_1, \dots, i_d]$, $\sigma_2 = [j_1, \dots, j_r]$ of n , $0 \leq k_1 < i_d$, $0 \leq k_2 < j_r$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\text{Ad}g_1(X) = D_{\sigma_1, k_1} + \lambda_1 c, \text{Ad}g_2(Y) = D_{\sigma_2, k_2} + \lambda_2 c.$$

Since $D_{\sigma_1, k_1} + \lambda_1 c$ and $D_{\sigma_2, k_2} + \lambda_2 c$ are $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -conjugated, then by Corollary 4.3, we have that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$; by the Proposition 6.3, $k_1 = k_2$ and by Theorem 5.7, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Therefore, Ψ is well defined.

2. Ψ is injective: if X, Y are nilpotent elements in $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{D}_X) = \Psi(\mathfrak{D}_Y) = (\sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d], k, \lambda),$$

then there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\text{Ad}g_1X = D_{\sigma,k} + \lambda c = \text{Ad}g_2Y$. Hence, X and Y are $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}(\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -conjugated, so $\mathfrak{D}_X = \mathfrak{D}_Y$.

3. Ψ is surjective: Let $\sigma = [i_1, \dots, i_d]$ be a partition of n , $0 \leq k < i_d$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Psi(\mathfrak{D}_{D_{\sigma,k} + \lambda c}) = (\sigma, k, \lambda)$. ■

Remark 6.5. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then the number of nilpotent orbits in $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ of level λ is finite.

Example 6.6. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4^{(1)}(\mathbb{C})$. The partition of 4 are $[1, 1, 1, 1]$, $[2, 1, 1]$, $[2, 2]$, $[3, 1]$, $[4]$, then $\mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$ has 5 nilpotent orbits. The nilpotent orbits of level 0 in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are the following.

Partition	k	$X_{\mathfrak{D}}$
$[1, 1, 1, 1]$	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$[2, 1, 1]$	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[2, 2]$	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[2, 2]$	1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[3, 1]$	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[4]$	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[4]$	1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[4]$	2	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$[4]$	3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Acknowledgement. We want to thank Jorge Vargas for his helpful comments and support. We would also like to thank the referee of this paper for his/her detailed suggestions to improve it.

References

- [1] P. Bala, R. Carter: *Classes of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups I*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 79 (1976) 401–425.
- [2] A. Borel: *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 126, Springer, New York (1991).

- [3] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 1–9*, Masson, Paris (1990).
- [4] D. Collingwood, W. McGovern: *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York (1993).
- [5] D. Djokovic: *Classification of nilpotent elements in simple exceptional real Lie algebras of inner type and description of their centralizers*, J. Algebra 112 (1988), 503–524.
- [6] D. Djokovic: *Classification of nilpotent elements in the simple real Lie algebras $E_6(6)$ and $E_6\dots$ and description of their centralizers*, J. Algebra 116 (1988) 196–207.
- [7] J. Fuchs: *Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).
- [8] H. Garland: *The arithmetic theory of loop groups*, Publ. Math. IHES 52 (1980) 5–136.
- [9] L. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman: *Matrix Polynomials*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (2009).
- [10] J. Humphreys: *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representations Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, Springer, New York (1972).
- [11] J. Humphreys: *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1995).
- [12] T. Hungerford: *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 73, Springer, New York (1974).
- [13] J. C. Jantzen, K. Neeb: *Lie Theory, Lie Algebras and Representations*, Progress in Mathematics 228, Birkhäuser, Basel (2004).
- [14] V. Kac: *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [15] W. Knapp: *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Progress in Mathematics 140, Birkhäuser, Boston (1996).
- [16] S. Kumar: *Kac Moody Groups, Their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel (2002).
- [17] A. Noel: *Nilpotent orbits and theta-stable parabolic subalgebras*, Representation Theory 2 (1998) 1–32.
- [18] D. Peterson, V. Kac: *Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorem*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80 (1983) 1778–1782.
- [19] A. Pressley, G. Segal: *Loop Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).
- [20] T. Springer: *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics 9, Birkhäuser, Basel (1998).
- [21] L. Valencia: *Órbitas Nilpotentes en Algebras de Kac-Moody Afines*, Tesis Doctoral, FAMAF, Universidad Nacional, Córdoba (2018).
- [22] M. Wakimoto: *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 195, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999).

Esther Galina, Lorena Valencia, FAMAF, CIEM, Universidad Nacional, CONICET, Córdoba, Argentina; galina@famaf.unc.edu.ar, lorenavalencia07@gmail.com.

Received February 16, 2021
and in final form September 24, 2021