

Generic 1-Connectivity of Flag Domains in Hermitian Symmetric Spaces

Tatsuki Hayama

Communicated by T. Kobayashi

Abstract. A flag domain is an open real group orbit in a complex flag manifold. It has been shown that a flag domain is either pseudoconvex or pseudoconcave. Moreover, generically 1-connected flag domains are pseudoconcave. In this study, for flag domains contained in irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of type *AIII* or *CI*, we determine which pseudoconcave flag domain is generically 1-connected.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14M15, 32M05, 57S20.

Key Words: Flag domain, Hermitian symmetric space, Weyl group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, and let G_0 be a real form of G . An open G_0 -orbit in a G -flag manifold is called a flag domain. For example, a Hermitian symmetric domain is a flag domain. According to [8, 6], a flag domain is either pseudoconvex or pseudoconcave. A pseudoconvex flag domain, such as a Hermitian symmetric domain, possesses plenty of global functions. In contrast, any global function on a pseudoconcave flag domain is constant. In this study, we investigate pseudoconcave flag domains, focusing on *generic 1-connectivity*.

Let K_0 be a maximally compact subgroup of G_0 . By the Matsuki duality [11], G_0 -orbits correspond to K -orbits with complexification K of K_0 . Through this correspondence, a flag domain D contains a compact submanifold called the base cycle of D . The base cycle and its G -transformations play an important role in the study of pseudoconcave flag domains. Let us choose a base point z in the base cycle. The isotropy subgroup Q_z at z is a parabolic subgroup, and we have a unique open Q_z -orbit in the ambient flag manifold $G(z)$. We say that D is generically 1-connected if the open Q_z -orbit intersects with the base cycle. Huckleberry [9] showed that a flag domain is pseudoconcave if it is generically 1-connected. He also showed that D is generically 1-connected if K is a simple Lie group. For example, all $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -flag domains are generically 1-connected. However, the following problem is still open: *are all pseudoconcave flag domains generically 1-connected?* In this study, we provide an answer for flag domains contained in irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of type *AIII* or *CI*.

All flag domains contained in the Hermitian symmetric space under consideration correspond to the signature, and our results indicate that generic 1-connectivity

depends on the numerical condition of the signature. In the case of type CI , where $G_0 = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$, few flag domains are generically 1-connected: the Hermitian symmetric space contains $(n+1)$ flag domains, of which $(n-1)$ are pseudoconcave, and at most one of them is generically 1-connected. In contrast, in the case of type $AIII$, where $G_0 = SU(p, q)$, more than one flag domain can be generically 1-connected: almost half of the flag domains under consideration are generically 1-connected if $2p < q$. We prove these by using combinatorics of the Weyl groups and their action on the roots. Moreover, we consider the generic 1-connectivity of a certain type of flag domain fibered over the flag domains in the Hermitian symmetric spaces.

2. Cycle Connectivity of Flag Domains

In this section, we review pseudoconcavity, cycle connectivity, and generic 1-connectivity. Subsequently, we present combinatorial conditions that are equivalent to generic 1-connectivity.

2.1. Pseudoconcavity

Let X be a connected complex manifold. Andreotti [1] defined pseudoconcavity as follows:

Definition 2.1. X is pseudoconcave if we can find a relatively compact open subset $Y \subset X$ such that at every point $z \in \text{bd}(Y)$, a holomorphic map ρ on the unit disk \mathbb{D} to $\text{cl}(Y)$ satisfying $\rho(0) = z$ and $\text{bd}(\rho(\mathbb{D})) \subset Y$ exists.

This definition is weaker than the definition of q -pseudoconcavity in [2], where a smooth exhaustion is required for the definition. Similar to the finiteness theorem of [2] for higher cohomologies of q -pseudoconcave manifolds, we have a weaker version of the finiteness theorem:

Proposition 2.2 ([1]). *If X is pseudoconcave, then any global function on X is constant, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) < \infty$ for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} .*

To prove this finiteness theorem, the maximum principle works essentially.

Remark 2.3. Higher cohomologies of a pseudoconcave flag domain have a significant meaning in several aspects. In Hodge theory, Green et al. [5] studied them with specific Mumford-Tate domains in connection with automorphic cohomology. In representation theory, higher cohomologies give a geometric realization of Zuckerman derived functor modules, see [10] and references therein.

2.2. Cycle connectivity

Let G be a connected complex Lie group. For a G -flag manifold Z , we fix a base point $z \in Z$. Then, $Z \cong G/Q_z \cong G(z)$, where Q_z is the parabolic subgroup that stabilizes z . Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be a real form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , and let τ be the associated complex conjugation. The τ -invariant complex subspace $\mathfrak{q}_z \cap \tau\mathfrak{q}_z$ contains a τ -stable Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , where \mathfrak{q}_z is the Lie algebra of Q_z . For a \mathfrak{h} -root system Σ of \mathfrak{g} , we choose a positive root system Σ^+ such that \mathfrak{q}_z contains the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let Ψ be the simple root system corresponding to Σ^+ .

For a subset $\Phi \subset \Psi$, we define

$$\Phi_r = \left\{ \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \epsilon_\psi \psi \in \Sigma \mid \epsilon_\psi = 0 \text{ whenever } \psi \notin \Phi \right\}$$

$$\Phi_n^\pm = \{ \alpha \in \pm \Sigma^+ \mid \alpha \notin \Phi_r \}.$$

We may choose Φ such that $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_z) = \{ \alpha \in \Sigma \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{q}_z \} = \Phi_r \cup \Phi_n^+$. Here Φ_r (resp. Φ_n^+) is reductive (resp. nilpotent) part of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_z)$.

Let G_0 be the real form of G corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_0 . By [3, 12], G_0 -orbits in Z are finitely many, and there is an open orbit. An open G_0 -orbit is called a flag domain. Suppose that $D = G_0(z)$ is a flag domain. Let θ be a Cartan involution that commutes with τ . Then, we may assume that \mathfrak{h} and Σ^+ satisfy the following conditions (see [12, Theorem 4.5]):

- $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a θ -stable maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 ;
- $\tau \Sigma^+ = -\Sigma^+$.

For the compact subgroup $K_0 = G_0^\theta$ and its complexification K , K_0 -orbit $K_0(z)$ coincides with the K -orbit, and $C_0 = K_0(z) = K(z)$ is a complex compact manifold (see [4, Theorem 4.3.1]). Here C_0 is called the base cycle.

For any point $x, y \in D$, we write $x \sim y$ if there exists $C_i = g_i(C_0) \subset D$ with $g_i \in G$ such that $x \in C_1$ and $y \in C_N$, where the chain $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_N$ is connected. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation, and D/\sim is classified into two types:

Proposition 2.4 ([9]). *D/\sim is either a Hermitian symmetric domain or a point. In the former case, D is pseudoconvex. In the latter case, we say D is cycle connected.*

Because a holomorphic function on D is factored as $D \rightarrow D/\sim \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the flag domain D is cycle connected if and only if any global function on D is constant. Moreover, by Proposition 2.2, pseudoconcave flag domains are cycle connected. Rather, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.5 ([8, 6]). *A flag domain D is cycle connected if, and only if, D is pseudoconcave.*

2.3. Generic 1-connectivity

Let $W = W(G, H)$ be the Weyl group with the Cartan subgroup $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$, and let W_Φ be the subgroup generated by the simple reflections associated with Φ . By the Bruhat decomposition, Q_z -orbits in Z are parameterized by $W_\Phi \backslash W/W_\Phi$, and there is a unique open Q_z -orbit \mathcal{O} .

Definition 2.6. A flag domain D is generically 1-connected if $C_0 \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$.

The preimage of the base point under $D \rightarrow D/\sim$ contains an open subset if D is generically 1-connected. Then, by Proposition 2.4, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.7. *Generically 1-connected flag domains are cycle connected (or equivalently pseudoconcave).*

The above corollary implies generic 1-connectivity is a kind of cycle connectivity. While cycle connectivity guarantees that any two points are connected by a chain

of cycles of finite length, generic 1-connectivity ensures that any point in \mathcal{O} is connected to the base point by a chain of length 1. In fact, if D is generically 1-connected, any point in \mathcal{O} is written as $g(z')$ with $z' \in C_0 \cap \mathcal{O}$ and $g \in Q_z$. Then both z and $g(z')$ are contained in $g(C_0)$.

Now K is a reductive subgroup of G . Because C_0 is a projective variety, $Q_z^K = K \cap Q_z$ is a parabolic subgroup. Then C_0 can be decomposed into the disjoint union of Q_z^K -orbits. Let $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0$ be the θ -stable decomposition, where $\mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$ and $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p}_0$ with the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. Because \mathfrak{h}_0 is maximally compact, \mathfrak{t}_0 is a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{k}_0 . Let $W_K = W(K_0, T_0)$ be the Weyl group with the maximal torus $T_0 = \exp(\mathfrak{t}_0)$. Then, each Q_z^K -orbit in C_0 is the orbit at $w(z)$ with some $w \in W_K$. Let w_0^K be the longest element in W_K with respect to the simple root system corresponding to the Borel subgroup contained in Q_z^K . Then the Q_z^K -orbit at $w_0^K(z)$ is open.

Proposition 2.8. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) D is generically 1-connected;
- (2) $w_0^K(z) \in \mathcal{O}$;
- (3) $w_0^K(\Phi_n^-) \cap \Phi_n^- = \emptyset$.

Proof. First, we show the equivalence between (1) and (2). If D is not generically 1-connected, $\mathcal{O} \cap C_0 = \emptyset$, and thus $w(z) \notin \mathcal{O}$ for all $w \in W_K$. Contrastingly, if D is generically 1-connected, $\mathcal{O} \cap C_0$ is a Q_z^K -invariant subset that must contain the open Q_z^K -orbit in C_0 . Hence $w_0^K(z) \in \mathcal{O}$.

Next, we show the equivalence between (2) and (3). We write $z' = w_0^K(z)$. Since $\Sigma = w_0^K(\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_z) \cup \Phi_n^-) = \Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_{z'}) \cup w_0^K(\Phi_n^-)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(Q_z(z')) &= |\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_z) \cap w_0^K(\Phi_n^-)| = |w_0^K(\Phi_n^-)| - |\Phi_n^- \cap w_0^K(\Phi_n^-)| \\ &= \dim D - |\Phi_n^- \cap w_0^K(\Phi_n^-)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $Q_z(z')$ is open if, and only if, $w_0^K(\Phi_n^-) \cap \Phi_n^- = \emptyset$. ■

For the longest element $w_0 \in W$, the Q_z -orbit at $w_0(z)$ is open. Moreover, any element $w \in W$ such that $w(z)$ contained in \mathcal{O} is written as $w = w_1 w_0 w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in W_\Phi$. If \mathfrak{h}_0 is compact, that is, $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0$, then W_K is a subset of W . In this case, w_0^K is written as $w_0^K = w_1 w_0 w_2$ if and only if $w_0^K(z) \in \mathcal{O}$. By Proposition 2.8, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.9. *In the case where \mathfrak{h}_0 is compact, D is generically 1-connected if, and only if, there exists $w_1, w_2 \in W_\Phi$ such that $w_1 w_0^K w_2$ is the longest element in W .*

3. Flag domains in Hermitian symmetric spaces

In this section, we suppose that Z is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type. We then have the dual Hermitian symmetric domain G_0/K_0 . To state our result, we review the root structure of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{h}_0 be a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{k}_0 . We can choose a simple \mathfrak{h} -root system $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ such that only one root is noncompact and compact otherwise. We suppose ψ_m is noncompact.

Then, the set Σ of roots can be decomposed into $\Sigma = \Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{nc}^+ \cup \Sigma_{nc}^-$, where

$$\Sigma_c = \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_m = 0 \right\}, \quad \Sigma_{nc}^\pm = \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_m = \pm 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}^\pm = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{nc}^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $P^\pm = \exp(\mathfrak{p}^\pm)$. Then, we have $Z \cong G/KP^+$, and the Hermitian symmetric domain G_0/K_0 is regarded as the G_0 -orbit at the identity coset $z_0 \in Z$.

In the Hermitian symmetric space Z , all G_0 -orbits are related by the Cayley transforms. Choosing a maximal set $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\} \subset \Sigma_{nc}^+$ of strongly orthogonal roots with $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_0$, the partial Cayley transform c_ξ and the product $c_\Gamma = \prod_{\xi \in \Gamma} c_\xi$ is constructed from $\xi \in \Gamma \subset \Xi$ (see [12, 13] for this construction). For disjoint subsets $\Gamma, \Delta \subset \Xi$, we define $z_{\Gamma, \Delta} = c_\Gamma c_\Delta^2(z_0)$. By [12, 13], the following properties hold:

- Every G_0 -orbit on Z is written as $G_0(z_{\Gamma, \Delta})$ with some $\Gamma, \Delta \subset \Xi$;
- $G_0(z_{\Gamma, \Delta}) = G_0(z_{\Gamma', \Delta'})$ if and only if $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'|$ and $|\Delta| = |\Delta'|$;
- $G_0(z_{\Gamma, \Delta})$ is open if and only if $\Gamma = \emptyset$

Then, any flag domain in Z is written as $G_0(z_{\emptyset, \Delta})$, and it depends on the cardinality of Δ . We choose Δ as the set $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a\}$ with $1 \leq a \leq r$. The square c_Δ^2 of the partial Cayley transform is $s_\Delta = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} s_i$ with the reflection s_i with respect to $\xi_i \in \Delta$. We set $z_a = s_\Delta(z_0)$ as a base point. Then the G_0 -orbit $D_a = G_0(z_a)$ is a flag domain in Z . We denote by \mathfrak{q}_a the parabolic subalgebra at z_a . Here, $\mathfrak{q}_a = s_\Delta(\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}_+)$, which contains the Borel subalgebra corresponding to the simple root system $\Psi = \{s_\Delta(\psi_1), \dots, s_\Delta(\psi_n)\}$. The set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_a)$ of roots is decomposed as

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_a) = \Phi_\Gamma \cup \Phi_n^+ \quad \text{with } \Phi = \Psi \setminus \{s_\Delta(\psi_m)\}.$$

Now Φ_n^- is decomposed as $\Phi_n^- = (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_c) \cup (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc})$. Using the longest element w_K^0 , we have $w_K^0(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_c) \subset \Sigma_c$ and $w_K^0(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_c) \cap (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_c) = \emptyset$. Then, to show generic 1-connectivity, Proposition 2.8 is simplified as follows:

Corollary 3.1. *D_a is generically 1-connected if and only if the following condition is satisfied: $w_0^K(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc}) \cap (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc}) = \emptyset$.*

The Hermitian symmetric space with a classical group G can be classified into four types (see [7, Chapter VII] for details): *AIII*, *DIII*, *BDI*, and *CI*. We consider the 1-connectivity of D_a in the cases of type *AIII* and *CI*.

3.1. Case for type *CI*

We fix a symplectic form ω on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and let $G_0 = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the subgroup of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$, leaving invariant this form. We have a basis $\{f_i\}_i$ that satisfies $\sqrt{-1}\omega(v, \bar{w}) = \sum_{i \leq n} (v_i w_i - v_{n+i} w_{n+i})$ for $v = \sum v_i f_i$ and $w = \sum w_i f_i$. Using this basis, we may regard G_0 as $U(n, n) \cap G$.

The Grassmannian Z of ω -isotropic n -planes in \mathbb{C}^{2n} is a G -flag manifold, and all open G_0 -orbits correspond to pairs of numbers of positive and negative signatures of the associated Hermitian form. Let

$$z_a = \text{Span} \{f_i \mid a < i \leq n + a\} \in Z$$

for $0 \leq a \leq n$, where $\text{sgn}(z_a) = (n - a, a)$. Then, any flag domain can be written as

$D_a = G_0(z_a)$, and both D_0 and D_n are Hermitian symmetric domains, that is, each one is the Siegel upper (or lower) half space.

Theorem 3.2. *An $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -flag domain D_a in the Hermitian symmetric space is generically 1-connected if and only if $2a = n$.*

For this proof, we consider the root structure and the Weyl group action. We choose $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{u}(2n) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{u}(n)$, and let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ be the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. We define $e_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $e_i(X) = a_i$ for $X = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then, we may choose the simple root system $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$, where $\psi_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ for $i < n$ and $\psi_n = 2e_n$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_c &= \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_n = 0 \right\} = \{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\}, \\ \Sigma_{nc}^\pm &= \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_n = \pm 1 \right\} = \{\pm(e_i + e_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

Now G_0 is the split real form, i.e. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_0 = \dim \mathfrak{h}_0 = n$. The maximal set of noncompact orthogonal roots is $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ with $\xi_i = 2e_i$. Then $s_\Delta(e_i) = -e_i$ if $i < a$, and $s_\Delta(e_i) = e_i$ otherwise. Since $-s_\Delta(\psi_i) \in \Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{nc}^+$, i.e. $-\psi_i \in s_\Delta(\Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{nc}^+)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_a) = s_\Delta(\Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{nc}^+)$ contains the set $\{-\psi_i\}_{i \neq n}$ of simple roots of Σ_c . By using these simple roots, W_K is the symmetry group S_n in terms of the permutations of the indices of e_1, \dots, e_n , and the longest element in W_K is

$$w_0^K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & n-1 & \\ & & & & n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We apply Corollary 3.1. Since $\Phi_n^- = s_\Delta(\Sigma_{nc}^-)$, we have

$$\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc} = \{e_i + e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq a\} \cup \{-e_i - e_j \mid a + 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Then, $w_0^K(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc}) \cap (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{nc}) = \emptyset$ if and only if $2a = n$. ■

Next, we consider the generic 1-connectivity of a certain type of flag domain fibered over D_a with $0 < a < n$. Let Z_m be the flag manifold consisting of sequences $V_1 \subset V_2$ of ω -isotropic subspaces with $0 < \dim V_1 = m \leq a < \dim V_2 = n$. We set

$$z_{m,0} = (F_m \subset F_n) \in Z_m \quad \text{where } F_r = \text{Span}\{f_i\}_{i \leq r}. \tag{2}$$

We continue to assume $\Delta = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a\}$ and set $z_{m,a} = s_\Delta(z_{m,0})$ as the base point. Then, $D_{m,a} = G_0(z_{m,a})$ is the flag domain in Z_m , determined by

$$\text{sgn}(V_1) = (0, m), \quad \text{sgn}(V_2) = (n - a, a).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The flag domain $D_{m,a}$ is not generically 1-connected.*

Proof. We denote by $\mathfrak{q}_{m,a}$ the parabolic subalgebra at $z_{m,a}$. Then, $\mathfrak{q}_{m,a}$ contains the Borel subalgebra corresponding to the simple root system $\Psi = \{s_\Delta(\psi_1), \dots, s_\Delta(\psi_n)\}$, where $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_{m,a}) = \Phi_r \cup \Phi_n^+$ with $\Phi = \Psi \setminus \{s_\Delta(\psi_m), s_\Delta(\psi_n)\}$.

We have
$$e_1 + e_n = s_\Delta(-e_1 + e_n) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_\Delta(\psi_i) \in \Phi_n^-.$$

Moreover, $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_{m,a})$ contains the set $\{-\psi_i\}_{i \neq n}$ of simple roots of Σ_c , and the longest element w_0^K is defined in (1). Then, $w_0^K(e_1 + e_n) = e_1 + e_n$; hence, $D_{m,a}$ is not generically 1-connected by Proposition 2.8. ■

3.2. Case for type *AIII*

We fix a Hermitian form $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on \mathbb{C}^{p+q} , and let $G_0 = SU(p, q)$ be the subgroup of $SL(p + q, \mathbb{C})$, leaving invariant this form. We may assume $p \leq q$. We have a basis $\{f_i\}_i$ that satisfies $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i \leq p} v_i w_i - \sum_{j > p} v_j w_j$ for $v = \sum v_i f_i$ and $w = \sum w_i f_i$. The Grassmannian Z of the p -planes in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} is a G -flag manifold, and all open G_0 -orbits correspond to pairs of numbers of positive and negative signatures. Let

$$z_a = \text{Span} \{f_i \mid a < i \leq p, p + q - a < i \leq p + q\}$$

for $0 \leq a \leq p$, where $\text{sgn}(z_a) = (p - a, a)$. Then, any flag domain is written as $D_a = G_0(z_a)$, and D_0 is the Hermitian symmetric domain $\{X \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid I - {}^t X X > 0\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *An $SU(p, q)$ -flag domain D_a in the Hermitian symmetric space is generically 1-connected if and only if $p \leq 2a \leq q$.*

As in type *CI*, we consider the root structure and Weyl group action. We choose $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{su}(p, q) \cap \mathfrak{u}(p + q)$, and let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{k}_0$ be the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. Then, we may choose the simple root system $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{p+q-1}\}$, where $\psi_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$. The simple root ψ_i is compact if $i = p$ and is noncompact otherwise. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_c &= \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_p = 0 \right\} = \{e_i - e_j \mid i, j \leq p \text{ or } p < i, j\}, \\ \Sigma_{\text{nc}}^\pm &= \left\{ \sum \epsilon_i \psi_i \mid \epsilon_p = \pm 1 \right\} = \{\pm(e_i - e_j) \mid i \leq p < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set the maximal set $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p\}$ of strongly orthogonal noncompact roots with $\xi_i = e_i - e_{p+q+1-i}$. The reflection s_i with respect to ξ_i is the permutation of the indices of e_1, \dots, e_{p+q} , which exchanges i and $p + q + 1 - i$. Then $s_\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^a s_i$ is the permutation

$$s_\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & a & a+1 & \cdots & p+q-a & p+q-a+1 & \cdots & p+q \\ p+q & \cdots & p+q-a+1 & a+1 & \cdots & p+q-a & a & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Therefore $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_a) = s_\Delta(\Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{\text{nc}}^+)$ contains the set $\{-\psi_i\}_{i \neq p}$ of simple roots of Σ_c . By using these simple roots, $W \cong S_{p+q}$ and $W_K \cong S_p \times S_q$. The longest element in W_K is the permutation

$$w_0^K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & p & p+1 & \cdots & p+q \\ p & \cdots & 1 & p+q & \cdots & p+1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}} &= \{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq a, p + q - a + 1 \leq j \leq p + q\} \\ &\cup \{-e_i + e_j \mid a + 1 \leq i \leq p, p + 1 \leq j \leq p + q - a\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, it is immediate to verify that $w_0^K(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}}) \cap (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}}) = \emptyset$ if and only if $p \leq 2a \leq q$. Hence Theorem 3.4 follows from Corollary 3.1. ■

Next, we consider the generic 1-connectivity of a certain type of flag domain fibered over D_a with $p \leq 2a \leq q$. We define sequences $\mathbf{s} : s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_{a+1}$ and $\mathbf{t} : t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_{a+1}$ with

$$s_i = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \leq a \\ p & \text{if } i = a + 1, \end{cases} \quad t_i = \begin{cases} p + q - i & \text{if } i \leq a \\ p & \text{if } i = a + 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $Z_{\mathbf{s}}$ (resp. $Z_{\mathbf{t}}$) be a flag manifold consisting of sequences $V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{a+1}$ with $\dim V_i = s_i$ (resp. $V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_{a+1}$ with $\dim V_i = t_i$). We let

$$z_{\mathbf{s},0} = (F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_a \subset F_p) \quad \text{and} \quad z_{\mathbf{t},0} = (F_{p+q-1} \supset \cdots \supset F_{p+q-a} \supset F_p),$$

where F_i is defined as in (2). We continue to assume $\Delta = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a\}$ and set $z_{\mathbf{s}} = s_{\Delta}(z_{\mathbf{s},0})$ and $z_{\mathbf{t}} = s_{\Delta}(z_{\mathbf{t},0})$. Then, $D_{\mathbf{s}} = G_0(z_{\mathbf{s}})$ (resp. $D_{\mathbf{t}} = G_0(z_{\mathbf{t}})$) is the flag domain in $Z_{\mathbf{s}}$ (resp. $Z_{\mathbf{t}}$) determined by

$$\text{sgn}(V_i) = \begin{cases} (0, i) & \text{if } i \leq a \\ (p-a, a) & \text{if } i = a+1. \end{cases} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \text{sgn}(V_i) = \begin{cases} (p-i, q) & \text{if } i \leq a \\ (p-a, a) & \text{if } i = a+1. \end{cases} \right)$$

Proposition 3.5. *The flag domains $D_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ are generically 1-connected.*

Proof. We denote by $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{s}}$ the parabolic subalgebra at $z_{\mathbf{s}}$. Then, $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{s}}$ contains the Borel subalgebra corresponding to the simple root system $\Psi = \{s_{\Delta}(\psi_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq p+q-1}$, and $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{s}}) = \Phi_r \cup \Phi_n^+$ with $\Phi = \Psi \setminus \{s_{\Delta}(\psi_1), \dots, s_{\Delta}(\psi_a), s_{\Delta}(\psi_p)\}$. By the permutation (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}} &= (\text{the right hand side of (5)}) \\ &\cup \{e_i - e_j \mid a+1 \leq i \leq p, p+q-a+1 \leq j \leq p+q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{s}}$ contains the set $\{-\psi_i\}_{i \neq p}$ of simple roots of Σ_c , and the longest element is the permutation (4). Then, we have $w_0^K(\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}}) \cap (\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}}) = \emptyset$ and $D_{\mathbf{s}}$ is generically 1-connected.

For the proof of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ the set Φ is replaced by

$$\Psi \setminus \{s_{\Delta}(\psi_{p+q-1}), \dots, s_{\Delta}(\psi_{p+q-a}), s_{\Delta}(\psi_p)\}.$$

Then, $\Phi_n^- \cap \Sigma_{\text{nc}}$ is written as

$$(\text{the right hand side of (5)}) \cup \{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq a, p+1 \leq j \leq p+q-a\}.$$

Therefore, $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ is generically 1-connected similarly as in the case for $D_{\mathbf{s}}$. ■

Let \mathbf{s}' (resp. \mathbf{t}') be a subsequence of \mathbf{s} (resp. \mathbf{t}), and we define the subsequences $z_{\mathbf{s}'}$ (resp. $z_{\mathbf{t}'}$) of $z_{\mathbf{s}}$ (resp. $z_{\mathbf{t}}$), as described above. Then, we have the flag domain $D_{\mathbf{s}'} = G_0(z_{\mathbf{s}'})$ and $D_{\mathbf{t}'} = G_0(z_{\mathbf{t}'})$, which compose the fibration

$$D_{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow D_{\mathbf{s}'} \rightarrow D_a \leftarrow D_{\mathbf{t}'} \leftarrow D_{\mathbf{t}}$$

Because the parabolic subalgebra at $z_{\mathbf{s}'}$ (resp. $z_{\mathbf{t}'}$) contains $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbf{t}}$), the above proposition and Proposition 2.8 imply the following corollary:

Corollary 3.6. *The flag domains $D_{\mathbf{s}'}$ and $D_{\mathbf{t}'}$ are generically 1-connected.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank H. Ochiai and S. Kaji for their helpful discussions. The author is also grateful to the referee for valuable comments on simplifying the proofs of our results. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Number 20K03540).

References

- [1] A. Andreotti: *Nine lectures on complex analysis*, Complex Analysis, Centro Int. Mat. Estivo (1974) 1–175.
- [2] A. Andreotti, H. Grauert: *Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962) 193–259.
- [3] K. Aomoto: *On some double coset decompositions of complex semi-simple Lie groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18/1 (1966) 1–44.
- [4] G. Fels, A. Huckleberry, J. A. Wolf: *Cycle Spaces of Flag Domains: A Complex Geometric Viewpoint*, Progress in Mathematics 245, Birkhäuser, Basel (2006).
- [5] M. Green, P. Griffiths, M. Kerr: *Hodge Theory, Complex Geometry, and Representation Theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 118, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2013).
- [6] T. Hayama, A. Huckleberry, Q. Latif: *Pseudoconvexity of flag domains: the method of supporting cycles*, Math. Ann. 375/1 (2019) 671–685.
- [7] S. Helgason: *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1978).
- [8] J. Hong, A. Huckleberry, A. Seo: *Normal bundles of cycles in flag domains*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. 12/2 (2018) 278–289.
- [9] A. Huckleberry: *Remarks on homogeneous manifolds satisfying Levi-conditions*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9) 3/1 (2010) 1–23.
- [10] T. Kobayashi: *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous manifolds of reductive type and unitary representation theory*, in: *Selected Papers on Harmonic Analysis, Groups, and Invariants*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation Series 2, vol. 183, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1998) 1–31.
- [11] T. Matsuki: *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31/2 (1979) 331–357.
- [12] J. A. Wolf: *The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold. I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75/6 (1969) 1121–1238.
- [13] J. A. Wolf, R. Zierau: *Cayley transforms and orbit structure in complex flag manifolds*, Transformation Groups 2/4 (1997) 391–405.

Tatsuki Hayama, School of Business Administration, Senshu University, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan; hayama@isc.senshu-u.ac.jp.

Received April 2, 2021
and in final form January 12, 2022