

L-Iwasawa Decomposition of the Generalized Lorentz Group

Edgar N. Reyes

Communicated by G. Ólafsson

Abstract. Let $n \geq 2$. Let $O(1, n)$ be the generalized Lorentz Lie group, and let $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ be its Lie algebra. Let $L = \text{diag}(1, -1, I_{n-1})$ be a diagonal matrix. We state a sufficient condition that if satisfied by $G \in O(1, n)$ then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in O(1, n)$, $V_1, Y \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ such that $LkL^{-1} = k$, $V_1 \neq 0$, $LV_1L^{-1} = -V_1$, $[V_1, Y] = Y$, and $G = ke^{tV_1}e^Y$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A23, 22E15.

Key Words: Involution, Iwasawa decomposition, Lorentz group.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ denote the set of n -by- m real matrices. Let $n \geq 2$, $M_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let I_n denote the n -by- n identity matrix. Let $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the multiplicative group of nonsingular matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Let $J = 1 \oplus (-I_n) \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be a diagonal matrix. For $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, let X^T denote the transpose of X . We consider the generalized Lorentz group

$$O(1, n) = \{G \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) : G^T J G = J\}.$$

For brevity, we say $O(1, n)$ is a Lorentz group. Let $O(n)$ denote the multiplicative group of real orthogonal matrices $B \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $B^T B = I_n$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ of the Lie group $O(1, n)$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \{X \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) : X^T J + J X = 0\}.$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy $w^T J w \neq 0$. Let $S_w = I_{n+1} - 2(w^T J w)^{-1} w w^T J$. The matrix S_w is a J -Householder matrix satisfying $S_w^{-1} = S_w = S_{tw} \in O(1, n)$ for all $t \neq 0$.

We associate an involution $\rho_w : O(1, n) \rightarrow O(1, n)$ by letting $\rho_w(G) = S_w G S_w^{-1}$, $G \in O(1, n)$. The differential $d\rho_w$ of ρ_w at I_{n+1} is a Lie algebra involution

$$d\rho_w : \mathfrak{so}(1, n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$$

satisfying $d\rho_w(X) = S_w X S_w^{-1}$, $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. The eigenspaces of $d\rho_w$, and the subgroup of fixed points of ρ_w are denoted as follows.

1. $\mathfrak{p}_w = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n) : d\rho_w(X) = -X\}$
2. $\mathfrak{k}_w = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n) : d\rho_w(X) = X\}$
3. $K_w = \{k \in O(1, n) : \rho_w(k) = k\}$

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy $v^T J v = w^T J w \neq 0$. Choose a J -Householder matrix $D \in O(1, n)$ satisfying $Dv = -w$ or $Dv = w$ [2]. In any case, $DS_v D^{-1} = S_{Dv} = S_w$. Let $\psi : O(1, n) \rightarrow O(1, n)$ be an inner-automorphism satisfying

$$\psi(G) = DGD^{-1}, \quad G \in O(1, n).$$

The differential $d\psi$ of ψ at I_{n+1} satisfies $d\psi(X) = DXD^{-1}$, $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Note, $\psi \circ \rho_w = \rho_v \circ \psi$. We find $k \in K_w$ iff $\rho_w(k) = k$ iff $\psi(k) = \rho_v(\psi(k))$ iff $\psi(k) \in K_v$. Thus, $\psi(K_w) = K_v$. Likewise, $X \in \mathfrak{K}_w$ iff $d\rho_w(X) = X$ iff $d\psi(X) = d\rho_v(d\psi(X))$ iff $d\psi(X) \in \mathfrak{K}_v$. Then $d\psi(\mathfrak{K}_w) = \mathfrak{K}_v$. Similarly, $d\psi(\mathfrak{p}_w) = \mathfrak{p}_v$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy $v^T J v = w^T J w \neq 0$. Then we have direct sums of subspaces $\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K}_v \oplus \mathfrak{p}_v$ and $\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K}_w \oplus \mathfrak{p}_w$. Also, there exists an inner-automorphism ψ of $O(1, n)$ satisfying $\psi(K_w) = K_v$, $d\psi(\mathfrak{K}_w) = \mathfrak{K}_v$, and $d\psi(\mathfrak{p}_w) = \mathfrak{p}_v$.*

Suppose $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies $u^T J u > 0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $u = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In this case, $u^T J u = 1$ and $S_u = I_{n+1} - 2uu^T J = -J$. For $G \in O(1, n)$, we find $\rho_u(G) = JGJ = G^{-T}$. Then $d\rho_u(X) = -X^T$, $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Note, $d\rho_u$ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. In fact, \mathfrak{p}_u consists of the symmetric matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Also, \mathfrak{K}_u consists of the skew-symmetric matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. The subgroup K_u consists of orthogonal matrices in $O(1, n)$ expressible in block form $\varepsilon \oplus M$ where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $M \in O(n)$.

Moreover, there is a bijection $\phi_u : \mathfrak{p}_u \times K_u \rightarrow O(1, n)$ where $\phi_u(X, k) = e^X k$, $X \in \mathfrak{p}_u$, $k \in K_u$. A Cartan involution induces an Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$, and an Iwasawa decomposition of the connected component of $O(1, n)$. We briefly describe the Iwasawa decompositions. Consider the block matrix

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n).$$

Let $ad(V_1) : \mathfrak{so}(1, n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ be a function where $ad(V_1)(X) = [V_1, X] \equiv V_1 X - X V_1$, $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. It is well-known that the eigenvalues of $ad(V_1)$ are 0 and ± 1 . Denote the 1-eigenspace of $ad(V_1)$ by

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1 = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n) : ad(V_1)(X) = X\}.$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_u} = \{tV_1 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The direct sum of Lie subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K}_u \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_u} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$$

is an Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Let A and N be the connected Lie subgroups of $O(1, n)$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_u}$ and $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$, respectively. Then

$$O(1, n) = K_u A N$$

is an Iwasawa decomposition for $O(1, n)$. For each $G \in O(1, n)$, there is a unique triple $(k, t, W) \in K_u \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$ satisfying $G = ke^{tV_1}e^W$. The mapping $K_u \times A \times N \rightarrow O(1, n)$ satisfying $(k, a, n) \mapsto kan$ is a continuous bijection [1], [4], [5].

We are motivated to investigate Iwasawa-like decompositions of $O(1, n)$ that are induced by non-Cartan involutions $d\rho_w$ where $w^T J w < 0$. Similar to the construction of the Iwasawa decomposition, we analyze the subset $K_w A_w N_w$ of $O(1, n)$ where K_w , A_w , and N_w are Lie subgroups of $O(1, n)$ that we briefly describe. That is, K_w is the subgroup of fixed points in $O(1, n)$ of ρ_w ; however, K_w is not compact unlike K_u which is compact since $d\rho_u$ is a Cartan involution. Secondly, let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_w}$ be a maximal subspace of \mathfrak{p}_w such that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_w}$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. In the next section, assuming $w = 0 \oplus e_1$, we show $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_w}$ is a 1-dimensional subspace spanned by V_1 . In our above notation, A_w is the connected abelian Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_w}$. Moreover, we show the 1-eigenspace, denoted by $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$, of $ad(V_1)$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. In the Iwasawa-like decomposition, N_w is the connected abelian Lie subgroup with Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n} \equiv \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$.

In this paper, we study the case when $w^T J w < 0$. Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $L = \text{diag}(1, -1, I_{n-1})$ be a diagonal matrix. Without loss of generality, we assume and set for the remainder of the paper the following

$$w = 0 \oplus e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Then $w^T J w = -1$ and $S_w = L$. For conciseness, we suppress w , and write

1. $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_w$
2. $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_w$, and
3. $K = K_w$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a maximal subspace of \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an abelian Lie algebra. We choose $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_u} = \{tV_1 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In Section 2, we show the following is a direct sum of subspaces

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$$

which we call an L -Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Let G_{ij} denote the (i, j) -entry of $G \in O(1, n)$. In the next lemma, we describe the subgroup K of fixed points of ρ_w .

Lemma 1.2. *Let $G \in O(1, n)$, $n \geq 2$. Then $G \in K$ if and only if $G_{i,2} = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$.*

In Section 2, we study the connected Lie subgroup S of $O(1, n)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$. We show $S = AN$ is a solvable semi-direct product group. In Section 3, we state a sufficient condition for a matrix $G \in O(1, n)$ to satisfy to ensure $G \in KAN$. In such a case, we say G has an L -Iwasawa decomposition. For the development of Section 3, we quote the following theorem [3].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy $v^T J v = -1$. Let $\phi_v : \mathfrak{p}_v \times K_v \rightarrow O(1, n)$ be defined by $\phi_v(X, k) = e^X k$, $X \in \mathfrak{p}_v$, $k \in K_v$. Then ϕ_v is surjective. However, ϕ_v is not injective.*

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathfrak{so}(m)$ denote the Lie algebra of m -by- m real skew-symmetric matrices.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, and let $X \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and $D \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1)$ such that*

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & a & b_1^T \\ a & 0 & b_2^T \\ \hline b_1 & -b_2 & D \end{array} \right]. \tag{1}$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$.

- (1) $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ if and only if X has the form in (1) where $b_1 = 0$ and $D = 0$.
- (2) $X \in \mathfrak{K}$ if and only if X has the form in (1) where $a = 0$ and $b_2 = 0$.

We call a subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{p} an abelian subspace if $[X, Y] = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Lemma 2.2. *A maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} is 1-dimensional.*

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $b_2, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Let $X_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & a_1 & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 & b_2^T \\ \hline 0 & -b_2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \neq 0$, and let X_1 lie in a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} .

Suppose $X_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & d_2^T \\ \hline 0 & -d_2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $[X_1, X_2] = 0$. We find

$$[X_1, X_2] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & a_1 d_2^T - a_2 b_2^T \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline a_1 d_2 - a_2 b_2 & 0 & d_2 b_2^T - b_2 d_2^T \end{array} \right].$$

If $a_1 \neq 0$, we find $X_2 = \frac{a_2}{a_1} X_1$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $b_2 \neq 0$. In particular, one of the entries of b_2 is nonzero, say, the i th entry $b_{2,i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus, $X_2 = \frac{d_{2,i}}{b_{2,i}} X_1$. Hence, the maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} containing X_1 is 1-dimensional. ■

Let $V_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \oplus 0_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ be as in Lemma 2.1, and let

$$X' = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & b_2^T \\ 0 & 0 & b_1^T \\ \hline b_2 & -b_1 & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Then $ad(V_1)X = X'$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(1, n) : [V_1, X] = \lambda X\}$, the eigenspace of $ad(V_1)$ corresponding to eigenvalue λ .

Lemma 2.3. *The eigenvalues of $ad(V_1)$ are 0, 1, -1, and*

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_0 \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1 \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_{-1}$$

is a direct sum of eigenspaces where

$$(1) \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_0 = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & a & 0^T \\ a & 0 & 0^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 & D \end{array} \right] : a \in \mathbb{R}, D \in \mathfrak{o}(n-1) \right\}$$

$$(2) \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1 = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & b^T \\ 0 & 0 & b^T \\ \hline b & -b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] : b \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\}$$

$$(3) \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_{-1} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & b^T \\ 0 & 0 & -b^T \\ \hline b & b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] : b \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\}$$

Proof. Recall, $ad(V_1)X = X'$. Clearly, the sets in the right side of statements 1-3 are contained in $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)_0, \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1, \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_{-1}$, respectively. Since $\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, the sum of the sets in the right side of statements 1-3 is $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$. Moreover, the sum is a direct sum. The proof of the lemma is complete. ■

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_1$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in \mathfrak{K} : [x, h] = 0, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}\}$, i.e, the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ in \mathfrak{K} . Recall, $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p} = \{tV_1 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Corollary 2.4. *In each of the following, we have a direct sum of subspaces.*

$$(1) \mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$$

$$(2) \mathfrak{so}(1, n)_0 = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$$

Proof. Recall, $\mathfrak{so}(1, n) = \mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Then the first part of the corollary follows from Lemma 2.3. The second part follows since $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . ■

Consider the following direct sum of subspaces

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{n}. \quad (2)$$

Notice, \mathfrak{n} is an abelian Lie algebra. Now, let $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ denote the derived Lie algebra of \mathfrak{s} . That is, $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{s}$ is the linear span of $[X, Y]$ where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$. In particular, if $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$, then $[t_1V_1 + X_1, t_2V_1 + X_2] = t_1X_2 - t_2X_1 \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{n}$. Since \mathfrak{n} is an abelian Lie algebra, the second derived algebra of \mathfrak{s} is $\mathcal{D}^2\mathfrak{s} = \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$. Thus, \mathfrak{s} is a solvable Lie algebra. Note, \mathfrak{s} is not a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Lemma 2.5. *\mathfrak{n} is an abelian Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{s} is a solvable Lie algebra.*

Recall A and N are the connected Lie subgroups of $O(1, n)$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{n} , respectively. Since $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{n} are abelian Lie algebras, we find $A = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p})$, and $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$. Clearly, $AN = \{an : a \in A, n \in N\}$ is a connected subset of $O(1, n)$. For each integer $k \geq 1$, let

$$\exp(\mathfrak{s})^k = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \exp(a_i) : a_i \in \mathfrak{s} \right\}.$$

Let S be the connected Lie subgroup of $O(1, n)$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} satisfying (2). We find $S = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(\mathfrak{s})^k$. Applying (2), we find $AN \subseteq S$. We show $S = AN$ in Corollary 2.10 below.

Definition 2.6. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \neq 0$, let $\alpha_1(t) = \frac{e^t - 1}{t}$, $\alpha_2(t) = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t}$, and let $\alpha(t) = \frac{\cosh(t) - 1}{t^2}$.

Lemma 2.7. *If $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\alpha_1(t)\alpha_2(t) = 2\alpha(t)$ and $\frac{\alpha_1(t)}{\alpha_2(t)} = e^t$. There is a removable discontinuity at $t = 0$ in $\alpha_1(t)$, $\alpha_2(t)$, and $\alpha(t)$, and we can set $\alpha_1(0) = 1 = \alpha_2(0)$, and $\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the following matrices that we will adopt for the remainder of this section.

1. Let $W = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & b^T \\ 0 & 0 & b^T \\ \hline b & -b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{n}$
2. Let $Z = tV_1 + W = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & t & b^T \\ t & 0 & b^T \\ \hline b & -b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{s}$

The next lemma follows from a proof by induction.

Lemma 2.8. *For all integers $k \geq 1$, we find*

1. $Z^{2k-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & t^{2k-1} & t^{2(k-1)}b^T \\ t^{2k-1} & 0 & t^{2(k-1)}b^T \\ \hline t^{2(k-1)}b & -t^{2(k-1)}b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$
2. $Z^{2k} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} t^{2(k-1)}(t^2 + b^Tb) & -t^{2(k-1)}b^Tb & t^{2k-1}b^T \\ t^{2(k-1)}b^Tb & t^{2(k-1)}(t^2 - b^Tb) & t^{2k-1}b^T \\ \hline -t^{2k-1}b & t^{2k-1}b & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$.

Lemma 2.9.

$$\exp(Z) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \cosh(t) + \alpha(t)b^Tb & \sinh(t) - \alpha(t)b^Tb & \alpha_1(t)b^T \\ \sinh(t) + \alpha(t)b^Tb & \cosh(t) - \alpha(t)b^Tb & \alpha_1(t)b^T \\ \hline \alpha_2(t)b & -\alpha_2(t)b & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

Proof. We know $\exp(Z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z^k/k!$. Let $\exp(Z)_{2,1}$ denote the $(2, 1)$ -block entry of $\exp(Z)$. Applying Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\exp(Z)_{2,1} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k-1)!} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} \right) [b, -b]$$

Applying the trigonometric hyperbolic functions, we obtain

$$\exp(Z)_{2,1} = \frac{1}{t} (\sinh(t) - \cosh(t) + 1) [b, -b] = \frac{1}{t} (1 - e^{-t}) [b, -b].$$

This proves $\exp(Z)_{2,1} = \alpha_2(t) [b, -b]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\exp(Z)_{1,1}$ denote the $(1, 1)$ -block entry of $\exp(Z)$. Applying Lemma 2.8, we find $\exp(Z)_{1,1} - I_2$, is equal to the 2-by-2 matrix below.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} (t^2 + b^Tb) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k)!} & -(b^Tb) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} \\ (b^Tb) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} & (t^2 - b^Tb) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k)!} \end{array} \right]$$

Notice, $t^2\alpha(t) = \cosh(t) - 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(k-1)}}{(2k)!} = \alpha(t)$. Then

$$\exp(Z)_{1,1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cosh(t) + \alpha(t)b^Tb & \sinh(t) - \alpha(t)b^Tb \\ \sinh(t) + \alpha(t)b^Tb & \cosh(t) - \alpha(t)b^Tb \end{array} \right] \in M_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Similarly, the (1, 2)-block entry of $\exp(Z)$ can be shown to satisfy

$$\exp(Z)_{1,2} = \alpha_1(t)[b, b]^T \in M_{2,n-1}(\mathbb{R});$$

however, we omit its proof. Clearly, the (2, 2)-block entry satisfies $\exp(Z)_{2,2} = I_{n-1}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Applying Lemma 2.9, if $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\exp(tV_1) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \cosh(t) & \sinh(t) & 0^T \\ \sinh(t) & \cosh(t) & 0^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in A$$

and

$$\exp(W) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 + \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & -\frac{1}{2}b^Tb & b^T \\ \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & 1 - \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & b^T \\ \hline b & -b & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in N.$$

Then A and N are closed subgroups of $O(1, n)$.

Corollary 2.10. *Then $\exp(tV_1 + W) = \exp(tV_1)\exp(\alpha_2(t)W)$. In particular, $S = AN$.*

Proof. The corollary is true if $t = 0$. We assume $t \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 2.9, we find $\exp(tV_1)\exp(\alpha_2(t)W)$ is equal to

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \cosh(t) & \sinh(t) & 0^T \\ \sinh(t) & \cosh(t) & 0^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2(t)^2b^Tb & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2(t)^2b^Tb & \alpha_2(t)b^T \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2(t)^2b^Tb & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2(t)^2b^Tb & \alpha_2(t)b^T \\ \hline \alpha_2(t)b & -\alpha_2(t)b & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Recall, $\cosh(t) + \sinh(t) = e^t$, $e^t\alpha_2(t) = \alpha_1(t)$, and $\alpha_1(t)\alpha_2(t) = 2\alpha(t)$. By multiplying the above matrices, we obtain the matrix for $\exp(tV_1 + W)$ in Lemma 2.9. This proves the first part of the corollary.

Recall, $W \in \mathfrak{n}$, $[V_1, W] = W$, and $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$. We find A normalizes N since

$$e^{tV_1}e^W e^{-tV_1} = e^{Ad(e^{tV_1})(W)} = e^{e^{tad_{V_1}}(W)} = e^{e^tW} \in N.$$

In particular, $AN = NA$, AN is a group, N is a normal subgroup of AN , and AN is a semi-direct product group. The first part of the corollary implies $\exp(\mathfrak{s}) \subseteq AN$. Since AN is a subgroup, we find $S = \prod_{i=1}^k \exp(\mathfrak{s})^k \subseteq AN$. Earlier, we have seen $AN \subseteq S$. Hence, $S = AN$. ■

Corollary 2.11. *The restriction of \exp to \mathfrak{s} is injective.*

Proof. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and let

$$W_i = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & b_i^T \\ 0 & 0 & b_i^T \\ \hline b_i & -b_i & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

Suppose $\exp(t_1V_1 + W_1) = \exp(t_2V_1 + W_2)$. Applying Corollary 2.10, we obtain $\exp(t_1V_1)\exp(\alpha_2(t_1)W_1) = \exp(t_2V_1)\exp(\alpha_2(t_2)W_2)$. Since \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{n} are abelian Lie algebras, we obtain $\exp((t_1 - t_2)V_1) = \exp(\alpha_2(t_2)W_2 - \alpha_2(t_1)W_1)$. Let $t = t_1 - t_2$. Let $b = \alpha_2(t_2)W_2 - \alpha_2(t_1)W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and let W be the corresponding matrix defined in statement 1 after Lemma 2.7. Then $\exp(tV_1) = \exp(W)$. Applying Lemma 2.9, we find

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \cosh(t) & \sinh(t) & 0^T \\ \sinh(t) & \cosh(t) & 0^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 + \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & -\frac{1}{2}b^Tb & b^T \\ \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & 1 - \frac{1}{2}b^Tb & b^T \\ \hline b & -b & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Comparing the corresponding entries of the above matrices, we find $b = 0$. Then $\sinh(t) = 0$. Since $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we find $t = 0$ and $t_1 = t_2$. Then $\alpha_2(t_1) = \alpha_2(t_2)$. Since $b = 0$, we find $\alpha_2(t_2)W_2 = \alpha_2(t_1)W_1 = \alpha_2(t_2)W_1$. Since $\alpha_2(t_2) \neq 0$ for all $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain $W_1 = W_2$. Hence, $W_1 = W_2$. This proves the corollary. ■

Corollary 2.12. *The exponential mapping is a bijection from \mathfrak{s} onto S .*

Proof. Due to Corollary 2.11, we only need to show \exp is surjective from \mathfrak{s} onto S . Let $s \in S$. Since $S = AN$, choose $a \in A$, and $n \in N$ such that $s = an$. Recall, $\exp(\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}) = A$ and $\exp(\mathfrak{n}) = N$. Choose $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{n}$ such that $a = \exp(tV_1)$ and $n = \exp(W)$. Then $s = \exp(tV_1)\exp(W)$. Note, $\alpha_2(t)^{-1}W \in \mathfrak{n}$. Applying Corollary 2.10, we find $\exp(tV_1 + \alpha_2(t)^{-1}W) = \exp(tV_1)\exp(W) = s$. Hence, \exp is surjective from \mathfrak{s} onto S . ■

3. Main result

Let $n \geq 2$, and let $G \in O(1, n)$. Applying Theorem 1.3, we find $G^{-1} = e^Xk$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $k \in K$. In [3], e^X is constructed as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and let*

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline x_1 & 0 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -x_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad X^2 = \left[\begin{array}{c|cccc} x_1^2 & 0 & x_1x_2 & \cdots & x_1x_n \\ \hline 0 & x_1^2 - \sum_{k=2}^n x_k^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -x_1x_2 & 0 & -x_2^2 & \cdots & -x_2x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -x_1x_n & 0 & -x_2x_n & \cdots & -x_n^2 \end{array} \right].$$

$$(2) \quad e^X = I_{n+1} + \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{\beta})}{\sqrt{\beta}}X + \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) - 1}{\beta}X^2$$

where $\beta = x_1^2 - x^T x$ and $x = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

For conciseness, we adopt the notation $s = \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{\beta})}{\sqrt{\beta}}$.

Suppose $\xi \equiv sx_1 - \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \neq 0$. (3)

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and let

$$Y = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & t & d^T \\ t & 0 & d^T \\ \hline d & -d & 0_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{s}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.9, we find

$$e^Y = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \cosh(t) + \alpha(t)d^T d & \sinh(t) - \alpha(t)d^T d & \alpha_1(t)d^T \\ \sinh(t) + \alpha(t)d^T d & \cosh(t) - \alpha(t)d^T d & \alpha_1(t)d^T \\ \hline \alpha_2(t)d & -\alpha_2(t)d & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Let $Z = e^Y e^X$. Let $z_{i,j}$ denote the (i, j) -entry of Z where $1 \leq i, j \leq n + 1$. Let $d^T = (d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $3 \leq i \leq n + 1$.*

- (1) $z_{12} = (\cosh(t) + \alpha(t)d^T d) s x_1 + (\sinh(t) - \alpha(t)d^T d) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) - \alpha_1(t) s d^T x$
- (2) $z_{i,2} = \alpha_2(t) d_{i-2} \xi - s x_{i-1}$

Proof. Using matrix multiplication, we obtain the above entries of Z in the lemma. ■

Next, we rewrite z_{12} as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} z_{12} &= \cosh(t) s x_1 + \alpha(t) d^T d (s x_1 - \cosh(\sqrt{\beta})) - \alpha_1(t) s d^T x + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &= \cosh(t) s x_1 + \alpha(t) d^T d \xi - \alpha_1(t) s d^T x + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall, $\xi \neq 0$. Notice, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $3 \leq i \leq n + 1$, we find $z_{i,2} = 0$ if and only if $d_{i-2} = \frac{s}{\alpha_2(t)\xi} x_{i-1}$. In the next several steps, we choose some value $t = t_0$ such that $z_{12} = 0$. Consequently, we will have then found some $Y \in \mathfrak{s}$ such that $Z \in K$, by Lemma 1.2. Now, we substitute $d = \frac{s}{\alpha_2(t)\xi} x$ into the expression for z_{12} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_{12} &= \cosh(t) s x_1 + \alpha(t) \left(\frac{s}{\alpha_2(t)\xi} \right)^2 x^T x \xi - \frac{\alpha_1(t) s^2}{\alpha_2(t)\xi} x^T x + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &= \cosh(t) s x_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha_2(t)^2} - \frac{\alpha_1(t)}{\alpha_2(t)} \right) \frac{s^2 x^T x}{\xi} + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &= \cosh(t) s x_1 - \frac{e^t s^2 x^T x}{2 \xi} + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi z_{12} &= 2 \left[\cosh(t) s x_1 + \sinh(t) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \xi - e^t s^2 x^T x \\ &= \left[(e^t + e^{-t}) s x_1 + (e^t - e^{-t}) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \xi - e^t s^2 x^T x. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the definition of ξ in (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi z_{12} &= e^{-t} (s x_1)^2 + (e^t - e^{-t}) s x_1 \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &\quad - \left[(e^t + e^{-t}) s x_1 + (e^t - e^{-t}) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) + e^t s^2 (x_1^2 - x^T x) \\ &= e^{-t} (s x_1)^2 - e^{-t} s x_1 \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &\quad - \left[e^{-t} s x_1 + (e^t - e^{-t}) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) + e^t s^2 (x_1^2 - x^T x). \end{aligned}$$

However, $s^2(x_1^2 - x^T x) = \cosh^2(\beta) - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi z_{12} &= e^{-t}(sx_1)^2 - e^{-t}sx_1 \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &\quad - \left[e^{-t}sx_1 + (e^t - e^{-t}) \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) + e^t(\cosh^2(\beta) - 1) \\ &= e^{-t}(sx_1)^2 - e^{-t}sx_1 \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \\ &\quad - \left[(e^{-t})sx_1 - e^{-t} \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) \right] \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}) - e^t \\ &= e^{-t} \left[(sx_1 - \cosh(\sqrt{\beta}))^2 - e^{2t} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $2\xi z_{12} = e^{-t}[\xi^2 - e^{2t}]$. Choose $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi^2 - e^{2t_0} = 0$. Thus, if $t = t_0$ and $d = \frac{s}{\alpha_2(t_0)\xi}x$, then $z_{12} = 0$ and $z_{i2} = 0$ for all $i \in \{3, \dots, n+1\}$. Consequently, $Z \in K$ and $e^Y G^{-1} = e^Y e^X k = Zk \in K$. Since $Y \in \mathfrak{s}$, we find $G \in KS = KAN$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $G \in O(1, n)$, and suppose $G^{-1} = e^X k$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, $k \in K$. If $\xi \neq 0$, then $G \in KAN$.*

Finally, we claim there exists $G \in O(1, n)$ such that $G \notin KAN$. Choose $x_1 = 1$ and $x = (x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $x_1 - x^T x = 0$. With the chosen x_1 and x , construct the matrix $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ in the beginning of Section 3. Let $G = e^{-X}$. Notice, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{s}$, we find $\xi = 0$. Since $x^T x = 1$, there exists $x_{i-1} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{3, \dots, n+1\}$. Thus, $z_{i,2} = -x_{i-1} \neq 0$. In particular, $e^Y e^X \notin K$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{s}$. Then $G \notin KAN$.

We are specially thankful to the referee for pointing out typographical errors, asking for a clearer definition of the subgroup S , and for suggesting that a motivation for the paper be included.

Acknowledgments. The author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by the Kenelly-Voss Endowed Professorship in Mathematics at Southeastern Louisiana University.

References

- [1] S. H. Helgason: *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [2] D. I. Merino, A. T. Paras, T. E. Teh: *The Λ_S -Householder matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 2653–2664.
- [3] E. Reyes: *L-polar decomposition of the Lorentz group*, Matimyas Matematika 42 (2019) 1–10.
- [4] P. Sawyer: *Spherical functions on $SO_0(p, q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$* , Canad. Math. Bull. 42 (1999) 486–498.
- [5] P. Sawyer: *Computing the Iwasawa decomposition of the classical Lie groups of noncompact type using the QR decomposition*, Linear Algebra Appl. 493 (2016) 573–579.

Edgar N. Reyes, Department of Mathematics, Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, Louisiana, U.S.A.; ereyes@selu.edu.

Received August 3, 2020

and in final form October 12, 2022