

A Remark on Ado’s Theorem for Principal Ideal Domains

Andoni Zozaya

Communicated by G. I. Olshanski

Abstract. Ado’s Theorem had been extended to principal ideal domains independently by Churkin and Weigel. They proved that if R is a principal ideal domain of characteristic zero and \mathfrak{L} is a Lie algebra over R which is also a free R -module of finite rank, then \mathfrak{L} admits a finite faithful Lie algebra representation over R . We present a quantitative proof of this result, providing explicit bounds on the degree of the Lie algebra representations in terms of the rank as a free module. To achieve it, we generalise an established embedding theorem for complex Lie algebras: any Lie algebra as above embeds in a larger Lie algebra that decomposes as the direct sum of its nilpotent radical and another subalgebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B30, 17B35.

Key Words: Ado’s Theorem, Lie algebras, degree of representations.

1. Introduction

Ado’s Theorem [1] states that every finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} over a field K of characteristic zero admits a finite faithful Lie algebra representation, that is, there exists a finite dimensional K -vector space V and a K -Lie algebra monomorphism $\Phi: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$. Obviously, this is equivalent to the existence of a finite matricial representation $\tilde{\Phi}: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow M_n(K)$, and the integer $n = \dim_K V$ is called the degree of the representation.

From most of the proofs of Ado’s Theorem it follows that $\deg \Phi$, the degree of the representation Φ , is bounded in terms of $\dim_K \mathfrak{L}$, the K -vector space dimension of \mathfrak{L} . That is to say, if we define the degree of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} as

$$\deg \mathfrak{L} := \min \{ \deg \Phi \mid \Phi \text{ faithful Lie algebra representation of } \mathfrak{L} \}, \quad (1)$$

then the integer $\deg \mathfrak{L}$ is bounded only in terms of $\dim_K \mathfrak{L}$.

Some quantitative bounds are known for $\deg \mathfrak{L}$, specially when \mathfrak{L} is nilpotent. For instance, if \mathfrak{L} is a nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension d and nilpotency class c , according to [3, Corollary to Theorem 4], $\deg \mathfrak{L} \leq \frac{d^{c+1}-1}{d-1}$ or according to [6, Corollary 5.1], $\deg \mathfrak{L} \leq \binom{d+c}{c}$. Remarkably, if the nilpotency class is fixed, $\deg \mathfrak{L}$ is polynomial in $\dim_K \mathfrak{L}$.

The author acknowledges support by the Basque Government, project IT483-22, and the Spanish Government, project PID2020-117281GB-I00, partly with ERDF funds. This paper forms part of the author’s PhD thesis, funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities’ grant FPU17/04822.

In general, for a nilpotent d -dimensional K -Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} , Burde [4] proved that

$$\deg \mathfrak{L} \leq \eta \frac{2^d}{\sqrt{d}}, \quad \text{where } \eta \sim 2.763.$$

Iwasawa [8] extended Ado's Theorem to Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic, and there are further generalizations in the base ring. Following the terminology of [13], for a general ring R we denote by R -Lie lattice an R -Lie algebra that is a free R -module of finite rank as well. Actually, any R -Lie algebra that admits a finite matricial representation is indeed an R -Lie lattice.

Conversely, suppose that R is a principal ideal domain (PID) of characteristic zero or a general ring of positive characteristic, Churkin [5] and Weigel [13] proved that every R -Lie lattice \mathfrak{L} admits a finite faithful R -Lie algebra representation $\Phi: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_R(V)$, where V is a free R -module of finite rank. Like for fields, the degree of the preceding representation Φ is defined to be $\text{rk}_R V$, the rank of V as a free R -module, and the degree of an R -Lie lattice is defined exactly as in (1).

Suppose that R is a PID of characteristic zero. Both [5] and [13] follow Jacobson's proof of the Theorem of Ado (see [9, Chapter VI]), which in its turn, is based on a proof due by Harish-Chandra [7], but, unlike for fields, it cannot be directly affirmed that $\deg \mathfrak{L}$ depends uniquely on $\text{rk}_R \mathfrak{L}$. In fact, in [13, Proposition 3.4], the degree-to-be is finite because R is a Noetherian ring, and so a particular ascending chain of ideals must be stationary. However, the length of the chain, which eventually will be the degree of the representation, might not be bounded in terms of $\text{rk}_R \mathfrak{L}$.

In this note, we collect several existing proofs of Ado's Theorem, and by adapting them to PIDs we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a PID of characteristic zero and let \mathfrak{L} be an R -Lie lattice of rank r . Then, $\deg \mathfrak{L} \leq r + \eta \frac{2^r}{\sqrt{r}}$, where $\eta \sim 2.763$.*

In particular, we recover for PIDs the best bound yet known over fields of characteristic zero. More concretely, in Sections 3 and 4 we reproduce quantitative results about the representability of nilpotent and splittable R -Lie lattices, and in Section 5 (see Theorem 5.3) we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a PID of characteristic zero and let \mathfrak{L} be an R -Lie lattice. There exists an R -Lie lattice of the form $\bar{\mathfrak{L}} = R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$ extending \mathfrak{L} , where $R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$ is the nilpotent radical of $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$.*

This result is based on the analogue for complex Lie algebras proved by Neretin [11], and previously discussed in [10, 12]. Lastly, Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 6 using Theorem 1.2 and the arguments of the previous sections.

Finally, we must note that for rings of positive characteristic, the generalisation is proved reproducing word-by-word the original demonstration of Iwasawa, and therefore we obtain the same bound we had for these fields, namely

$$\deg \mathfrak{L} \leq n^{\text{rk}^3 \mathfrak{L}},$$

where $n = \text{char } R$ (compare with [2, Section 6.24]).

Notation. Hereinafter R will always be a PID of characteristic zero, and we will use K for fields. For an R -Lie lattice \mathfrak{L} , $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ and $R_s(\mathfrak{L})$ refer to the nilpotent and solvable radicals of \mathfrak{L} . We denote by \dim_K the K -vector space dimension, by rk_R (rk when R is clear from the context) the rank of a free R -module, by $\langle X \rangle_R$ the R -module generated by a set X , and $\mathfrak{J} \leq \mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{J} \triangleleft \mathfrak{L}$ represent respectively that \mathfrak{J} is a subalgebra and an ideal of \mathfrak{L} . We will use the abbreviation $[\mathfrak{J}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{J}_n] = [[\mathfrak{J}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{J}_{n-1}], \mathfrak{J}_n]$ for iterated Lie brackets, and throughout the manuscript “:=” is used to mean *defined to be* in contrast with “=”, which is used to denote *equal to*.

Finally, we recall that an R -submodule $\mathfrak{J} \leq \mathfrak{L}$ is isolated if whenever $rx \in \mathfrak{J}$ for some $r \in R$ and $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, then $x \in \mathfrak{J}$, that is, the quotient R -module $\frac{\mathfrak{L}}{\mathfrak{J}}$ is torsion-free, and thus free.

2. Preliminaries: adjoint and regular representations

There are two natural Lie algebra representations in any R -Lie lattice \mathfrak{L} . On the one hand, by virtue of Jacobi’s identity the adjoint representation $\text{Ad}: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(\mathfrak{L})$, $x \mapsto \text{ad}_x$, where $\text{ad}_x: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$, $y \mapsto [x, y]$, is a finite Lie algebra representation. However, this representation is not faithful as its kernel is the centre of \mathfrak{L} , namely

$$Z(\mathfrak{L}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{L} \mid [x, y] = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathfrak{L}\}.$$

In particular, when \mathfrak{L} is a semisimple R -Lie lattice, i.e. \mathfrak{L} has no abelian ideal, then $\text{deg } \mathfrak{L} \leq \text{rk}_R \mathfrak{L}$.

Typically, Ado’s Theorem is proved by firstly constructing a *finite* representation $\Phi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(W)$ that is faithful in $Z(\mathfrak{L})$, and then taking the finite faithful representation $\text{Ad} \oplus \Phi$.

On the other hand, \mathfrak{L} acts on its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$. Indeed, the tensor algebra of \mathfrak{L} is

$$\mathbf{T}_R(\mathfrak{L}) = R \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_i \oplus \dots,$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_i := \mathfrak{L} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{L}$ is the tensor R -module and the multiplication in $\mathbf{T}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ is defined extending by linearity the rule

$$(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i) \otimes (y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j) = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j.$$

Then the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{L} is

$$\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}) := \frac{\mathbf{T}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{A}},$$

where \mathfrak{A} is the ideal generated by the elements

$$[x, y] - (x \otimes y - y \otimes x), \ \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{L}. \tag{2}$$

The image of the tensor $x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_t}$ in $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ will be simply denoted by the monomial $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t}$.

Since R is a PID and \mathfrak{L} is finitely generated, we know that the natural inclusion $\iota: \mathfrak{L} \cong \mathfrak{L}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ is a monomorphism (see [13, Theorem 3.2]), and, therefore, we can assume that $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$. The universal enveloping algebra is characterised by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem:

Theorem 2.1. (cf. [13, Theorem 3.2]) *Let \mathfrak{L} be an R -Lie lattice with basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ is a free R -module with basis*

$$\{x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r} \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \tag{3}$$

where $x_1^0 \dots x_r^0 = 1$ is the identity of R .

The idea is that given two monomials, their product can be expressed as a suitable linear combination of elements of the form (3) by successively applying the identity $x_j x_i = x_i x_j - [x_i, x_j]$ to reorder the indeterminates.

As already mentioned, \mathfrak{L} acts on $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ by multiplication and this gives rise to the (left) regular representation $\mathcal{R}: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_R(\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}))$, where $\mathcal{R}(x)$ is the left multiplication map $\ell_x: \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$, $y \mapsto xy$.

By virtue of (2), \mathcal{R} is a Lie algebra representation, and it is faithful as

$$\ell_x(1) = x \neq y = \ell_y(1) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

Although \mathcal{R} is not finite, by virtue of the universal property of the enveloping algebra (see [13, Proposition 3.1]), every finite Lie algebra representation of \mathfrak{L} factors through $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$. Hence, \mathfrak{L} admits a finite faithful Lie algebra representation if and only if there exists an isolated ideal $\mathfrak{X} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ such that $\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{L} = \{0\}$. Indeed, it is enough to consider the induced action on the free R -module $\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{X}}$.

3. Nilpotent Lie lattices

For nilpotent R -Lie lattices the construction of Birkhoff [3] is still valid over PIDs. Suppose that \mathfrak{L} is a nilpotent R -Lie lattice of nilpotency class c , and let K be the fraction field of R . Since the Lie bracket is bilinear, $\mathfrak{L}_K = \mathfrak{L} \otimes_R K$ is also a nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotency class c .

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$, define the isolated ideal $\mathfrak{L}_i = [\mathfrak{L}_K, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_K] \cap \mathfrak{L} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{L}$, and choose a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ for \mathfrak{L} as free R -module in such way that the first elements x_1, \dots, x_{r_1} are an R -basis for \mathfrak{L}_c , the first elements x_1, \dots, x_{r_2} ($r_2 > r_1$) are an R -basis for \mathfrak{L}_{c-1} and so forth. By Theorem 2.1, the elements of $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ are of the form $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(r)}} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$, where \mathbf{x}^α stands for $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r}$. Accordingly, define a weight function $\omega: \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_i) &= \max \{m \mid x_i \in \mathfrak{L}_m\}, & \omega(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \omega(x_i), \\ \omega\left(\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha\right) &= \min \{\omega(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) \mid c_\alpha \neq 0\}, & \omega(0) &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\omega([x_i, x_j]) \geq \omega(x_i) + \omega(x_j)$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and so

$$\omega(uv) \geq \omega(u) + \omega(v) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}). \tag{4}$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, consider the isolated R -modules

$$\mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L}) := \{u \in \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}) \mid \omega(u) > m\}$$

or simply \mathfrak{U}^m when the lattice is clear from the context.

By (4), $\mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})$ is an ideal and thus for every $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ we have that $\ell_x(\mathfrak{U}^m) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^m$, so for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the regular representation induces the finite representation

$$\mathcal{R}_m: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \text{End}_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})} \right), \quad x \mapsto \ell_x,$$

whose kernel is $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})$ – with an abuse of notation, when $f \in \text{End}_R(\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L}))$ satisfies $f(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{X} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$, we will keep f to denote the endomorphism of $\text{End}_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{X}} \right)$ defined as $x + \mathfrak{X} \mapsto f(x) + \mathfrak{X}$.

Since $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{U}^c(\mathfrak{L}) = \{0\}$, \mathcal{R}_c is a finite faithful representation and its degree is

$$|\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \omega(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) \leq c\}|,$$

as these monomials are a basis for $\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{U}^c}$. Finally, this number was bounded by Burde (see [4, Lemma 5(3) and Proposition 6]):

$$\text{deg } \mathcal{R}_c = \text{rk}_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})}{\mathfrak{U}^c(\mathfrak{L})} \right) = |\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \omega(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) \leq c\}| \leq \eta \frac{2^r}{\sqrt{r}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \prod_{l=1}^\infty \frac{2^l}{2^l-1} \sim 2.763$.

4. Splittable Lie lattices

We say that an R -Lie lattice \mathfrak{L} is splittable if the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{L}}{R_n(\mathfrak{L})} \rightarrow 0$$

splits in the category of R -Lie algebras, that is, if there exists an R -Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{S} \leq \mathfrak{L}$ such that $\mathfrak{L} = R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$.

In the splittable case we can blend the preceding regular representation for $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ and representations induced from derivations, namely endomorphisms $D \in \text{End}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ that satisfy Leibniz identity, i.e.

$$D([x, y]) = [x, D(y)] + [D(x), y] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

The collection of all derivations of \mathfrak{L} is denoted by $\text{Der}_R(\mathfrak{L})$. For example, by virtue of Jacobi’s identity, ad_x is a derivation for every $x \in \mathfrak{L}$. Starting from $D \in \text{Der}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ we can define a derivation D^* of $\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ by imposing Leibniz identity, that is, by taking the linear extension of the rule

$$D^*(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t}) = \sum_j x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{j-1}} D(x_{i_j}) x_{i_{j+1}} \dots x_{i_t},$$

together with $D^*(1) = 0$ as it must happen for every derivation of an algebra with identity. In keeping with the notation of the previous section, we have:

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a nilpotent R -Lie lattice and $D \in \text{Der}_R(\mathfrak{L})$ a derivation. Then $D^*(\mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let c be the nilpotency class of \mathfrak{L} , and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ be the basis of \mathfrak{L} with respect to which the weight function ω has been defined. Since D is a derivation, $D(\mathfrak{L}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$, so $\omega(D(x_i)) \geq \omega(x_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Hence, if $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t} \in \mathfrak{U}^m(\mathfrak{L})$, by (4),

$$\omega(D^*(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t})) \geq \min_{j=1, \dots, t} \{\omega(x_{i_1} \dots D(x_{i_j}) \dots x_{i_t})\} \geq \omega(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t}) > m. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 4.2. [Zassenhaus extension, cf. [9, Chapter VI.2, Theorem 1]] *Let \mathfrak{L} be a splittable R -Lie lattice and let c be the nilpotency class of $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$. Then, there exists a finite R -Lie algebra representation*

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \text{End}_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(R_n(\mathfrak{L}))}{\mathfrak{U}^c(R_n(\mathfrak{L}))} \right)$$

that is injective in $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ and such that

$$\text{deg } \Phi \leq \eta \frac{2^{\text{rk } R_n(\mathfrak{L})}}{\sqrt{\text{rk } R_n(\mathfrak{L})}}, \quad \text{where } \eta \sim 2.763. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Denote $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ by \mathfrak{N} , then $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{N} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$ for some R -Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{S} \leq \mathfrak{L}$. By Lemma 4.1, $\text{ad}_x^*(\mathfrak{U}^c(\mathfrak{N})) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^c(\mathfrak{N})$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, so we can define the map

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \text{End}_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{N})}{\mathfrak{U}^c(\mathfrak{N})} \right), \quad n + s \mapsto \ell_n + \text{ad}_s^*.$$

In order to show that it is an R -Lie algebra homomorphism, it suffices to confirm that

$$\Phi([s, n]) = [\Phi(s), \Phi(n)] = [\text{ad}_s^*, \ell_n]$$

for all $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $s \in \mathfrak{S}$. Indeed, for any $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $D \in \text{Der}_R(\mathcal{U}_R(\mathfrak{N}))$:

$$[D, \ell_n](u) = D \circ \ell_n(u) - \ell_n \circ D(u) = D(n)u = \ell_{D(n)}(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{N},$$

and, since \mathfrak{N} is an ideal, $[s, n] \in \mathfrak{N}$, so

$$\Phi([s, n]) = \ell_{[s, n]} = \ell_{\text{ad}_s^*(n)} = [\text{ad}_s^*, \ell_n] = [\Phi(s), \Phi(n)].$$

Consequently, Φ is a finite R -Lie algebra representation. In addition, $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is nothing but the faithful representation \mathcal{R}_c of \mathfrak{N} . Finally, (6) follows from (5). \blacksquare

5. Embedding theorem

In [9, Chapter IV.2], and the succeeding works following it, Levi's Theorem is crucial; namely, if K is a field of characteristic zero there exists a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S} \leq \mathfrak{L}$ such that $\mathfrak{L} = R_s(\mathfrak{L}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$ (see [9, Chapter III.9]). However, this results is not longer true for PIDs. For instance, the \mathbb{Z} -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2(2\mathbb{Z})$ – the direct sum of 2×2 matrices of trace zero and 2×2 upper triangular matrices with coefficients in $2\mathbb{Z}$ – does not admit such a decomposition (see [5, Example in p.838]). Nevertheless, every Lie lattice embeds in a splittable (in the sense of Section 4) R -Lie lattice. In effect, over algebraically closed fields this result was first proved for solvable Lie algebras by Mal'cev [10] and Reed [12], and using similar ideas Neretin [11] proved the following (albeit [11] is about complex Lie algebras, the proof is still valid, mutatis mutandi, for any field of characteristic zero):

Theorem 5.1. (cf. [11, Lemma 1]) *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and \mathfrak{L} a finite dimensional K -Lie algebra. There exists a splittable K -Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{L}} = R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ is reductive, extending \mathfrak{L} .*

The above theorem is proved by successively applying elementary expansions. Indeed, suppose that we have a K -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{M} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$ extending \mathfrak{L} such that \mathfrak{M} is a solvable ideal containing $R_n(\mathfrak{K})$ and \mathfrak{S} is a reductive – direct sum of a semisimple and an abelian algebra – subalgebra that acts fully irreducibly on \mathfrak{M} . We shall construct another Lie algebra \mathfrak{K}' extending \mathfrak{K} that satisfies those same conditions.

By [9, Chapter III.7, Theorem 13], $[\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{K}] \leq R_n(\mathfrak{K})$. Thus, unless \mathfrak{M} is nilpotent, there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{J} \leq \mathfrak{M}$ of codimension one containing $R_n(\mathfrak{K})$. Since the action of \mathfrak{S} is fully irreducible, there exists an element $y \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus R_n(\mathfrak{K})$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{J} \oplus Ky$ as \mathfrak{S} -modules, in particular, $[y, \mathfrak{S}] \subseteq R_n(\mathfrak{K}) \cap Ky = \{0\}$. Moreover, according to the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition (see [9, Chapter III.11, Theorem 16]), the derivation $\text{ad}_y \in \text{Der}_R(\mathfrak{K})$ decomposes as $d_{s,y} + d_{n,y}$ where $d_{s,y}$ and $d_{n,y}$ are respectively a semisimple and a nilpotent K -linear endomorphism.

Remark 5.2. Both $d_{s,y}$ and $d_{n,y}$ are in $\text{Der}_K(\mathfrak{K})$. Indeed, when K is algebraically closed it was proved in [12, Proposition 3], as $d_{s,n}(v) = \lambda v$ provided that v belongs to the generalised λ -eigenspace of ad_y . In general, let us write $S = d_{s,y}$ and $N = d_{n,y}$ and let \bar{K} be the algebraic closure of K . Suppose that $\bar{S} + \bar{N}$ is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of ad_y in $\mathfrak{K}_{\bar{K}} = \mathfrak{K} \otimes_K \bar{K}$. Then

$$S \otimes \bar{K} + N \otimes \bar{K} = \text{ad}_y = \bar{S} + \bar{N}$$

are two decompositions of $\text{ad}_y \in \text{End}_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{K}_{\bar{K}})$, so by the uniqueness $\bar{S} = S \otimes \bar{K}$ and $\bar{N} = N \otimes \bar{K}$. Finally, since \bar{S} and \bar{N} satisfy Leibniz identity, so do S and N . ■

Thus, we can construct a so-called elementary expansion, namely the K -Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{K}' = \mathfrak{J} \oplus \mathfrak{S} \oplus Kx' \oplus Kz'$$

where x' and z' are formal symbols satisfying

$$[x', u] = d_{n,y}(u), \quad [z', u] = d_{s,y}(u), \quad [x', z'] = 0$$

for every $u \in \mathfrak{J} \oplus \mathfrak{S}$, and where we keep the original Lie bracket for the elements of $\mathfrak{J} \oplus \mathfrak{S}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{J} \oplus Ky \oplus \mathfrak{S}$ embeds as a Lie algebra in \mathfrak{K}' with respect to $y = x' + z'$.

In addition, $\ker \text{ad}_y \subseteq \ker d_{s,y}$ (see Remark 5.2), so $[z', \mathfrak{S}] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{S}' := \mathfrak{S} \oplus Kz'$ is a reductive Lie algebra. Moreover, $R_n(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus Kx'$ is the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{K}' , $\mathfrak{M}' := \mathfrak{J} \oplus Kx'$ is solvable, and, since $d_{s,y}$ is a semisimple operator, the action of \mathfrak{S}' in \mathfrak{M}' is fully reducible. In particular, $\mathfrak{K}' = \mathfrak{M}' \rtimes \mathfrak{S}'$. In passing, note that

$$\dim_K \mathfrak{M}' = \dim_K \mathfrak{M} \text{ and } \dim_K R_n(\mathfrak{K}') = \dim_K R_n(\mathfrak{K}) + 1. \tag{7}$$

Levi's Theorem gives us the first of the step of the above-described procedure. Indeed, $\mathfrak{L} = R_s(\mathfrak{L}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}$ for a semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{S} \leq \mathfrak{L}$, and by virtue of Weyl's Theorem on complete reducibility (see [9, Chapter III.7, Theorem 8]), the action of \mathfrak{S} on $R_s(\mathfrak{L})$ is fully reducible. Fix bases $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ of $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ of \mathfrak{S} . In view of (7), repeating the previous process eventually we obtain a K -Lie algebra

$$\bar{\mathfrak{L}} = \bar{\mathfrak{N}} \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{S}} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s, x'_1, \dots, x'_r \rangle_K \rtimes \langle z_1, \dots, z_t, z'_1, \dots, z'_r \rangle_K, \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ is a nilpotent ideal and $\bar{\mathfrak{S}} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a reductive subalgebra, and a K -basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ of \mathfrak{L} such that $R_s(\mathfrak{L}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle_K$ and $y_i = x'_i + z'_i$. In particular, $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ extends \mathfrak{L} , and by construction:

(N1) for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ we have $[z'_i, z'_j] = [z'_i, z_k] = 0$, and therefore $[x'_i, z_k] = [y_i, z_k] \in R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ (see [9, Chapter II.7, Theorem 13]);

(N2) for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, by [9, Chapter III.6, Theorem 7], we have $d_{n, y_j}(R_s(\mathfrak{L})) \subseteq R_n(\mathfrak{L})$, so

$$[x'_j, x_i] = d_{n, y_j}(x_i) \in R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \quad \text{and} \quad [x'_j, y_k] = d_{n, y_j}(y_k) \in R_n(\mathfrak{L}).$$

In particular, $R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \trianglelefteq R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$;

(N3) $\dim_K R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) = s + r = \dim_K R_s(\mathfrak{L})$.

Furthermore, in view of (N1)–(N2), we have that

$$[x'_i, \mathfrak{L}] := \{[x'_i, u] \mid u \in \mathfrak{L}\} \subseteq R_n(\mathfrak{L}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle_K \tag{9}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

As a consequence, we can prove the following strengthened version of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 5.3. *Let R be a PID of characteristic zero and let \mathfrak{L} be an R -Lie lattice. Then \mathfrak{L} embeds into a splittable R -Lie lattice $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ such that*

- (i) $R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \leq R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$ and
- (ii) $\text{rk } R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) = \text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})$.

Proof. Let K be the fraction field of R and $\mathfrak{L}_K := \mathfrak{L} \otimes_R K$. According to Theorem 5.1, there exists a finite dimensional splittable K -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}'_K = R_n(\mathfrak{L}'_K) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}'_K$ extending \mathfrak{L}_K and satisfying conditions (N1)–(N3). Denote for simplicity $\mathfrak{N}'_K := R_n(\mathfrak{L}'_K)$.

In keeping with the notation of (8), let $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ be a basis for $R_n(\mathfrak{L})$ as free R -module, then $R_n(\mathfrak{L}_K) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle_K$ and there exists a K -vector space basis of \mathfrak{N}'_K of the form

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_s, x'_1, \dots, x'_r\},$$

where $s + r = \dim_K R_s(\mathfrak{L}_K) = \text{rk}_R R_s(\mathfrak{L})$, compare with (N3).

Furthermore, by (N2), there exists $\mu \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{N} := \langle x_1, \dots, x_s, \mu x'_1, \dots, \mu x'_r \rangle_R$$

is a nilpotent R -Lie lattice of rank $s + r$, $R_n(\mathfrak{L}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle_R \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{N}$ and

$$[\mu x'_j, \mathfrak{L}] \subseteq \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle_R,$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ (using (9) and that \mathfrak{L} is finitely generated for the last condition). In particular,

$$[\mathfrak{N}, \cdot^{(i)}, \mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{L}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{N}, \cdot^{(i)}, \mathfrak{N}] \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{10}$$

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ be the projection of \mathfrak{L} into \mathfrak{S}'_K , that is,

$$\bar{\mathfrak{S}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}'_K \mid \exists x \in \mathfrak{L}, \exists n \in \mathfrak{N}'_K \text{ such that } x = n + \sigma\}.$$

Then $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ is an R -Lie algebra. Indeed, if $x_1 = n_1 + \sigma_1$ and $x_2 = n_2 + \sigma_2 \in \mathfrak{L}$, where $n_i \in \mathfrak{N}'_K$ and $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}'_K$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$), then

$$[x_1, x_2] = [n_1, x_2] + [\sigma_1, n_2] + [\sigma_1, \sigma_2],$$

$[x_1, x_2] \in \mathfrak{L}$, $[n_1, x_2] + [\sigma_1, n_2] \in \mathfrak{N}'_K$ and $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] \in \mathfrak{S}'_K$. In addition, since \mathfrak{L} is finitely generated, $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a free R -module of finite rank.

Moreover, since \mathfrak{L} is a finitely generated R -module there exists $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathfrak{N} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{S}} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{S}} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathfrak{N}. \tag{12}$$

Let c be the nilpotency class of \mathfrak{N} , define $\mathfrak{N}_i := [\mathfrak{N}, \overset{(i)}{\cdot}, \mathfrak{N}]$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$, and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{N}} := \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \mathfrak{N}_i \leq \mathfrak{N}'_K,$$

which is a free R -module of rank $s + r = \text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})$. On the one hand,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda^i} \mathfrak{N}_i, \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \mathfrak{N}_j \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+j}} [\mathfrak{N}_i, \mathfrak{N}_j] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+j}} \mathfrak{N}_{i+j},$$

so $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ is a nilpotent R -Lie lattice. On the other hand, by (10) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^i} \mathfrak{N}_i, \bar{\mathfrak{S}} \right] &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^i} \mathfrak{N}_i, \mathfrak{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathfrak{N} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^i} [\mathfrak{N}_i, \mathfrak{L}] + \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1}} [\mathfrak{N}_i, \mathfrak{N}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \mathfrak{N}_i + \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1}} \mathfrak{N}_{i+1} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{N}} \end{aligned}$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$.

Hence, $\bar{\mathfrak{L}} := \bar{\mathfrak{N}} \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ is an R -Lie lattice that extends \mathfrak{L} (see (11)); by construction $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ is splittable, $R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \leq \bar{\mathfrak{N}} = R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$ and $\text{rk } R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) = \text{rk } \bar{\mathfrak{N}} = s + r = \text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})$. ■

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Finally, we gather all the ingredients:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathfrak{L} be an R -Lie lattice of rank r . According to Theorem 5.3, there exists a splittable R -Lie lattice $\bar{\mathfrak{L}} = R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ extending \mathfrak{L} such that $R_n(\mathfrak{L}) \leq R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$ and $\text{rk } R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) = \text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})$. By Proposition 4.2, there exists an R -Lie algebra representation Φ of $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ which is injective in $R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}})$ and whose degree is bounded by $f(\text{rk } R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}))$, with $f: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $r \mapsto \eta \frac{2^r}{\sqrt{r}}$.

Therefore, $\tilde{\Phi} := \Phi|_{\mathfrak{L}} \oplus \text{Ad}$ is a faithful R -Lie algebra representation of \mathfrak{L} . Indeed,

$$\ker \tilde{\Phi} = \ker \Phi|_{\mathfrak{L}} \cap \ker \text{Ad} \subseteq (\mathfrak{L} \setminus R_n(\mathfrak{L})) \cap Z(\mathfrak{L}) = \{0\}.$$

Thus, since $\text{rk } R_n(\bar{\mathfrak{L}}) = \text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})$ and f is non-decreasing,

$$\text{deg } \mathfrak{L} \leq \text{deg } \tilde{\Phi} = \text{deg } \Phi + \text{deg } \text{Ad} \leq f(\text{rk } R_s(\mathfrak{L})) + r \leq f(r) + r. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 6.1. For a K -Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} , with K a field of characteristic zero, Harish-Chandra [7] improved the original result of Ado by constructing a finite faithful representation $\Psi: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$ with the additional property of being a so-called nil-representation, i.e. $\Psi(x)$ is a nilpotent endomorphism for every $x \in R_n(\mathfrak{L})$. Note that the representation $\tilde{\Phi}$ of the preceding proof is also a nil-representation, as both Φ and Ad are so.

References

- [1] I. D. Ado: *The representation of Lie algebras by matrices*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations 21 (1949); translation from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (NS) 2/6 (1947) 159–173.
- [2] Y. Bahturin: *Identical Relations in Lie Algebras*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 68, De Gruyter, Berlin (2021).
- [3] G. Birkhoff: *Representability of Lie algebras and Lie groups by matrices*, Ann. Math. 38 (1937) 526–532.
- [4] D. Burde: *On a refinement of Ado’s Theorem*, Arch. Math. 70 (1998) 118–127.
- [5] V. A. Churkin: *The matrix representation of Lie algebras over rings*, Math. Notes 10 (1971) 835–839; translation from Mat. Zametki 10 (1971) 671–678.
- [6] W. A. de Graaf: *Constructing faithful matrix representation of Lie algebras*, in: Proc. of the 1997 Int. Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC’97 held at Kihei 1997, W. W. Kuchlin (ed.), ACM Press, New York (1997) 54–59.
- [7] Harish-Chandra: *On representation of Lie algebras*, Ann. Math. 50 (1949) 900–915.
- [8] K. Iwasawa: *On the representation of Lie algebras*, Jap. J. Math. 19 (1948) 405–426.
- [9] N. Jacobson: *Lie Algebras*, Dover Publications, New York (1979).
- [10] A. Mal’cev: *Solvable Lie algebras*, Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR 9 (1945) 329–356.
- [11] Yu. Neretin: *A construction of finite-dimensional faithful representation of Lie algebras*, in: Proc. 22nd Winter School on “Geometry and Physics” held at Srní 2002, J. Bures (ed.), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Suppl. No. 71 (2003) 159–161.
- [12] B. E. Reed: *Representations of solvable Lie algebras*, Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969) 227–233.
- [13] T. Weigel: *A remark on the Ado-Iwasawa Theorem*, J. Algebra 212 (1999) 613–625.

Andoni Zozaya, Department of Mathematics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU,
Leioa (Bizkaia), Spain; andoni.zozaya@ehu.eus.

Received July 3, 2023
and in final form March 4, 2024