

# Integrable Representations for Extended Affine Lie Algebras Coordinated by Quantum Tori

Fulin Chen and Shaobin Tan\*

Communicated by K.-H. Neeb

**Abstract.** In this paper we realize all irreducible integrable modules for the core of extended affine Lie algebras of type  $A$  coordinated by quantum tori with center elements act non-trivially. We also study the sufficient and necessary conditions for such modules to be unitary.

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 17B10, 17B65, 17B67.

*Key Words and Phrases:* Extended affine Lie algebra, Integrable representation, Unitary module, Quantum tori.

## 1. Introduction

In the study of representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras, one of the main ingredients is the classification of irreducible integrable representations. The irreducible integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for affine Kac-Moody algebras were classified by Chari [5] and Chari-Pressly [6], [7]. It was proved that the irreducible integrable modules are the highest weight modules ([19]), their dual, or the loop modules ([6]). As higher dimensional generalization of affine Kac-Moody algebras, extended affine Lie algebras (EALAs) were first introduced in [17] and studied in [1] and [2].

Toroidal Lie algebras are basic examples of EALAs. The classification problem of irreducible integrable modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for the toroidal Lie algebras was studied by Rao [8],[10]. Besides the affine Kac-Moody algebras and toroidal Lie algebras, there are many EALAs whose coordinate algebras are non-commutative or non-associative, examples involving quantum tori, Jordan tori and octonion tori. In [11], Rao studied a class of irreducible integrable modules for certain EALAs coordinated by quantum tori. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the core of EALA of type  $A_{\nu-1}$  coordinated by quantum tori  $\mathbb{C}_q[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$  of two variables. Add two derivations  $d_x, d_y$  to  $\mathcal{L}$ , one has  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_y$ . Let  $c_x$  and  $c_y$  denote the two central elements corresponding to the variables  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. The irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules were studied in [11] for

---

\* Supported by NSF of China (No. 10931006), and PhD Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (No. 20100121110014).

which  $c_x$  or  $c_y$  acts non-trivially. It was shown in [11] that any such  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module is either a highest weight module or a lowest weight module up to an automorphism. But, the concrete realization problem for those irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules is open. As pointed out in [11], “it is interesting if one can give a “model” for all known irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules”. The main goal of this article is to give explicit construction of those integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules.

So far, the known models for integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules were those so-called “basic modules” constructed in [16],[4],[12],[13] and [3] obtained by vertex operators. In addition, a class of “fundamental modules” were given by using Clifford algebras with infinitely many generators in [14]. Let  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$  denote the subalgebra  $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Motivated by [14], we obtain a series of highest weight  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $v_k$  as highest weight vectors. It is proved that the tensor  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_{\bar{i}} = \bigotimes_{s=1}^l V_{i_s}$  is completely reducible, where  $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ . In particular, the  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodule  $W_{\bar{i}}$  of  $V_{\bar{i}}$  generated by  $w_{\bar{i}} = \otimes_{s=1}^l v_{i_s}$  is irreducible.

Let  $\mathbf{i} = (\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_k)$  with  $\bar{i}_s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k$ . Then, we have the irreducible  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $W_{\bar{i}_1}, \dots, W_{\bar{i}_k}$ . Thanks to the method developed by Chari and Pressly in [6], we obtain an  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module structure on the tensor space  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} = W_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $k$ -tuple  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ . We need the condition  $a_i \neq q^n a_j$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , while it was required that  $a_i \neq a_j, \forall i \neq j$  in [6] for the affine Kac-Moody algebras. We prove that the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  are completely reducible and their irreducible components exhaust all irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules up to the actions of  $d_x, d_y$ . In other words, by changing the actions of  $d_x, d_y$  and an automorphism twisting on the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$ , we realize all irreducible integrable modules given in [11].

In addition, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for those  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules to be unitary when  $|q| = 1$ . Several unitary modules related to the algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  studied in the paper were studied in [18],[9],[15] and [20].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, we recall some properties for the algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  from [2] and also recall the classification results from [11]. In Sect.3, we recall the fermionic constructions for  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules given in [14] and prove that the tensor product of such  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules are completely reducible. Then we construct a family of irreducible integrable modules for  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  in Sect.4, which gives the explicit realization of all irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules. In Sect.5, we study the unitarity of those modules constructed in Sect.4 under the condition  $|q| = 1$ .

Throughout this paper, we denote the field of complex numbers, the group of non-zero complex numbers, the set of non-negative integers and the ring of integers by  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  respectively.

## 2. Extended affine Lie algebras coordinated by quantum tori

Let  $q$  be a non-zero complex number and  $\nu \geq 2$  be a positive integer. We begin by recalling the construction of the core of EALA of type  $A_{\nu-1}$  coordinated by quantum tori given in [2]. A quantum tori in two variables associated to  $q$  is the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}_q[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$  (or, simply  $\mathbb{C}_q$ ) with generators  $x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}$

and relations

$$xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = yy^{-1} = y^{-1}y = 1, \quad yx = qxy.$$

Let  $\Lambda(q) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | q^n = 1\}$ , and  $q$  is said to be generic if  $\Lambda(q) = \{0\}$ . The center  $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$  of  $\mathbb{C}_q$  has a basis consisting of monomials  $x^m y^n$  for  $m, n \in \Lambda(q)$  and the subalgebra  $[\mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q]$  has a basis consisting of monomials  $x^m y^n$  for  $m \notin \Lambda(q)$  or  $n \notin \Lambda(q)$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{C}_q = [\mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q] \oplus Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ .

Let  $I$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}_q \otimes \mathbb{C}_q$  spanned by elements of the form

$$a \otimes b + b \otimes a, ab \otimes c - a \otimes bc - b \otimes ca \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}_q.$$

So we have the quotient space  $\langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle := \mathbb{C}_q \otimes \mathbb{C}_q / I$  and we let  $\langle a, b \rangle$  denote the element  $a \otimes b + I$ . Let  $I_\nu$  be the  $\nu \times \nu$  identity matrix. Define a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L} = (sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q) \oplus \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle$  with bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [A \otimes a, B \otimes b] &= [A, B] \otimes \frac{a \circ b}{2} + A \circ B \otimes \frac{[a, b]}{2} + \frac{\text{tr}(AB)}{\nu} \langle a, b \rangle, \\ [\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle] &= \langle [a, b], [c, d] \rangle, \\ [\langle a, b \rangle, A \otimes c] &= A \otimes [[a, b], c], \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B \in sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}_q, [A, B] = AB - BA$ ,

$$A \circ B = AB + BA - \frac{2}{\nu} \text{tr}(AB) I_\nu,$$

$[a, b] = ab - ba, a \circ b = ab + ba$  and  $\text{tr}$  is the trace form.

There is a natural  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded structure on  $\langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle$  with graded subspaces given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_{(m,n)} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \langle x^{m_1} y^{n_1}, x^{m_2} y^{n_2} \rangle : m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ &\quad m_1 + m_2 = m, n_1 + n_2 = n \}, \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $HC_1(\mathbb{C}_q) = \{ \sum \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \mid \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} [a_j, b_j] = 0 \}$  where  $\mathbb{J}$  is any finite index set. It was shown in [2] that  $HC_1(\mathbb{C}_q)$  is the center of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is centrally closed. Moreover, by Corollary 3.22 [2], one has

$$\dim \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_{(m,n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (m, n) \neq (0, 0); \\ 2, & \text{if } (m, n) = (0, 0), \end{cases}$$

and

$$HC_1(\mathbb{C}_q) = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \Lambda(q)} \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_{(m,n)}.$$

Thus, we have  $\langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle = [\mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q] \oplus HC_1(\mathbb{C}_q)$  as vector spaces.

By adding the degree derivations  $d_x, d_y$  to  $\mathcal{L}$ , we get a Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_y$  with additional multiplications

$$\begin{aligned} [d_x, A \otimes a] &= m_1 A \otimes a, \quad [d_y, A \otimes a] = n_1 A \otimes a, \quad [d_x, d_y] = 0, \\ [d_x, \langle a, b \rangle] &= (m_1 + m_2) \langle a, b \rangle, \quad [d_y, \langle a, b \rangle] = (n_1 + n_2) \langle a, b \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $A \in sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}), a = x^{m_1}y^{n_1}, b = x^{m_2}y^{n_2}, m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the vector space spanned by symbols  $c_x(m, n), c_y(m, n), m, n \in \Lambda(q)$  with relation  $mc_x(m, n) + nc_y(m, n) = 0$ . Let  $gl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q$  be the matrix Lie algebra over the quantum tori  $\mathbb{C}_q$ . Define a central extension  $\mathcal{B}$  of the Lie algebra  $gl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q$  by central subalgebra  $\mathcal{K}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & [A \otimes x^{m_1}y^{n_1}, B \otimes x^{m_2}y^{n_2}] \\ &= q^{m_2n_1}AB \otimes x^{m_1+m_2}y^{n_1+n_2} - q^{n_2m_1}BA \otimes x^{m_1+m_2}y^{n_1+n_2} \\ & \quad + \text{tr}(AB)\delta_{m_1+m_2, \Lambda(q)}\delta_{n_1+n_2, \Lambda(q)}q^{n_1m_2} \\ & \quad \cdot (m_1c_x(m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2) + n_1c_y(m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B \in gl_\nu(\mathbb{C}), m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\delta_{m, \Lambda(q)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \in \Lambda(q); \\ 0, & \text{if } m \notin \Lambda(q). \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to prove the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The linear map  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & A \otimes x^m y^n \mapsto A \otimes x^m y^n, \quad A \in sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}), m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ & \langle x^{m_1}y^{n_1}, x^{m_2}y^{n_2} \rangle \mapsto I_\nu \otimes [x^{m_1}y^{n_1}, x^{m_2}y^{n_2}], \quad m_1 + m_2 \notin \Lambda(q) \text{ or } n_1 + n_2 \notin \Lambda_q, \\ & \langle x, x^m y^n x^{-1} \rangle \mapsto \nu c_x(m, n), \quad m, n \in \Lambda(q), \\ & \langle y, x^m y^n y^{-1} \rangle \mapsto \nu c_y(m, n), \quad m, n \in \Lambda(q), \end{aligned}$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism. ■

The result of Lemma 2.1 allows us to identify  $\mathcal{L}$  with the subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}' := (sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q) \oplus (I_\nu \otimes [\mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q]) \oplus \mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We can also define a Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_y$  with multiplication given similarly as (1). Then, one can identify  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  with the subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}' \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_y$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . Hence, under this identification, we can write  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \oplus \sum_{m, n \in \Lambda(q)} \mathbb{C}I_\nu \otimes x^m y^n$ .

Now we turn to consider the root-space decomposition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . For  $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu$ , let  $E_{ij}$  be the unit  $\nu \times \nu$  matrix which has 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -entry and 0 elsewhere. Let  $h_i = E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h_i \otimes 1 : 1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  spanned by  $\mathcal{H}, c_x := c_x(0, 0), c_y := c_y(0, 0), d_x$  and  $d_y$ . Then, one has the following root-space decomposition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  with respect to the Cartan subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\gamma, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\gamma = \{A \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \mid [h, A] = \lambda(h)A, \forall h \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\}.$$

Let  $\dot{\Delta} = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \nu\}$  be the root system of  $sl_\nu(\mathbb{C})$  as usual. View  $\dot{\Delta}$  as a subset of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*$  by setting  $(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)(z) = 0$ , where  $z = c_x, d_x, c_y$  or  $d_y$ . Introduce elements  $\delta_x, \delta_y \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*$  by letting  $\delta_x(d_x) = 1, \delta_y(d_y) = 1$  and the actions on other

basis elements are zero. Then, the set  $\Delta = \{\alpha + m\delta_x + n\delta_y : \alpha \in \dot{\Delta} \cup \{0\}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the root system of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . A root of the form  $\alpha + m\delta_x + n\delta_y \in \Delta$  is called real if  $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** An  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V$  is called integrable if

- (1)  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*} V_\lambda$  where  $V_\lambda = \{v \in V | h.v = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\}$ .
- (2) For any weight  $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*$ , one has  $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$ .
- (3) For any real root  $\gamma$  and element  $v \in V$ , there exists positive integer  $k$  such that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\gamma)^k.v = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x$  be the subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , which is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $A_{\nu-1}^{(1)}$ . Consider the natural triangular decomposition  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_-$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_+ &= sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes x\mathbb{C}[x] \oplus \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{A}_- &= sl_\nu(\mathbb{C}) \otimes x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] \oplus \sum_{i > j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 &= \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{C}c_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the decomposition  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm &= \mathcal{A}_\pm \otimes \mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}] \oplus \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_\pm, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 &= \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}] \oplus \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_y. \end{aligned}$$

We identify  $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}]$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_\pm &= \bigoplus_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \pm m > 0} \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_{(m, n)}, \\ \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_0 &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_{(0, n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also introduce a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(n) = \{A \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}} | [d_y, A] = nA\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For any subalgebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , we will use the following notation

$$\mathcal{G}(n) := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(n) \cap \mathcal{G}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $\psi$  be a linear function on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$  such that  $\psi(c_y) = 0$ . Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0 = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}] \oplus \langle \mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q \rangle_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x$$

and define a linear map  $\bar{\psi} : \hat{\mathcal{L}}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  as follows:

$$\bar{\psi}(h) = \psi(h)t^n, \quad \forall h \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_0(n).$$

Let  $A_{\bar{\psi}} \subset \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  be the image of  $\bar{\psi}$ . Then,  $\bar{\psi}$  induces an  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -module structure on  $A_{\bar{\psi}}$  with the actions given by

$$h.t^m = (\bar{\psi}(h))t^m, \quad h \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_0, \quad d_y.t^m = (\psi(d_y) + m)t^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** In the paper [11], the linear function  $\psi$  was defined on  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0$  and the action of  $d_y$  on  $A_{\overline{\psi}}$  was given by  $d_y.t^m = mt^m$ . But, we observe that one can add an extra scalar action of  $d_y$  on  $A_{\overline{\psi}}$ , that is, we can define the action of  $d_y$  by  $d_y.t^m = (c + m)t^m$  for any fixed scalar  $c$ .

We recall the definition of highest weight  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules, which was introduced by Rao (See [11],[9])

**Definition 2.4.** An  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V$  is called a highest weight module if there exists a weight vector  $v$  in  $V$  such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+.v = 0, U(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})v = V$  and the  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -module generated by  $v$  is isomorphic to an irreducible  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -module  $A_{\overline{\psi}}$  for some linear function  $\psi$ .

For a given linear function  $\psi$ , suppose that  $A_{\overline{\psi}}$  is irreducible as  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -module. Viewing  $A_{\overline{\psi}}$  as  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+ \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0)$ -module by letting  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$  acts trivially. So we have an induced  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $M(\overline{\psi}) = U(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_{U(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+ \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0)} A_{\overline{\psi}}$ . It is easy to see that  $M(\overline{\psi})$  has a unique irreducible quotient, which we denote by  $V(\overline{\psi})$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define elements  $h_{i,n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,n} &= h_i \otimes y^n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, \\ h_{\nu,n} &= -q^n E_{11} \otimes y^n + E_{\nu\nu} \otimes y^n + \delta_{n,\Lambda(q)} c_x(0, n). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then  $c_y, d_x, d_y, h_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  form a basis of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ . Let

$$P_+ = \{ \lambda \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^* : \lambda(h_{i,0}) \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \lambda(c_y) = 0 \}$$

and  $l$  be a positive integer. Then, for each pair

$$(\lambda, \mathbf{b}) \in (P_+)^l \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_l),$$

such that  $b_1, \dots, b_l$  are distinct, we can define a linear function  $\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}$  on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$  by requiring that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(h_{i,n}) &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j(h_{i,0}) b_j^n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j(z), \quad z = d_x, d_y, \text{ or } c_y. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then the resulting  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -module  $A_{\overline{\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}}}$  is irreducible and we hence obtain an irreducible highest weight  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V(\overline{\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}})$ . Let  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $M$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , which is again denoted by  $M$  (See [11], Sect.5 for details). The following result was proved in [11]

**Theorem 2.5.** (1) *Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module such that  $c_x$  acts as positive integer and  $c_y$  acts as zero. Then  $V$  is integrable if and only if  $V \cong V(\overline{\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}})$  for some pair  $(\lambda, \mathbf{b})$ .*

(2) Let  $V$  be an irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module such that  $c_x$  or  $c_y$  acts non-trivial. Then  $V$  is isomorphic to either a highest weight module  $V(\overline{\psi}_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}})$  or a lowest weight module up to a twist of an automorphism  $M$ .

### 3. Tensor modules for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$

In this section we first construct fermionic  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x$ -modules  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and then show that the tensor  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $\bigotimes_{s=1}^l V_{i_s}, i_1, \dots, i_l \in \mathbb{Z}$  is completely reducible.

Let  $\mathcal{R}_\nu$  be a unital associative algebra with infinitely many generators  $\psi_i(m), \psi_i^*(m)$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu$ , subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(m)\psi_j(n) + \psi_j(n)\psi_i(m) &= \psi_i^*(m)\psi_j^*(n) + \psi_j^*(n)\psi_i^*(m) = 0, \\ \psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n) + \psi_j^*(n)\psi_i(m) &= \delta_{ij}\delta_{m+n,0}. \end{aligned}$$

We define normal ordering as follows

$$: \psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n) := \begin{cases} \psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n), & \text{if } m \leq n; \\ -\psi_j^*(n)\psi_i(m), & \text{if } m > n, \end{cases}$$

for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}_\nu^+$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}_\nu$  generated by  $\psi_i(m), \psi_i^*(n)$ , for  $m > 0, n \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_\nu^-$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\psi_i(m), \psi_i^*(n)$ , for  $m \leq 0, n < 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ . Let  $V(\nu)$  be a simple  $\mathcal{R}_\nu$ -module containing an element  $v_0$ , called “vacuum vector”, and satisfying  $\mathcal{R}_\nu^+ v_0 = 0$ . Therefore,

$$V(\nu) = \mathcal{R}_\nu^- v_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_0.$$

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}(m, n) &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-np} : \psi_i(m-p)\psi_j^*(p) :, \\ D &= \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} p : \psi_i(p)\psi_i^*(-p) :, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_{ij}(m, n) = \begin{cases} f_{ij}(m, n), & \text{for } n \in \Lambda(q), \\ f_{ij}(m, n) - \delta_{ij}\delta_{m,0}\frac{q^n}{q^n-1}, & \text{for } n \notin \Lambda(q). \end{cases}$$

For any vector  $v = \psi_{i_1}(m_1) \cdots \psi_{i_s}(m_s)\psi_{j_1}^*(n_1) \cdots \psi_{j_t}^*(n_t)v_0 \in V(\nu)$ , we define an linear operator  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $V(\nu)$  by

$$\mathcal{J}(v) = (s - t)v.$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $V_k$  be the  $k$ -eigenspace of  $V(\nu)$  with respect to the operator  $\mathcal{J}$ . Now, as a by-product of Theorem 3.8 [14], we have

**Proposition 3.1.**  $V(\nu)$  is a module for the Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x$  under the actions given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n &\mapsto F_{ij}(m, n), \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ c_x(0, n') &\mapsto 1, \quad d_x \mapsto D, \text{ for } n' \in \Lambda(q); \\ c_y(m', n') &\mapsto 0, \text{ for } m', n' \in \Lambda(q). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $V(\nu)$  is completely reducible and each component  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is irreducible.

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define a vector  $v_k \in V_k$  as follows

$$v_k = \begin{cases} \psi_r(-s) \cdots \psi_1(-s) \psi_\nu(1-s) \cdots \psi_1(1-s) \cdots \psi_\nu(0) \cdots \psi_1(0) v_0, & \text{for } k > 0, \\ \psi_{r+1}^*(s) \cdots \psi_\nu^*(s) \psi_1^*(s+1) \cdots \psi_\nu^*(s+1) \cdots \psi_1^*(-1) \cdots \psi_\nu^*(-1) v_0, & \text{for } k < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $(s, r)$  is the unique pair such that  $k = s\nu + r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq \nu$ .

By restriction, one can view  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  as an  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module. Let  $\omega_k$  be an element in  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0^*$  defined by

$$\omega_k(h_{i,n}) = \delta_{r,i} q^{-sn}, \omega_k(d_x) = \mathcal{D}_k \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4}$$

where  $k = s\nu + r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq \nu, h_{i,n}$  is defined in (2) and  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is the scalar determined by  $Dv_k = \mathcal{D}_k v_k$ . The following result shows that  $v_k$  is a highest weight vector in the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_k$  with highest weight  $\omega_k$ . The verification of this assertion is straightforward, and is omitted.

**Lemma 3.2.** In the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+.v_k = 0$  and  $h.v_k = \omega_k(h).v_k$  for all  $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0$ . ■

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{C}c_x \oplus \mathbb{C}d_x \oplus \mathbb{C}c_y$  and we identify  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^*$  with the set  $\{\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^* : \alpha(d_y) = 0\}$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, \alpha_\nu = \epsilon_\nu - \epsilon_1 + \delta_x$  and  $Q_+ = \mathbb{N}\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{N}\alpha_\nu$ . Clearly,  $V_k$  is a weight module for  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$  with respect to  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ . Moreover, the previous lemma shows that all weights of  $V_k$  have the form  $\Lambda_k - \eta, \eta \in Q_+$ , where  $\Lambda_k \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^*$  is the restriction of  $\omega_k$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Let  $l$  be a positive integer and  $\vec{i} = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ . We denote the tensor space  $\bigotimes_{s=1}^l V_{i_s}$  by  $V_{\vec{i}}$ . In the rest of this section we are going to show that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_{\vec{i}}$  is completely reducible.

We first consider the case with  $|q| = 1$ . In this case, one can define a conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta_q$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$  by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_q(E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n) &= q^{mn} E_{ji} \otimes x^{-m} y^{-n}, \quad \theta_q(d_x) = d_x, \\ \theta_q(c_x(m', n')) &= c_x(-m', -n'), \quad \theta_q(c_y(m', n')) = c_y(-m', -n'), \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m', n' \in \Lambda(q)$ .

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Lie algebra and  $\theta$  a conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Recall that a  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $W$  is said to be unitary with respect to  $\theta$  if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $W$  such that

$$\langle A.v, w \rangle = \langle v, \theta(A).w \rangle, \quad A \in \mathcal{G}, v, w \in W.$$

We now define a positive definite Hermitian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $V(\nu)$  by the characteristic conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_0, v_0 \rangle &= 1, \quad \langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}, \\ \langle \psi_i(m).v, w \rangle &= \langle v, \psi_i^*(-m).w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq \nu, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $v, w \in V(\nu)$ . Then, we have

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $|q| = 1$ , then the  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -module  $V(\nu)$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$ .*

**Proof.** For  $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $v, w \in V(\nu)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{ij}(m, n)v, w \rangle &= \langle \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-np} : \psi_i(m-p)\psi_j^*(p) : v, w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{np} : \psi_j(-p)\psi_i^*(p-m) : w \rangle \quad (\text{since } \bar{q} = q^{-1}) \\ &= \langle v, q^{mn} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{ns} : \psi_j(-m-s)\psi_i^*(s) : w \rangle \quad (\text{let } s = p - m) \\ &= \langle v, q^{mn} f_{ji}(-m, -n)w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{q^n}{q^n - 1} = \overline{\frac{q^{-n}}{q^{-n} - 1}}$  for  $n \notin \Lambda(q)$ , we obtain that

$$\langle E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n.v, w \rangle = \langle v, \theta_q(E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n).w \rangle.$$

For the other cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d_x.v, w \rangle &= \langle v, \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} p : \psi_i(p)\psi_i^*(-p) : w \rangle = \langle v, d_x.w \rangle, \\ \langle c_x(0, n').v, w \rangle &= \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, c_x(0, -n').w \rangle, \\ \langle c_y(m', n').v, w \rangle &= 0 = \langle v, c_y(-m', -n').w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m', n' \in \Lambda(q)$ , as required. ■

Since  $\theta_q(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ , the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_i$  is also unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$ . This implies that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_i$  is completely reducible if  $|q| = 1$ .

Now we turn to consider the case with  $|q| \neq 1$ . Let  $gl_\infty = \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i, j}$  be the usual infinite matrix algebra. It is well-known that each  $V_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a  $gl_\infty$ -module with the action given by

$$E_{m\nu+i, j-n\nu} \mapsto \psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover,  $V_k$  is an integrable highest weight  $gl_\infty$ -module with highest weight vector  $v_k$  and the tensor  $gl_\infty$ -module  $V_i$  is completely reducible.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $q$  be generic. Then  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -submodules and  $gl_\infty$ -submodules in  $V_i$  coincide.*

**Proof.** Let  $W$  be a  $gl_\infty$ -submodule of  $V_i$ . Then, for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in W$ , one has  $\psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n)w \in W$  and hence  $:\psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(m) : w \in W$ . This implies  $f_{ij}(m, n)w = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-np} : \psi_i(m-p)\psi_j^*(p) : w \in W$ . Similarly, one has  $F_{ij}(m, n)w \in W$  and  $Dw \in W$ , which gives  $W$  is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -submodule.

Conversely, let  $W$  be an  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -submodule of  $V_i$ . For any fixed  $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in W$ , we need to show that  $:\psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n) : w \in W$ . Note that there exist  $N_1 \leq n \leq N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $:\psi_i(m+n-p)\psi_j^*(p) : w = 0$  for all  $p < N_1$  or  $p > N_2$ . Consider now the equations

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}(m+n, s)w &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-sp} : \psi_i(m+n-p)\psi_j^*(p) : w \\ &= \sum_{p=N_1}^{N_2} q^{-sp} : \psi_i(m+n-p)\psi_j^*(p) : w \in W, \quad N_1 \leq s \leq N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q$  is generic, by solving the above equations one gets  $:\psi_i(m+n-p)\psi_j^*(p) : w \in W, N_1 \leq p \leq N_2$ . In particular, we have  $:\psi_i(m)\psi_j^*(n) : w \in W$ , as required.  $\blacksquare$

Observe that  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \oplus I_\nu$  if  $q$  is generic and  $I_\nu$  acts as a scalar on  $V_i$ . This implies that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodules in  $V_i$  are coincide with the  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -submodules and hence with the  $gl_\infty$ -submodules. Therefore, we obtain that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_i$  is completely reducible if  $q$  is generic. In summary, we have

**Theorem 3.5.** For  $i_1, \dots, i_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V_i = \bigotimes_{s=1}^l V_{i_s}$  is completely reducible.  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. Realization of integrable highest weight $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules

Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be a collection of  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ . Due to the work by Chari and Pressly [6], it allows us to define an  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module structure on the tensor space  $U = \bigotimes_{s=1}^k U_s \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A.u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k \otimes t^l &= \sum_{s=1}^k a_s^n u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A.u_s \otimes \dots \otimes u_k \otimes t^{l+n}, \\ d_y.u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k \otimes t^l &= lu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k \otimes t^l, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $u_s \in U_s, 1 \leq s \leq k, A \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(n)$  and  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $W_i$  be the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodule of  $V_i = \bigotimes_{s=1}^l V_{i_s}$  generated by  $w_i = \bigotimes_{s=1}^l v_{i_s}$ . Combine Theorem 3.5 with Lemma 3.2, we find that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_i$  is irreducible,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+ . w_i = 0$  and  $W_i = U(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_-)w_i$ . Recall the linear operators  $\omega_k \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0^*$  and  $\Lambda_k \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^*$  defined in Sect. 3. Set  $\omega_i = \sum_{s=1}^l \omega_{i_s}$  and  $\Lambda_i = \sum_{s=1}^l \Lambda_{i_s}$ . Then, one has

$$h.w_i = \omega_i(h)w_i, \quad h \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0,$$

and each weights of  $W_i$  has the form  $\Lambda_i - \eta$ .

In the following, we shall always take  $U_s = W_{\bar{i}_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k$  for some  $\bar{i}_s = (i_{1,s}, \dots, i_{n_s,s}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_s}$ . Now, for each pair

$$\mathbf{i} = (\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_k), \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k),$$

with the condition that

$$q^n a_i \neq a_j, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{6}$$

we obtain an  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module structure on the tensor space

$$W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} = W_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$$

with the action given by (5). Notice that, if  $q$  is an  $N$ -th primitive root of unity, then the condition (6) is equivalent to that  $a_i^N \neq a_j^N, \forall i \neq j$ . The main purpose of this section is to prove that such  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  are completely reducible and their irreducible components exhaust all of the irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules classified in Theorem 2.5 by Rao [11].

We define a ‘‘character’’

$$\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} : U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$$

of the universal enveloping algebra of  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0$  by extending

$$\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}(h) = \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \omega_{\bar{i}_s}(h) \right) a_s^n t^n,$$

where  $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0(n)$ . Since  $U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  inherits a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading from  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0$ , then  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is a graded algebra homomorphism and the image of  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is always a Laurent subring  $L_r := \mathbb{C}[t^r, t^{-r}]$  of  $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\Omega_i$  denote the element  $\otimes_{s=1}^k w_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes t^i$ . For  $h \in U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  with  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}(h) = t^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can easily check that  $h \cdot \Omega_i = \Omega_{i+m}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *If the image of  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is  $L_r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , then the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is generated by  $\Omega_0, \dots, \Omega_{r-1}$ .*

**Proof.** We denote by  $M$  the submodule of  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  generated by  $\Omega_0, \dots, \Omega_{r-1}$ . Since the image of  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is  $L_r$ , one can get that  $\Omega_n \in U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)\Omega_l$  for all  $n \equiv l \pmod{r}$ , where  $0 \leq l \leq r - 1$ . This forces that  $\Omega_n \in M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $A = \sum_{t=1}^a \lambda_t E_{i(t), j(t)} \otimes x^{m_t}$  be an element in  $\mathcal{A}_-$ , where  $1 \leq i(t), j(t) \leq \nu, m_t \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then, one has

$$(A \otimes y^n) \cdot w_{\bar{i}_s} = \sum_{t=1}^a \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{np} : \psi_{i(t)}(m_t + p) \psi_{j(t)}^*(-p) : w_{\bar{i}_s},$$

for  $1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Observe that there are only finite many  $p$ , say,  $p_{\bar{i}_s, l}, 1 \leq l \leq t_s$ , such that  $: \psi_{i(t)}(m_t + p) \psi_{j(t)}^*(-p) : w_{\bar{i}_s} \neq 0$  for some  $t$ . For

$1 \leq l \leq t_s$ , we denote  $v_{i_s,l} = \sum_{t=1}^a \lambda_k : \psi_{i(t)}(m_t + p_{i_s,l})\psi_{j(t)}(-p_{i_s,l}) : w_{i_s}$ , then one has

$$(A \otimes y^n).w_{i_s} = \sum_{l=1}^{t_s} q^{np_{i_s,l}} v_{i_s,l}, \tag{7}$$

for all  $1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $q^{p_{s,1}}, \dots, q^{p_{s,m_s}}$  be distinct numbers among  $q^{p_{i_s,l}}, 1 \leq l \leq t_s$ . For  $1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $1 \leq t \leq m_s$ , let  $I(s,t) = \{l | 1 \leq l \leq t_s, q^{p_{i_s,l}} = q^{p_{s,t}}\}$  and

$$v_{s,t} = \sum_{l \in I(s,t)} v_{i_s,l}.$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (A \otimes y^n).\Omega_{p-n} &= \sum_{s=1}^k a_s^n (w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (A \otimes y^n).w_{i_s} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k}) \otimes t^p \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (a_s q^{p_{s,t}})^n w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k} \otimes t^p, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $a_s q^{p_{s,t}}$  are distinct for all  $1 \leq s \leq k, 1 \leq t \leq m_s$ , we can solve for  $w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k} \otimes t^p$  in term of  $(A \otimes y^n).\Omega_{p-n}$ . Then, one has

$$w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k} \otimes t^p \in M,$$

for all  $1 \leq s \leq k, 1 \leq t \leq m_s$ . In particular, we have

$$w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (A \otimes y^n).w_{i_s} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k} \otimes t^p \in M, \tag{9}$$

for all  $n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_-$ .

For  $I_\nu \otimes x^m y^n \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-$ , we know  $m < 0$ , and  $m$  or  $n \notin \Lambda(q)$ . Therefore, we need to divide the argument into two cases.

First, if  $m \notin \Lambda(q)$ , we have that  $I_\nu \otimes x^m y^n \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, a repeated proof of (9) shows that

$$w_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (I_\nu \otimes x^m y^n).w_{i_s} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{i_k} \otimes t^p \in M, \tag{10}$$

for all  $n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $m < 0, m \notin \Lambda(q)$ .

Next, if  $m \in \Lambda(q), n \notin \Lambda(q)$ , then  $q$  must be a root of unity. Suppose that  $q$  is an  $N$ -th primitive root of unity, then  $\Lambda(q) = N\mathbb{Z}$ . Similar to the proof of (7), we obtain

$$(I_\nu \otimes x^m y^n).w_{i_s} = \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} q^{np_{s,t}} v_{s,t},$$

for some  $v_{s,t} \in W_{i_s}, p_{s,t} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq t \leq m_s$  and  $n \notin N\mathbb{Z}$ . This gives

$$(I_\nu \otimes x^m y^{nN+j}).w_{i_s} = \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (q^{p_{s,t}})^j v_{s,t}, \tag{11}$$

for  $0 < j < N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similar to (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_\nu \otimes x^m y^{nN+j}).\Omega_{p-nN-j} &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (a_s q^{p_{s,t}})^{nN+j} w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^p \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k (a_s^N)^n \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (a_s q^{p_{s,t}})^j w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^p, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $a_1^N, \dots, a_k^N$  are distinct, this and (11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &a_s^j w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (I_\nu \otimes x^m y^{nN+j}).w_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^p \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (a_s q^{p_{s,t}})^j w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^p \in M, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for all  $p, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < j < N, 1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $m \in N\mathbb{Z}, m < 0$ .

Note that the elements  $A \otimes y^n, I_\nu \otimes x^{m_1} y^{n_1}, c_y(m_2, n_2)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}_-, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m_1 < 0$  with  $m_1 \notin \Lambda(q)$  or  $n_1 \notin \Lambda(q), m_2 < 0, m_2, n_2 \in \Lambda(q)$  span the subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-$ . Combining (9),(10),(12) with the fact that  $c_y(m_2, n_2)$  acts on  $W_{\bar{i}_s}$  as zero, we have

$$w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A.w_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^p \in M,$$

for all  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This forces

$$w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \subset M,$$

as  $W_{\bar{i}_s} = U(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-)w_{\bar{i}_s}$  for  $1 \leq s \leq k$ . This completes the proof of the Proposition. ■

**Proposition 4.2.** For  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodule  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^l$  of  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  generated by  $\Omega_l$  is irreducible.

**Proof.** Any weight of  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^l$  has the form  $\Lambda - \eta + m\delta_y$  for some  $\eta \in Q_+, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Lambda = \sum_{s=1}^k \Lambda_{\bar{i}_s}$ . It is sufficient to show that for every non-zero weight vector  $v \in W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^l(\Lambda - \eta + m\delta_y)$  there exists  $A_v \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  such that  $A_v.v = \Omega_l$ . For  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^\nu k_i \alpha_i \in Q_+$ , we set  $\text{ht}\eta = \sum_{i=1}^\nu k_i$ . We shall prove this assertion by using induction on  $\text{ht}\eta$ .

First, we consider the case  $\text{ht}\eta = 0$ . Assume that the image of  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is  $L_r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . So we have  $U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)\Omega_l = \sum_{m \in r\mathbb{Z}+l} \mathbb{C}\Omega_m$ , and  $\Lambda + m\delta_y$  is a weight of  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^l$  if and only if  $m \equiv l \pmod{r}$ . But, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $Q_n$  in  $U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  such that  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}(Q_n) = t^{-nr}$ . This implies that  $Q_n\Omega_m = \Omega_l$  if  $m = nr + l$ , as required.

Next let  $\text{ht}\eta > 0$ . Assume for simplicity that  $k = 2$ . Write

$$v = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \otimes w_\mu \otimes t^m \in W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^l(\Lambda - \eta + m\delta_y),$$

where  $\{v_\lambda\}$  and  $\{w_\mu\}$  vary over a basis of weight vectors for  $W_{\tilde{i}_1}$  and  $W_{\tilde{i}_2}$  respectively, and  $c_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using induction, it is enough to show that there exists some  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$  such that  $X.v \neq 0$ . So suppose now that

$$X.v = 0, \quad \forall X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+.$$

Similar to (7), for  $A \in \mathcal{A}_+$  or  $A = I_\nu \otimes x^m, m > 0, m \notin \Lambda(q)$ , we have

$$(A \otimes y^n).v_\lambda = \sum_{r=1}^{n_\lambda} q^{np_{\lambda,r}} v_{\lambda,r}, \quad (A \otimes y^n).w_\mu = \sum_{s=1}^{m_\mu} q^{nd_{\mu,s}} w_{\mu,s},$$

for some  $v_{\lambda,r} \in W_{\tilde{i}_1}, w_{\mu,s} \in W_{\tilde{i}_2}, p_{\lambda,r}, d_{\mu,s} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq n_\lambda, 1 \leq s \leq m_\mu$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This gives

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n_\lambda} (a_1 q^{p_{\lambda,r}})^n v_{\lambda,r} \right) \otimes w_\mu + \sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \otimes \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m_\mu} (a_2 q^{d_{\mu,s}})^n w_{\mu,s} \right) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $q^{p^1}, \dots, q^{p^{n'}}$  be distinct numbers among  $q^{p_{\lambda,r}}, \forall \lambda, 1 \leq r \leq n_\lambda$  and  $q^{d^1}, \dots, q^{d^{m'}}$  be distinct numbers among  $q^{d_{\mu,s}}, \forall \mu, 1 \leq s \leq m_\mu$ . Set  $I(r') = \{(\lambda, r) | q^{p_{\lambda,r}} = q^{p^{r'}}\}$  for  $1 \leq r' \leq n'$  and  $J(s') = \{(\mu, s) | q^{d_{\mu,s}} = q^{d^{s'}}\}$  for  $1 \leq s' \leq m'$ . Introduce elements of the form

$$v'_{r'} = \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} \left( \sum_{(\lambda,r) \in I(r')} v_{\lambda,r} \right) \otimes w_\mu, \quad 1 \leq r' \leq n',$$

$$w'_{s'} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \otimes \left( \sum_{(\mu,s) \in J(s')} w_{\mu,s} \right), \quad 1 \leq s' \leq m',$$

so that we have

$$\sum_{r'=1}^{n'} (a_1 q^{p^{r'}})^n v'_{r'} + \sum_{s'=1}^{m'} (a_2 q^{d^{s'}})^n w'_{s'} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This forces

$$v'_{r'} = w'_{s'} = 0, \quad 1 \leq r' \leq n', 1 \leq s' \leq m',$$

as  $a_1 q^{p^1}, \dots, a_1 q^{p^{n'}}, a_2 q^{d^1}, \dots, a_2 q^{d^{m'}}$  are distinct. Since  $\{v_\lambda\}$  and  $\{w_\mu\}$  are sets with linearly independent elements, we get that

$$\sum_{(\lambda,r) \in I(r')} c_{\lambda\mu} v_{\lambda,r} = 0, \quad \forall \mu, 1 \leq r' \leq n',$$

$$\sum_{(\mu,s) \in J(s')} c_{\lambda\mu} w_{\mu,s} = 0, \quad \forall \lambda, 1 \leq s' \leq m'.$$

In particular, one has

$$(A \otimes y^n). \left( \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \right) = 0 = (A \otimes y^n). \left( \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} w_\mu \right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{13}$$

For the case that  $q$  is an  $N$ -th primitive root of unity, the elements  $B_n^j := I_\nu \otimes x^m y^{nN+j}, m \in \Lambda(q), m > 0, 0 < j < N, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are also in  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$ . From (11), we write

$$B_n^j \cdot v_\lambda = \sum_{r=1}^{n_\lambda} (q^{p_{\lambda,r}})^j v_{\lambda,r}, \quad B_n^j \cdot w_\mu = \sum_{s=1}^{m_\mu} (q^{d_{\mu,s}})^j w_{\mu,s},$$

for some  $v_{\lambda,r} \in W_{\tilde{i}_1}$  and  $w_{\mu,s} \in W_{\tilde{i}_2}$ . These imply

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1^N)^n \left( \sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n_\lambda} (a_1 q^{p_{\lambda,r}})^j v_{\lambda,r} \right) \otimes w_\mu \right) \\ & + (a_2^N)^n \left( \sum_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \otimes \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m_\mu} (a_2 q^{d_{\mu,s}})^j w_{\mu,s} \right) \right) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_1^N \neq a_2^N$  and  $\{v_\lambda\}, \{w_\mu\}$  are linearly independent basis elements, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n_\lambda} (a_1 q^{p_{\lambda,r}})^j v_{\lambda,r} \right) &= 0, \quad \forall \mu, \\ \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m_\mu} (a_2 q^{d_{\mu,s}})^j w_{\mu,s} \right) &= 0, \quad \forall \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

These imply that

$$B_n^j \cdot \left( \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \right) = 0 = B_n^j \cdot \left( \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} w_\mu \right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < j < N. \tag{14}$$

Finally, since the elements  $A \otimes y^n, I_\nu \otimes x^{m_1} y^{n_1}, c_y(m_2, n_2)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m_1 > 0, m_1 \notin \Lambda(q)$  or  $n_1 \notin \Lambda(q), m_2 > 0, m_2, n_2 \in \Lambda(q)$  span the subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$ . Thus, we have from (13) and (14) that

$$X \cdot \left( \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} v_\lambda \right) = 0 = X \cdot \left( \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} w_\mu \right)$$

for all  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$ . Choose  $\lambda_0, \mu_0$  such that  $c_{\lambda_0\mu_0} \neq 0$ , and set

$$\tilde{v}_{\mu_0} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu_0} v_\lambda, \quad \tilde{w}_{\lambda_0} = \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda_0\mu} w_\mu.$$

As  $W_{\tilde{i}_s}, s = 1, 2$  are irreducible, we obtain  $\tilde{v}_{\mu_0} \in \mathbb{C}w_{\tilde{i}_1}$  and  $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0} \in \mathbb{C}w_{\tilde{i}_2}$ . This implies that  $v$  has weight  $\Lambda + m\delta_y$ , which is a contradiction. ■

Now we apply Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 to prove the following result

**Theorem 4.3.** *The  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{i,a}$  is completely reducible. Moreover, suppose that the image of  $\chi_{i,a}$  is  $L_r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , then one has the decomposition*

$$W_{i,a} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{r-1} W_{i,a}^l$$

where  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l$  is the submodule of  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}$  generated by the vector  $\Omega_l$ , and each  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodule  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l$  is irreducible.

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.1, we have

$$W = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l. \tag{15}$$

To see the summation given in (15) is direct, then one needs to check that  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l \cap \sum_{j \neq l} W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^j = \{0\}$  for  $0 \leq l \leq r-1$ . Otherwise, due to Proposition 4.2, one has  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l \subseteq \sum_{j \neq l} W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^j$ . But, we know that  $\Omega_l \notin \sum_{j \neq l} W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^j$  as  $U(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0)\Omega_j = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}\Omega_{nr+j}$  for  $0 \leq j \neq l \leq r-1$ . This is a contradiction. ■

Now, we are going to show that each irreducible  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l$  is an integrable highest weight module and that, up to the actions of  $d_x$  and  $d_y$ , any irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module classified in Theorem 2.5 must be isomorphic to  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^0$  for a suitable choice of pair  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a})$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *The  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}$  is integrable.*

**Proof.** It is easy to see that for any  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \nu, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $v_s \in W_{i_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k$ , there exists a positive integer  $r_s$ , such that  $(E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n)^{r_s} \cdot v_s = 0$ .

Set  $N = \sum_{s=1}^k r_s$ , then

$$(E_{ij} \otimes x^m y^n)^N \cdot v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes t^p = 0,$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof. ■

Recall the linear function  $\psi_{\lambda,\mathbf{b}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0^*$  given by (3). Notice that the value of  $\psi_{\lambda,\mathbf{b}}$  on  $d_x, d_y$  can be chosen to any complex number. This suggests that we should exploit the extra degree of freedom available in defining the actions of  $d_x$  and  $d_y$  on  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}$ . Namely, for any  $\mu_x, \mu_y \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define a new  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module structure on the vector space  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}$  via changing the actions of  $d_x, d_y$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_x \cdot w &= \sum_{s=1}^k w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D w_s \otimes \cdots \otimes w_k \otimes t^p + \mu_x w, \\ d_y \cdot w &= (l + \mu_y)w, \end{aligned}$$

where  $w = \otimes_{s=1}^k w_s \otimes t^l, w_s \in W_{i_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $D$  was the operator defined in Sect.3. Denote the resulting  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module by  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\mu_x, \mu_y)$ . Furthermore, one can define the ‘‘character’’  $\chi_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  and the irreducible  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -submodules  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^l(\mu_x, \mu_y), l \in \mathbb{Z}$  in an obvious way. Note that the image of  $\chi_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  is the same as that of  $\chi_{i,\mathbf{a}}$ .

Fix a quadruple  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}, \mu_x, \mu_y)$ , where  $\mathbf{i} = (\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_k), \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  with  $a_i \neq a_j q^n, \forall i \neq j, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_x, \mu_y \in \mathbb{C}$ . We have from Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 that the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{i,\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  is irreducible and integrable. This

together with Theorem 2.5 implies that  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  is an irreducible, integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module. Conversely, we will show in the following that any irreducible, integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module is isomorphic to  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  for a suitable choice of  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}, \mu_x, \mu_y)$ .

Fix a linear function  $\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}$ , where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \in (P_+)^l$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_l) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_l$  are distinct. Set  $m_{t,j} = \lambda_t(h_{j,0}), 1 \leq j \leq \nu, 1 \leq t \leq l$ . Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  be a maximal subset of  $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$  with the property that  $q^n a_i \neq a_j, \forall i \neq j, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Set  $I(a_s) = \{t | 1 \leq t \leq l, b_t = q^{-\iota_{s,t}} a_s, \text{ for some } \iota_{s,t} \in \mathbb{Z}\}, 1 \leq s \leq k$ . Now, for each triple  $(s, t, j)$  with  $1 \leq s \leq k, t \in I(a_s)$ , and  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , we define

$$i_{s,t,j} = (\iota_{s,t}\nu + j, \dots, \iota_{s,t}\nu + j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{t,j}}.$$

Suppose that  $I(a_s) = \{t_{s,1}, \dots, t_{s,p_s}\}$  and let  $n_{s,j} = m_{t_{s,1},j} + \dots + m_{t_{s,p_s},j}$ . For  $1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , we further define

$$i_{s,j} = (i_{s,t_{s,1},j}, \dots, i_{s,t_{s,p_s},j}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{s,j}}.$$

For any  $1 \leq s \leq k$  with  $n_s = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} n_{s,j}$ , we introduce

$$\bar{i}_s = (i_{s,1}, \dots, i_{s,\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_s}.$$

Therefore, we have obtained a pair  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a})$ , where

$$\mathbf{i} = (\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_k), \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

with the condition that  $a_i q^n \neq a_j, \forall i \neq j, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This allows us to construct an  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}} := W_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  with the action given by (5). Let  $\mu_x = \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(d_x) - D_0$  and  $\mu_y = \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(d_y)$ , where  $D_0$  is the scalar determined by  $D\Omega_0 = D_0\Omega_0$ . Then, we have constructed an irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  arising from the linear function  $\psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}$ .

Now, in the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$ , we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+ \cdot \Omega_0 = 0$ . And, for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,n} \cdot \Omega_0 &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t \in I(a_s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_{t,j} \omega_{\iota_{s,t}\nu+j} (h_{i,n}) a_s^n \right) \Omega_n \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t \in I(a_s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_{t,j} \delta_{i,j} (a_s q^{-\iota_{s,t}})^n \right) \Omega_n = \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t \in I(a_s)} \lambda_t (h_{i,0}) b_t^n \right) \Omega_n \\ &= \left( \sum_{t=1}^l \lambda_t (h_{i,0}) b_t^n \right) \Omega_n = \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(h_{i,n}) \Omega_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity follows from (4). Furthermore, we have  $d_x \cdot \Omega_0 = \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(d_x) \Omega_0$  and  $d_y \cdot \Omega_0 = \psi_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}(d_y) \Omega_0$ . We see that the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$ -submodule  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  generated by  $\Omega_0$  is isomorphic to  $A_{\bar{\psi}_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}}}$ . This gives that  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  is a highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module and is isomorphic to  $V(\bar{\psi}_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}})$ . We observe that  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^l(\mu_x, \mu_y - l)$  is isomorphic to  $V(\bar{\psi}_{\lambda, \mathbf{b}})$  as well, so we have

$$W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^l(\mu_x, \mu_y) \cong W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y + l), \tag{16}$$

as  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We summarize the above discussion in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Any irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module is isomorphic to  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  for some suitable  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}, \mu_x, \mu_y)$ . ■*

### 5. Unitarity of integrable $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules

In this section we shall consider the unitarity of the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  when  $|q| = 1$ . This in turn determines the unitarity of the irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules classified by Rao. The result here is similar to that of affine case which was shown in [6].

Recall the conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta_q$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$  defined in Sect.3 when  $|q| = 1$ . Extend  $\theta_q$  to a conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , again denoted by  $\theta_q$ , by letting

$$\theta_q(d_y) = d_y.$$

We have shown that the  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V(\nu)$  is unitary with respect to the Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Thus,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  can be extended to  $W_{\bar{i}_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k$  in an obvious way, so that

$$\langle A.v_s, w_s \rangle = \langle v_s, \theta_q(A).w_s \rangle,$$

where  $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}, v_s, w_s \in W_{\bar{i}_s}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that  $|q| = 1$ , then the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$  if and only if  $|a_1| = \dots = |a_k|$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $|a_s| = c$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq k$ . We define a positive definite Hermitian form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  by letting

$$(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \otimes t^m, w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \otimes t^n) = c^{-2m} \delta_{m,n} \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \dots \langle v_k, w_k \rangle,$$

where  $v_s, w_s \in W_{\bar{i}_s}, 1 \leq s \leq k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (A.v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \otimes t^m, w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \otimes t^n) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_s^l A.v_s \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \otimes t^{m+l}, w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \otimes t^n) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \delta_{m+l,n} c^{-2m-2l} \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \dots \langle a_s^l A.v_s, w_s \rangle \dots \langle v_k, w_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k c^{-2m} \delta_{m,n-l} \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \dots \langle v, a_s^{-l} \theta_q(A).w_s \rangle \dots \langle v_k, w_k \rangle \\ &= (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \otimes t^m, \theta_q(A).w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \otimes t^n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(l)$ . The case for  $d_y$  is clearly and hence the  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$  is unitary.

Conversely, suppose that  $W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}$  is unitary with respect to a positive definite Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Assuming that the image of  $\chi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}$  is  $L_r, r \geq 1$ , then there exists  $Q \in U(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0)$  such that  $Q.\Omega_l = \Omega_{l+r}, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\theta_q$  can be (uniquely) extended to a conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $U(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ . One checks easily that  $\theta_q(Q).\Omega_l = c^2\Omega_{l-r}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . This implies

$$c^{2n} \|\Omega_l\|^2 = \|\Omega_{l+nr}\|^2 \tag{17}$$

for some (non-zero)  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\|v\|^2 = \langle v, v \rangle$  for  $v \in W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a}}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{i}_s &= (i_{1,s}, \dots, i_{n_s,s}) \text{ with } i_{j,s} = t_{j,s}\nu + r_{j,s}, t_{j,s} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r_{j,s} \leq \nu, \\ v_s &= w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{1,s}.w_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k}, 1 \leq s \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{1,s} = E_{r_{1,s}+1, r_{1,s}} \otimes 1$  for  $1 \leq r_{1,s} \leq \nu - 1$  and  $A_{1,s} = E_{1,\nu} \otimes x^{-1}$  for  $r_{1,s} = \nu$ . It is easy to see that  $v_s \neq 0$  and that

$$[\theta_q(A_{1,s} \otimes y^{-m}), A_{1,s} \otimes y^{-n}] = h_{r_{1,s}, m-n} + \delta_{m-n, \Lambda_q} c_y(0, m-n) \tag{18}$$

for all  $1 \leq s \leq k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For a fixed  $\gamma = 1, \dots, k$ , we may write

$$(A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^n).w_{\bar{i}_s} = q^{p_{s,1}n}w_{s,1} + \dots + q^{p_{s,m_s}n}w_{s,m_s}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq s \leq k,$$

for some  $p_{s,t} \in \mathbb{Z}, w_{s,t} \in W_{\bar{i}_s}, 1 \leq t \leq m_s$  and  $q^{p_{s,1}}, \dots, q^{p_{s,m_s}}$  are distinct. For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{-i}).\Omega_{i+nr} &= \sum_{s=1}^k a_s^{-i} w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{-i}.w_{\bar{i}_s} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^{nr} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^{m_s} (a_s q^{p_{s,t}})^{-i} w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{s,t} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k} \otimes t^{nr}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $a_s q^{p_{s,t}}$  are distinct for all  $1 \leq s \leq k, 1 \leq t \leq m_s$ . Solving the system of equations to give,

$$u_{\gamma,j} \otimes t^{nr} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} b_{ji} (A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{-i}).\Omega_{i+nr},$$

where  $u_{\gamma,j} = w_{\bar{i}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\gamma,j} \otimes \dots \otimes w_{\bar{i}_k}, 1 \leq j \leq m_\gamma, \tilde{m} = m_1 + \dots + m_k$ , and  $b_{ji}$  are some scalars which are independent of the choice of  $n$ . Then, we have for  $1 \leq j, j' \leq m_\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u_{\gamma,j} \otimes t^{nr}, u_{\gamma,j'} \otimes t^{nr} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,i'=1}^{\tilde{m}} b_{ji} \overline{b_{j'i'}} \langle (A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{-i}).\Omega_{i+nr}, (A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{-i'}).\Omega_{i'+nr} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,i'=1}^{\tilde{m}} b_{ji} \overline{b_{j'i'}} \langle h_{r_{1,\gamma}, i'-i}. \Omega_{i+nr}, \Omega_{i'+nr} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,i'=1}^{\tilde{m}} b_{ji} \overline{b_{j'i'}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_s} \omega_{i_l, s}(h_{r_{1,\gamma}, i'-i}) a_s^{i'-i} \right) c^{2n} \|\Omega_{i'}\|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (18) in the second identity, and (17) in the third identity. Taking  $n = 0$  in the previous equations, we have

$$\langle u_{\gamma,j}, u_{\gamma,j'} \rangle = \sum_{i,i'=1}^{\tilde{m}} b_{ji} \overline{b_{j'i'}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} \omega_{i_j,s}(h_{r_1,\gamma,i'-i}) a_s^{i'-i} \right) \|\Omega_{i'}\|^2 \right),$$

which implies that

$$\langle u_{\gamma,j} \otimes t^{nr}, u_{\gamma,j'} \otimes t^{nr} \rangle = c^{2n} \langle u_{\gamma,j}, u_{\gamma,j'} \rangle, \tag{19}$$

for all  $1 \leq j, j' \leq m_\gamma, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

It is clear that  $\theta_q(h_{i,n}) = h_{i,-n}$ . Thus, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\langle h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot \Omega_0, \Omega_{nr} \rangle = \langle \Omega_0, h_{r_1,\gamma,-nr} \cdot \Omega_{nr} \rangle, \tag{20}$$

$$\langle h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot v_\gamma, v_\gamma \otimes t^{nr} \rangle = \langle v_\gamma, h_{r_1,\gamma,-nr} \cdot v_\gamma \otimes t^{nr} \rangle. \tag{21}$$

For simplicity of notation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\gamma,nr} &:= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^{n_s} \omega_{i_l,s}(h_{r_1,\gamma,nr}) a_s^{nr}, \\ P_{\gamma,nr} &:= w_{i_1}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^{nr} \cdot w_{i_\gamma}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{i_k}^- \\ &= q^{p_\gamma, 1^{rn}} u_{\gamma,1} + \cdots + q^{p_\gamma, m_\gamma^{rn}} u_{\gamma, m_\gamma}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot \Omega_m = B_{\gamma,nr} \Omega_{m+nr}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, from this and (17),(20), we find

$$B_{\nu,nr} c^{2n} = \overline{B_{\nu,-nr}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{22}$$

By a direct computation, one has

$$[h_{r_1,s,nr}, A_{1,s}] = -2A_{1,s} \otimes y^{nr}, \quad s = 1, \dots, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot v_\gamma \\ &= \sum_{s \neq \gamma} a_s^{nr} w_{i_1}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot w_{i_s}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{i_k}^- \otimes t^{nr} \\ & \quad + a_\gamma^{nr} w_{i_1}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{r_1,\gamma,nr} \cdot A_{1,\gamma} \cdot w_{i_\gamma}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{i_k}^- \otimes t^{nr} \\ &= B_{\gamma,nr} v_\gamma - 2a_\gamma^{nr} w_{i_1}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{1,\gamma} \otimes y^n \cdot w_{i_\gamma}^- \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{i_k}^- \otimes t^{nr} \\ &= B_{\gamma,nr} v_\gamma - 2a_\gamma^{nr} P_{\gamma,nr} \otimes t^{nr}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (21) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{\gamma,nr} c^{2n} - \langle 2P_{\gamma,nr} \otimes a_\gamma^{nr} t^{nr}, P_{\gamma,0} \otimes t^{nr} \rangle \\ &= \overline{B_{\gamma,-nr}} - \langle P_{\gamma,0}, 2P_{\gamma,-nr} a_\gamma^{-nr} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Comparing (22) with (23), one has

$$a_\gamma^{nr} \langle P_{\gamma, nr} \otimes t^{nr}, P_{\gamma, 0} \otimes t^{nr} \rangle = \overline{a_\gamma^{-nr}} \langle P_{\gamma, 0}, P_{\gamma, -nr} \rangle. \tag{24}$$

We claim that  $\langle P_{\gamma, nr}, P_{\gamma, 0} \rangle \neq 0$  for some  $n \neq 0$ . Otherwise, suppose that

$$\langle P_{\gamma, nr}, P_{\gamma, 0} \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } n \neq 0.$$

Let  $q^{p_{1r}}, \dots, q^{p_{m'r}}$  are distinct numbers among  $q^{p_\gamma, t^r}, 1 \leq t \leq m_\gamma$ . Set  $I(p_i) = \{t | 1 \leq t \leq m_\gamma, q^{p_i r} = q^{p_\gamma, t^r}\}, 1 \leq i \leq m'$  and  $u_i = \sum_{t \in I(p_i)} u_{\gamma, t}$ . So we have the following equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m'} (q^{p_i r})^n \langle u_i, P_{\gamma, 0} \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } n \neq 0,$$

which implies

$$\langle u_i, P_{\gamma, 0} \rangle = 0, \forall i.$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\langle P_{\gamma, 0}, P_{\gamma, 0} \rangle = 0.$$

This gives a contradiction as  $P_{\gamma, 0} = v_\gamma \neq 0$ .

Note that, by applying (19) and the fact that  $|q| = 1$ , one has

$$\langle P_{\gamma, nr} \otimes t^{nr}, P_{\gamma, 0} \otimes t^{nr} \rangle = c^{2n} \langle P_{\nu, 0}, P_{\gamma, -nr} \rangle.$$

Choose some  $n \neq 0$  so that  $\langle P_{\nu, 0}, P_{\gamma, -nr} \rangle \neq 0$ . Therefore we obtain from this and (24) that

$$|a_\gamma|^{2nr} = |c|^{2n} \text{ and } |a_\gamma| = |c^{\frac{1}{r}}|,$$

for  $1 \leq s \leq k$ , as required. ■

**Proposition 5.2.** *The irreducible  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $W_{i, a}^0(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$  if and only if  $|a_1| = \dots = |a_k|$  and  $\mu_x, \mu_y$  are real numbers.*

**Proof.** If the image of  $\chi_{i, a}$  is  $L_r$ , by (16), we see that all  $W_{i, a}^l, 0 \leq l \leq r-1$  are isomorphic as  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules. Thus, one can complete the proof of this proposition by a similar argument as that given in [6] (Theorem (4.8)). ■

It was shown in Proposition 2.9 [9] that the automorphism  $M$  (see [11] Sect.5) commutes with  $\theta_q$ . Therefore, we have the following result

**Theorem 5.3.** (1) *When  $|q| = 1$ , the irreducible integrable highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V(\bar{\psi}_{\lambda, b})$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$  if and only if  $|b_1| = \dots = |b_l|$  and  $\psi_{\lambda, b}(d_x), \psi_{\lambda, b}(d_y)$  are real.*

(2) *Let  $V$  be an irreducible integrable  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module with  $c_x$  or  $c_y$  acts non-trivially, then  $V$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_q$  if and only if  $V$  is a highest weight module, and isomorphic to some  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $V(\bar{\psi}_{\lambda, b})$  obtained in (1) or a lowest weight module up to an automorphism  $M$ .* ■

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for providing Lemma 3.4 which significantly simplifies the proof of Theorem 3.5.

### References

- [1] Allison, B., S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, and A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **603**, 1997
- [2] Berman, S., Y. Gao, and Y. Krylyuk, *Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **135** (1996), 339–389.
- [3] Berman, S., Y. Gao, and S. Tan, *A unified view of some vertex operator constructions*, Israel J. Math. **134** (2003), 29–60.
- [4] Berman S., and J. Szmigielski, *Principal realization for extended affine Lie algebra of type  $sl_2$  with coordinates in a simple quantum torus with two variables*, Contemp. Math. **248** (1999), 39–67.
- [5] Chari, V., *Integrable representations of affine Lie algebras*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 317–335.
- [6] Chari, V., and A. Pressley, *New unitary representations of loop groups*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 87–104.
- [7] —, *Integrable representations of twisted affine Lie algebras*, J. Alg. **113** (1988), 438–464.
- [8] Eswara Rao, S., *Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces*, J. Alg. **246** (2001), 215–225.
- [9] —, *Unitary modules for EALAs co-ordinatized by a quantum torus*, Comm. Alg. **31** (2003), 2245–2256.
- [10] —, *Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces*, J. Alg. **277** (2004), 318–348.
- [11] —, *A class of integrable modules for the core of EALA coordinatized by quantum tori*, J. Alg. **275** (2004), 59–74.
- [12] Gao, Y., *Representations of extended affine Lie algebras coordinatized by certain quantum tori*, Compos. Math. **123** (2000), 1–25.
- [13] —, *Vertex operators arising from the homogeneous realization for  $\hat{gl}_N$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **211** (2000), 745–777.
- [14] —, *Fermionic and bosonic representations of the extended affine Lie algebra  $\widetilde{gl}_N(\mathbb{C}_q)$* , Canada Math. Bull. **45** (2002), 623–633.

- [15] Gao, Y., and Z. Zeng, *Hermitian representations of the extended affine Lie algebra  $\widetilde{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)$* , Adv. Math. **207** (2006), 244–265.
- [16] Golenishcheva-Kutuzova, M., and D. Lebedev, *Vertex operator representation of some quantum tori Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **148** (1992), 403–416.
- [17] Høegh-Krohn, R., and B. Torresani, *Classification and construction of quasi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 106–136.
- [18] Jakobsen, H., and V. Kac, *A new class of unitarizable highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. II*, J. Funct. Anal. **82** (1989), 69–90.
- [19] Kac, V., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [20] Zeng, Z., *Unitary representations of the extended affine Lie algebra  $\widetilde{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}_q)$* , Pacific J. Math. **233** (2007), 481–509.

Fulin Chen  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Xiamen University  
Xiamen 361005, China  
and  
Academy of Mathematics and Systems  
Science  
Chinese Academy of Sciences  
Beijing, 100190, China  
fulinchen@amss.ac.cn

Shaobin Tan  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Xiamen University  
Xiamen 361005, China  
tans@xmu.edu.cn

Received November 13, 2011  
and in final form September 7, 2012