

## Unitary Harish-Chandra Modules over Block Type Lie Algebras $\mathcal{B}(q)$

Hongjia Chen and Xiangqian Guo

Communicated by K.-H. Neeb

**Abstract.** For any nonzero complex number  $q$ , there is a Lie algebra of Block type, denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . In this paper, we classify the unitary Harish-Chandra modules for these algebras. We first give a description of conjugate-linear anti-involutions on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . Then we obtain the sufficient and necessary conditions for irreducible uniformly bounded unitary Harish-Chandra modules and irreducible unitary highest (lowest) weight Harish-Chandra modules. *Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68.

*Key Words and Phrases:* Block type algebra, Virasoro algebra, Harish-Chandra module, intermediate series module.

### 1. Introduction

Because of wide applications in many mathematics and physics branches, the representation theory of the Virasoro algebra has been extensively studied ([CP], [KR]). Recently, many authors studied a class of Lie algebras closely related to the Virasoro algebra, namely, the so called Block type algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , where  $q$  a nonzero complex number.

In particular, [SXX] divided the irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  into two classes: highest or lowest weight modules and uniformly bounded modules; the same result was obtained by [WT] for the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(1)$ . Recently, [CGZ] completely classified all irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , which turn out to be highest weight modules, lowest weight modules and modules of intermediate series. In [XZ], the unitarity was determined for the highest weight Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  with respect to a special conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . In the present paper, we determined the unitarity of any Harish-Chandra module over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  with respect to any conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . Let us first recall the definition of the Lie algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  the sets of integers, positive integers, nonnegative integers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. For any complex number  $q$ , the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  has a basis  $\{L_{m,i}, C \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  subject to the following Lie

brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{m,i}, L_{n,j}] &= (n(i+q) - m(j+q))L_{m+n,i+j} + \delta_{m+n,0}\delta_{i+j,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12}C, \\ [C, L_{m,i}] &= 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that the Lie algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  are in fact subalgebras of some special cases of generalized Block algebras studied in [DZ], and the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}(0)$  is a half part of the well-known Virasoro-like algebra. The most important fact is that for any  $q \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  contains a subalgebra isomorphic to the Virasoro algebra, that is, the subalgebra spanned by  $C$  and  $L_{m,0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first recall some known results for the algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  and the Virasoro algebra. In Section 3, we give a description of conjugate-linear anti-involutions on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  for  $q \neq 0$ , then we obtain the conditions for irreducible Harish-Chandra modules to be unitary.

For any subset  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , denote  $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$ . Throughout this paper, we always assume  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . All vector spaces and (Lie) algebras are over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , we denote its universal enveloping algebra by  $U(\mathcal{G})$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_{a,S} = 1$  if  $a \in S$  and 0 otherwise.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be the canonical Virasoro subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_{m,0} \oplus \mathbb{C}C.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}(q)$  or  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , then we have the standard Cartan subalgebra  $Z + \mathbb{C}L_{0,0}$ , where  $Z$  is the center of  $\mathcal{G}$ . It is not hard to see that  $Z = \mathbb{C}C \oplus \mathbb{C}L_{0,-q}$  if  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}(q)$  if  $-q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z = \mathbb{C}C$  if  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}_0$  or  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}(q)$  for  $-q \notin \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_\pm$  be the span of vectors in  $\mathcal{G}$  with positive/negative eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}(q^{-1}L_{0,0})$  respectively.

We can define weight modules for  $\mathcal{G}$  with respect to the Cartan subalgebra  $Z + \mathbb{C}L_{0,0}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules with the action of  $Z + \mathbb{C}L_{0,0}$  being diagonalizable. Since our objects in this paper are irreducible modules, where the central elements act as scalars, so we can make the convention that **all weight modules are referred to the weight modules with central elements acting as scalars** in this paper. In this sense any weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  can be decomposed as

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n, \quad \text{where } V_n = \{v \in V \mid L_{0,0}v = (\lambda_0 + qn)v\} \quad (2)$$

for some complex number  $\lambda_0$  depending on  $V$ .

A weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is called *highest/lowest weight* if  $V = U(\mathcal{G})v$  for some nonzero  $v$  with  $\mathcal{G}_\pm v = 0$  respectively, is called *Harish-Chandra* (or *quasifinite*) if all its weight spaces are finitely dimensional, is called *uniformly bounded* if all its weight spaces have dimension less than a fixed number, and is called *a module of the intermediate series* if all its weight spaces are no more than 1-dimensional.

By the representation theory of the Virasoro algebra, we have that any irreducible uniformly bounded  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module is isomorphic to an irreducible submodule of  $V(\alpha, \beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . The module  $V(\alpha, \beta)$  has a basis  $\{v_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with trivial central action and

$$L_{m,0}v_n = q(\alpha + n + m\beta)v_{m+n}.$$

The module  $V(\alpha, \beta)$  is reducible if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta = 0, 1$ .

Now we can define some  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -modules of intermediate series:

- (1).  $V = V(\alpha, \beta, 0, 0)$  for all  $q \neq 0$ :  $L_{m,i}V = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta)$  as  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules;
- (2).  $V = V(\alpha, \beta, K, 0)$  for  $-2q \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $L_{m,i}V = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $(m, i) \neq (0, -2q)$ ,  $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta)$  as  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules and  $L_{0,-2q}$  acts as a scalar  $K$ ;
- (3).  $V = V(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$  for  $q = -1$ :  $L_{m,i}V = 0$  for all  $i \geq 2$  and  $(m, i) \neq (0, 2)$ ,  $L_{m,1}v_n = Fv_{m+n}$ ,  $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta)$  as  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -modules and  $L_{0,2}$  acts as a scalar  $K$ .

It is easy to see that  $V(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$  is reducible if and only if  $F = 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta = 0, 1$ . We denote the unique infinite-dimensional irreducible subquotient by  $V'(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $V(\alpha, \beta, K, 0)$  has a unique 1-dimensional subquotient independent of the choice of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which we denote by  $T(K)$ .

Recently all irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  were classified in [CGZ].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Any irreducible Harish-Chandra module over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  is isomorphic to an irreducible highest weight module or an irreducible lowest weight module, or a module of the form  $T(K)$  or  $V'(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$  for suitable  $\alpha, \beta, K, F \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

### 3. Unitary modules

In this section we study the unitarity of Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . As a result, we give a complete classification of irreducible unitary Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Lie algebra. A map  $\theta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is called a *conjugate-linear anti-involution* of  $\mathcal{G}$  if it satisfies

$$\theta(ax) = \bar{a}\theta(x), \quad \theta(x + y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y), \quad \theta([x, y]) = [\theta(y), \theta(x)], \quad \theta^2(x) = x$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A module  $V$  over  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *unitary* for some conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  which is contravariant with respect to  $\theta$ , namely,

$$\langle xv, w \rangle = \langle v, \theta(x)w \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{G}, v, w \in V.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  contains a subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  isomorphic to the Virasoro algebra, we first recall some known results for conjugate-linear anti-involutions on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and unitary modules over  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . It is easy to check that the following formulas define conjugate-linear anti-involutions  $\theta_a^\pm$  for  $\mathcal{B}_0$ :

- (1).  $\theta_a^+(q^{-1}L_{m,0}) = a^m q^{-1}L_{-m,0}$ ,  $\theta_a^+(q^{-2}C) = q^{-2}C$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ;
- (2).  $\theta_a^-(q^{-1}L_{m,0}) = -a^m q^{-1}L_{m,0}$ ,  $\theta_a^-(q^{-2}C) = -q^{-2}C$ , for some  $a \in S^1$ ,

where  $S^1$  is the set of all complex numbers with norm one.

**Proposition 3.3** ([CP]). *Any conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is one of  $\theta_a^\pm$  defined above. Let  $V$  be a nontrivial irreducible Harish-Chandra  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -module.*

- (1) *If  $V$  is unitary for some conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , then  $\theta = \theta_a^+$  for some  $a > 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $V$  is unitary for  $\theta_a^+$  for some  $a > 0$ , then  $V$  is unitary for  $\theta_1^+$ .*
- (3) *If  $V$  is unitary, then  $V$  is either highest or lowest weight, or isomorphic to  $V(\alpha, \beta)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ .*

Now we can give a description of all conjugate-linear anti-involutions on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose  $\theta$  is a conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , then  $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $\theta$  is one of the following types:*

- (1)  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^+(L_{m,i}) = a^m b^{2i} L_{-m,i} + \delta_{m,0} \delta_{i,-2q} (cC + \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} dL_{0,-q})$ ,  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^+(C) = C$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $b \in S^1$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  and  $b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2)  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^-(L_{m,i}) = -a^m b^{2i} L_{m,i} + \delta_{m,0} \delta_{i,-2q} (cC + \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} dL_{0,-q})$ ,  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^-(C) = -C$ , for some  $a, b \in S^1$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  and  $b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $\theta$  is a conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . For convenience, assume

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \sum_{(n,j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{m,i}^{n,j} L_{n,j} + b_{m,i} C,$$

for some  $a_{m,i}^{n,j}, b_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$ , of which only finitely many are nonzero for fixed  $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

Since  $Z$  is the center of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , we have  $\theta(Z) = Z$ . Recall that  $Z = \mathbb{C}C$  if  $-q \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z = \mathbb{C}C \oplus \mathbb{C}L_{0,-q}$  if  $-q \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we may assume that

$$\theta(C) = \epsilon_1 C + \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} \epsilon_2 L_{0,-q}, \quad \theta(L_{0,-q}) = a_{0,-q}^{0,-q} L_{0,-q} + b_{0,-q} C \quad (\text{when } -q \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Note also that  $H = Z \oplus \mathbb{C}L_{0,0}$  is the unique maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  which acts semisimply on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  in the adjoint representation. We have  $\theta(H) = H$  and may assume that

$$\theta(L_{0,0}) = a_{0,0}^{0,0} L_{0,0} + \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} a_{0,0}^{0,-q} L_{0,-q} + b_{0,0} C.$$

Since  $\theta^2(L_{0,0}) = L_{0,0}$ , we have  $|a_{0,0}^{0,0}| = 1$ . For convenience, we denote  $\eta' = a_{0,0}^{0,0}$  and  $\eta = \eta'q/\bar{q}$ , then  $|\eta| = |\eta'| = 1$ . Applying  $\theta$  to  $[L_{0,0}, L_{m,i}] = m\eta L_{m,i}$ , we have

$$-\eta'q \sum_{(n,j) \in (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+)} na_{m,i}^{n,j} L_{n,j} = m\bar{q} \sum_{(n,j) \in (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+)} a_{m,i}^{n,j} L_{n,j} + m\bar{q}b_{m,i}C.$$

This implies that  $\eta = \pm 1$ ,  $b_{m,i} = 0$  for  $m \neq 0$  and

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{m,i}^{-\eta m, j} L_{-\eta m, j} + \delta_{m,0}b_{m,i}C, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Claim 1.**  $\theta(\mathcal{B}_0) = \mathcal{B}_0$ .

It suffices to prove that  $a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta(C) = \epsilon_1 C$ . Apply  $\theta$  to  $[L_{0,i}, L_{m,0}] = m(q+i)L_{m,i}$ , hence we get

$$(\bar{q} + i)\theta(L_{m,i}) = \eta \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (q+k)a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} a_{0,i}^{0,k} L_{-\eta m, j+k}, \quad \forall m \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

Then apply  $\theta$  to  $[L_{-m,0}, L_{m,i}] = m(2q+i)L_{0,i} + \delta_{i,0} \frac{m-m^3}{12} C$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (q+k)(2q+j+k+l)a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} a_{0,i}^{0,k} a_{-m,0}^{\eta m, l} L_{0, j+k+l} \\ &= (\bar{q} + i)(2\bar{q} + i) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{0,i}^{0,k} L_{0,k} + \delta_{i,0} \delta_{-q, \mathbb{N}} \frac{1-m^2}{12} \bar{q} \epsilon_2 L_{0,-q}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Noticing that  $a_{0,0}^{0,k} = \delta_{k,0}\eta'$  for  $k \neq -q$ , we have particularly for  $i = 0$  that

$$\sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \eta(2q+j+l)a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} a_{-m,0}^{\eta m, l} L_{0, j+l} = 2\bar{q}\eta' L_{0,0} + \delta_{-q, \mathbb{N}} \left( 2\bar{q}a_{0,0}^{0,-q} + \frac{1-m^2}{12} \epsilon_2 \right) L_{0,-q}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \tag{5}$$

For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we take the maximal  $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} a_{0,i}^{0,k} a_{-m,0}^{\eta m, l} \neq 0$ . If  $j+l > 0$ , then we can deduce  $(q+k)(2q+j+k+l) = 0$  or  $j+k+l = -q$  from (4) and  $2q+j+l = 0$  or  $j+l = -q$  from (5). Consequently  $k(q+k)^2 = 0$  and

$$\theta(L_{0,i}) = \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}, k' \leq |q|} a_{0,i}^{0,k'} L_{0,k'} + b_{0,i}C,$$

and hence  $\dim(\theta(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_{0,i})) < +\infty$ , contradicting the fact that  $\theta^2 = 1$ . Thus  $j+l = 0$  and  $a_{m,0}^{-\eta m, j} = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally

$$2\bar{q}\theta(L_{0,0}) = \theta([L_{-1,0}, L_{1,0}]) = [\theta(L_{1,0}), \theta(L_{-1,0})] \in \mathcal{B}_0$$

and

$$\theta(C) = \theta(8qL_{0,0} + 2[L_{2,0}, L_{-2,0}]) \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cap Z$$

complete the proof of the claim.

Now the restriction  $\theta|_{\mathcal{B}_0}$  is a conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  which is isomorphic to the Virasoro algebra. By Proposition 3.3, we have  $\theta|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \theta_a^\eta$ , i.e.,  $a_{m,0}^{-\eta m,j} = \delta_{j,0} \eta' a^m$  or

$$\theta(L_{m,0}) = \eta a^m \bar{q} q^{-1} L_{-\eta m,0}, \quad \theta(C) = \eta \bar{q}^2 q^{-2} C, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$  if  $\eta = 1$  and for some  $a \in S^1$  if  $\eta = -1$ . Here we make the convention that  $\eta$  indicates the symbol  $\pm$  when it appears as a superscript of  $\theta$ , and otherwise  $\eta$  indicates the number  $\pm 1$ . Taking sufficient large  $i$  in (4), we can deduce  $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $\eta = \eta'$ .

By (3) we get

$$(q+i)\theta(L_{m,i}) = a^m \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (q+k) a_{0,i}^{0,k} L_{-\eta m,k}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{6}$$

Then applying  $\theta$  on  $[L_{m,i}, L_{n,0}] = (nq + ni - mq)L_{m+n,i} + \delta_{m+n,0} \delta_{i,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} C$ , we can deduce

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{0,i}^{0,k} (q+k)(nq + nk - mq) L_{-\eta(m+n),k} = (nq + ni - mq) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{0,i}^{0,k} (q+k) L_{-\eta(m+n),k},$$

for all  $m(m+n) \neq 0$  and

$$q a_{0,i}^{0,0} (m^2 - 1) C + 12 b_{0,i} (q+i)(2q+i) C - \delta_{i,0} \eta q (m^2 - 1) C = 0, \quad \forall m \neq 0,$$

which imply  $a_{0,i}^{0,k} = 0$  for all  $k \neq -q, i \neq k$  and  $b_{0,i} = 0$  for all  $i \neq -q, -2q$ .

Setting  $b_i = \eta a_{0,i}^{0,i}$ , we deduce from (6) that

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \eta a^m b_i L_{-\eta m,i}, \quad \forall m \neq 0, i \neq -q.$$

Apply  $\theta$  to the Lie bracket (1) with  $n(m+n)(j+q)(i+j+q) \neq 0$ , we get

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (m(q+j) - n(q+k)) (a_{m,i}^{-\eta m,k} - \delta_{k,i} \eta a^m b_{i+j} b_j^{-1}) L_{-\eta(m+n),j+k} = 0,$$

which yields that

$$a_{m,i}^{-\eta m,k} = \delta_{k,i} \eta a^m b_{i+j} b_j^{-1}, \quad \forall m(j+q)(i+j+q) \neq 0$$

or in other words

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \eta a^m b_{i+j} b_j^{-1} L_{-\eta m,i}, \quad \forall m(j+q)(i+j+q) \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

From (6) and (7), we deduce  $b_i b_j = b_{i+j}$  for all  $i, j, i+j \neq -q$  and hence there exists  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $b_i = b^{2i}$  for all  $i \neq -q$ . Indeed, take any  $k > |q|$  and choose  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $b^2 = b_k^{-1} b_{k+1}$ , then we can deduce that  $b_i = b^{2i}$  for all  $i \neq -q$ . Now (7) becomes

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \eta a^m b^{2i} L_{-\eta m,i}, \quad \forall m \neq 0. \tag{8}$$

At last apply  $\theta$  to  $[L_{m,i}, L_{-m,0}] = -m(2q+i)L_{0,i}$  for  $m, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\theta(L_{0,i}) = \eta b^{2i} L_{0,i}, \quad \forall i \neq -2q. \tag{9}$$

Note that  $a_{0,-2q}^{0,k} = 0$  unless  $k = -q$  or  $k = -2q$ . Denote  $c = \delta_{-2q,\mathbb{N}}b_{0,-2q}$  and  $d = \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}}a_{0,-2q}^{0,-q}$ , we obtain

$$\theta(L_{m,i}) = \eta a^m b^{2i} L_{-\eta m,i} + \delta_{m,0} \delta_{i,-2q} c C + \delta_{m,0} \delta_{i,-2q} \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} d L_{0,-q}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{10}$$

Finally by considering  $\theta^2 = 1$  and the case  $-2q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get that  $b \in S^1$ ,  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  if  $-2q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  if  $-q \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,  $\theta = \theta_{a,b,c,d}^\eta$ . ■

By Claim 1 in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we can get

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\theta$  be a conjugate-linear anti-involution of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , then  $\theta|_{\mathcal{B}_0}$  is a conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , that is,  $\theta|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \theta_a^\eta$  for appropriate  $\eta$  and  $a$ .*

Note that in Proposition 3.4 we reproduce one result in [XZ] that  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  does not admit any conjugate-linear anti-involution if  $q \notin \mathbb{R}$ . From now on, we always assume that  $q$  is a nonzero real number.

It is easy to show if  $-2q \in \mathbb{N}$  then the 1-dimensional  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module  $T(K)$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^\eta$  if and only if  $\overline{K} = \eta b^{-4q}K$ . In what follows, we suppose  $V$  is an irreducible Harish-Chandra  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module not isomorphic to  $T(K)$  for any  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We can easily deduce their counterparts of (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.3 for  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *For an irreducible Harish-Chandra  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module  $V$ , we have*

- (1) *If  $V$  is unitary for some conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ , then  $\theta = \theta_{a,b,c,d}^+$  for some  $a > 0, b \in S^1$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  and  $b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (2) *If  $V$  is unitary for  $\theta_{a,b,c,d}^+$  described in (1), then  $V$  is unitary for  $\theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\theta = \theta_{a,b,c,d}^\eta$  as defined in Proposition 3.4. By Corollary 3.5,  $\theta|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \theta_a^\eta$ . Noticing that  $V$  has a nontrivial irreducible Vir-subquotient module which is unitary for this  $\theta_a^\eta$ , we have  $\eta = +$  and  $a > 0$  from Proposition 3.3. Thus (1) follows.

Now suppose that  $V$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta = \theta_{a,b,c,d}^+$  and the positive definite Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $V$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  be the weight decomposition as in (2). It is easy to see that  $\langle V_n, V_m \rangle = 0$  for  $m \neq n$ . Now we define a new positive definite Hermitian form  $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$  by

$$\ll v, w \gg = a^{-n} \langle v, w \rangle, \quad \forall v, w \in V_n.$$

Then with the form  $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ ,  $V$  is unitary for  $\theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$ , as desired. ■

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $V$  be an irreducible uniformly bounded module over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  not isomorphic to  $T(K')$  for any  $K' \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $V$  is unitary relative to  $\theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$  for some  $b \in S^1$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}, b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ , then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $V'(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta, K, F \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, (\beta - \frac{1}{2}) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}, bF \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\overline{b^{-2q}K} = b^{-2q}K + b^{-2}dF$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to determine the nontrivial irreducible unitary modules of the intermediate series. It is straightforward to check that  $V'(\alpha, \beta, F, K)$  is unitary relative to  $\theta = \theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$  provided it satisfies the conditions stated in the theorem.

Now suppose  $V = V'(\alpha, \beta, F, K)$  is unitary relative to  $\theta$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\beta - \frac{1}{2}) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  by Proposition 3.3. If  $-2q \notin \mathbb{N}$ , there is nothing to prove. Now suppose that  $-2q \in \mathbb{N}$  and take any nonzero  $v \in V$ . Consider

$$\langle L_{0,-2q}v, v \rangle = \langle v, \theta(L_{0,-2q})v \rangle \tag{11}$$

and

$$\langle L_{0,1}v, v \rangle = \langle v, \theta(L_{0,1})v \rangle \tag{12}$$

If  $F = 0$ , then (11) implies that  $\overline{b^{-2q}K} = b^{-2q}K$ . If  $F \neq 0$ , then  $q = -1$  and (11) gives  $\overline{b^{-2q}K} = b^{-2q}K + b^{-2}dF$  and (12) implies  $bF \in \mathbb{R}$ . ■

Now let us discuss the unitary highest (lowest) weight modules. Let  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_{\lambda_0 - n}$  be an irreducible highest weight  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module with  $V_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ . By PBW Theorem, we have  $V_{\lambda_0}$  is irreducible as an  $\tilde{H} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{C, L_{0,i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  module, hence  $\dim V_{\lambda_0} = 1$ . Then there exists a linear function  $\Lambda$  on  $\tilde{H}$  such that

$$xv = \Lambda(x)v, \quad \forall x \in \tilde{H}, v \in V_{\lambda_0}.$$

Denote  $\xi = \Lambda(C)$  and  $\lambda_i = \Lambda(L_{0,i})$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Since  $V$  is uniquely determined by this  $\Lambda$ , we denote it by  $L(\Lambda)$ . Let  $L^*(\Lambda)$  be the restricted dual space of  $L(\Lambda)$ . We can make  $L^*(\Lambda)$  into a  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module in a canonical way. Obviously  $L^*(\Lambda)$  is an irreducible lowest weight  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module and  $L^*(\Lambda)$  is unitary if and only if  $L(\Lambda)$  is unitary.

[XZ] gave the following description of unitary highest weight Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  with respect to  $\theta_{1,1,0,0}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *For  $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , the irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module  $L(\Lambda)$  is unitary with respect to  $\theta_{1,1,0,0}$  if and only if  $\lambda_i = \delta_{i,-2q}\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \geq 1$  and one of the following conditions holds:*

(i)  $\xi \geq q^2$ , and  $q\lambda_0 \leq 0$ , or

(ii) there exists integer  $m \geq 2$ , and  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq s \leq r < m$  such that

$$\xi = c_{m,q} = q^2 - \frac{6q^2}{m(m+1)}, \quad \lambda_0 = \lambda_{m,q}^{r,s} = \frac{q - q((m+1)r - ms)^2}{4m(m+1)}.$$

Now consider an arbitrary conjugate-linear anti-involution  $\theta = \theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$  with  $b \in S^1$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $b^{2q}c \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$  and  $b^{3q}d \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L(\Lambda)$  be an irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra module over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ . We denote

$$H_{m,i} = b^i L_{m,i} + \frac{b^{2q}}{2} \delta_{m,0} \delta_{i,-2q} (cC + \delta_{-q,\mathbb{N}} dL_{0,-q}),$$

then we have

$$[H_{m,i}, H_{n,j}] = (n(i+q) - m(j+q))H_{m+n,i+j} + \delta_{m+n,0} \delta_{i+j,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} C$$

and  $\theta(H_{m,i}) = H_{-m,i}$  for all  $(m, i), (n, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ . By replacing  $L_{m,i}$  with  $H_{m,i}$ , we can apply Theorem 3.8 to  $L(\Lambda)$ . In summary, we have

**Corollary 3.9.** For  $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , the irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ -module  $L(\Lambda)$  and its dual lowest weight module  $L^*(\Lambda)$  are unitary with respect to  $\theta_{1,b,c,d}^+$  as described above if and only if  $\lambda_i = \delta_{i,-2q} b^{2q} (\lambda - \frac{b^{2q}c}{2}\xi)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \geq 1$  and one of the following conditions holds:

(i)  $\xi \geq q^2$ , and  $q\lambda_0 \leq 0$ , or

(ii) there exists integer  $m \geq 2$ , and  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq s \leq r < m$  such that

$$\xi = c_{m,q} = q^2 - \frac{6q^2}{m(m+1)}, \quad \lambda_0 = \lambda_{m,q}^{r,s} = \frac{q - q((m+1)r - ms)^2}{4m(m+1)}.$$

**Remark 3.10.** Note that by Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.9 there are modules  $V'(\alpha, \beta, F, K)$  and highest (lowest) modules which are unitary relative to  $\theta_{1,1,0,0}^+$  but not unitary relative to  $\theta_{1,b,0,0}^+$  for some  $b \neq 1$ .

Now we have a complete classification of the unitary Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $\theta = \theta_{a,b,c,d}^\eta$  a conjugate-linear anti-involution on  $\mathcal{B}(q)$ .

- (1) If  $\eta = -$  or  $a$  is not positive, then the only irreducible unitary Harish-Chandra modules over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  are  $T(K)$  with  $\overline{K} = -b^{-4q}K$  up to isomorphism.
- (2) If  $\eta = +$  and  $a > 0$ , then any irreducible unitary Harish-Chandra module over  $\mathcal{B}(q)$  is isomorphic to  $T(K)$  with  $\overline{K} = b^{-4q}K$ , or one of  $V'(\alpha, \beta, K, F)$  described in Theorem 3.7, or a highest (or lowest) weight module described in Corollary 3.9.

### Acknowledgments

The research was carried out during the visit of the authors to Wilfrid Laurier University. They gratefully acknowledge the support (a short term grant) and hospitality of Wilfrid Laurier University. The second author is partially supported by NSF of China (Grant 11101380) and CSC of China (Grant 2010841037). We would also like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

### References

[CP] Chari, V., and A. Pressley, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra and a conjecture of Kac*, *Compositio Math.* **67** (1988), 315–342.

[CGZ] Chen, H., X. Guo, and K. Zhao, *Irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over a class of Lie algebras of Block type*, Preprint.

[DZ] Djokovic, D. Z., and K. Zhao, *Derivations, isomorphisms and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras*, *Algebra Colloq.* **3** (1996), 245–272.

- [KR] Kac, V. G., and A. K. Raina, “Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras,” *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics* **2**, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.
- [SXX] Su, Y., C. Xia, and Y. Xu, *Quasifinite representations of a class of Block type Lie algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$* , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012), 923–934.
- [XZ] Xia, C., and R. Zhang, *Unitary highest weight modules over Block type Lie algebras  $\mathcal{B}(q)$* , *J. Lie Theory* **23** (2013), 159–176.
- [WT] Wang, Q., and S. Tan, *Quasifinite modules of a Lie algebra related to Block type*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **211** (2007), 596–608.

Hongjia Chen  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Science  
and Technology of China  
Hefei 230026, Anhui, P.R. China.  
hjchenmath@gmail.com

Xiangqian Guo  
Department of Mathematics  
Zhengzhou University  
Zhengzhou 450001, Henan, P.R. China  
guoxq@amss.ac.cn

Received May 10, 2012  
and in final form December 6, 2012