

On Some Subvarieties of the Cartesian Powers of a Semisimple Lie Algebra Related to a Parabolic Subalgebra

Mouchira Zaiter

Communicated by J. Faraut

Abstract. In this paper, we describe some desingularizations of some subvarieties of the cartesian powers of a semisimple Lie algebra of finite dimension.
Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 14A10, 14L17, 22E20, 22E46.
Key Words and Phrases: Lie algebra, parabolic subalgebra, desingularization, normalization.

1. Introduction

The basic field \mathbb{k} is an algebraic closed field of characteristic zero. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra of finite dimension and let \mathbf{G} be its adjoint group. Let \mathfrak{b} be a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} contained in \mathfrak{b} and let $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the normalizer of \mathfrak{h} in \mathbf{G} . Let denote by $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{g}$ a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{l} its reductive factor containing \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{p}_u its nilpotent radical and \mathbf{P} its normalizer in \mathbf{G} . Let set:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g \in \mathbf{G} \text{ such that } (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k)) \in \mathfrak{p}^k\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g \in \mathbf{G} \text{ such that } (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k)) \in \mathfrak{p}_u^k\}.$$

For X a \mathbf{G} -variety, we denote by $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} X$ the quotient of $\mathbf{G} \times X$ under the right action of \mathbf{P} given by $(g, x) \cdot p := (gp, p^{-1} \cdot x)$. The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} X$ is a vector bundle over \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} . The main result of this note is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. (i) For $k \geq 2$, $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is not normal.

(ii) For $k \geq 2$, the canonical morphism $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ is projective and factorizes through a desingularization of an affine variety $X^{(k)}$.

For $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b}$, this theorem is given in [2], a joint work with J-Y. Charbonnel. Moreover, in this case, $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ is normal and has rational singularities. Since this

result is important, so it is for its generalization. In fact, this note is the first step to a generalization of some results of [2]. The goal of this paper should be finding an explicit normalization of the varieties $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ and showing that these varieties have rational singularities when \mathfrak{p} is a parabolic subalgebra. Unfortunately, we are not able to prove these results for the moment. So, the purpose of this note is to describe the good candidates $\chi^{(k)}$ and $X^{(k)}$ for the normalizations of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$.

Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{h})$ be the symmetric algebras of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} respectively, let $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathbf{G}}$ be the subalgebra of \mathbf{G} -invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ be the subalgebra of W -invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{h})$, where W is the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . The subalgebras $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathbf{G}}$ and $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ are identified by Chevalley Restriction Theorem. In order to find a normalization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$, we use, in section 3, a normal variety χ_0 introduced in [2, §3], which is the closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ such that its algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{k}[\chi_0]$ equals $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})$, i.e.

$$\chi_0 = \left\{ (x, h) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h} \mid p(x) = p(h), \forall p \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W \right\},$$

and we introduce the normal variety $\chi := \chi_0/W_l$, where W_l is the Weyl group of the Levi factor \mathfrak{l} of \mathfrak{p} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_k &: \mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k \rightarrow \chi^k \\ (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) &\mapsto (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_k}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{x_i}$ is the image of \tilde{x}_i in \mathfrak{l}/\mathbf{L} (see notations). Denote by σ_k the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to χ^k defined through the quotient by σ'_k . Let $\chi^{(k)}$ be the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ by σ_k . We prove the following theorem:

- Theorem 2.** (i) *The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of a subvariety $\chi^{(k)}$ of χ^k .*
(ii) *The variety $\chi^{(k)}$ is an irreducible component of the inverse image of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ in χ^k by the canonical projection $\text{pr}_{1,k}$ from χ^k to onto \mathfrak{g}^k .*

In section 4, to find a normalization of $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$, we introduce the variety $X := \text{Spec} \mathcal{A}$ and $X^{(k)}$ a subvariety of X^k , where \mathcal{A} is the integral closure of the algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ and we prove the following theorem:

- Theorem 3.** (i) *The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$.*
(ii) *There exists a pure morphism in the sense of [7, Appendix to §7] from $(X^{(k)})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the normalisation of $X^{(k)}$ to $(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the normalisation of $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$.*

2. Notations

We consider the diagonal action of \mathbf{G} on \mathfrak{g}^k . Let \mathcal{R} be the root system of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} and let \mathcal{R}_+ be the positive root system of \mathcal{R} defined by \mathfrak{b} . The Weyl group of \mathcal{R}

is denoted by W and the basis of \mathcal{R}_+ is denoted by Π . Set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{l} &:= \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R} \mid \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}\} \\ \mathfrak{p}_- &:= \mathfrak{l} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W_\mathfrak{l}$ be the Weyl group of $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{l}$ and let \mathbf{P}_- be the normalizer of \mathfrak{p}_- in \mathbf{G} . Denote by \mathbf{L} the identity component of the normalizer of \mathfrak{l} in \mathbf{G} and denote by \mathbf{P}_u and $\mathbf{P}_{-,u}$ the unipotent radicals of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P}_- respectively. Let Δ be the Richardson orbit of \mathfrak{p} and let $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta} := \Delta \cap \mathfrak{p}_u$. We use also the following notations:

- All topological terms refer to the Zariski topology. For Y an open subset of the algebraic variety X , Y is called a big open subset if the codimension of $X \setminus Y$ in X is at least 2. Let \mathcal{O}_X be the structural sheaf of X , let $\mathbb{k}[X]$ be the algebra of regular functions on X , and let $\mathbb{k}(X)$ be the field of rational functions on X when X is irreducible.
- If $\mathbf{G} \times A \rightarrow A$ is an action of \mathbf{G} on the algebra A , denote by $A^\mathbf{G}$ the subalgebra of the \mathbf{G} -invariant elements of A .
- We identify the algebra \mathfrak{g} and its dual \mathfrak{g}^* by the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of \mathfrak{g} , by this way, \mathfrak{l} identifies with its dual \mathfrak{l}^* .
- $b_\mathfrak{g}$ the dimension of the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\text{rk}\mathfrak{g}$ the rank of \mathfrak{g} .
- $p_1, \dots, p_{\text{rk}\mathfrak{g}}$ homogeneous invariant functions generate $S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathbf{G}$ of degrees $d_1, \dots, d_{\text{rk}\mathfrak{g}}$.
- For $i = 1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}$, the 2-order polarizations of p_i of bidegree $(d_i - n, n)$, denoted by $p_i^{(n)}$, are the unique elements in $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S(\mathfrak{g}))^\mathbf{G}$ satisfying the following relation

$$p_i(ax + by) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_i} a^{d_i-n} b^n p_i^{(n)}(x, y),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

- For $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$, ε_i is the element of $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\langle \varepsilon_i(x), v \rangle = p_i'(x)(v), \quad \forall x, v \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $p_i'(x)$ is the differential of p_i at x for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$.

- For $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$ and for $m \in \{0, \dots, d_i - 1\}$, the 2-polarizations of ε_i of bidegree $(d_i - m - 1, m)$ denoted by $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$ are the unique elements in $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the following relation

$$\varepsilon_i(ax + by) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_i-1} a^{d_i-n-1} b^n \varepsilon_i^{(n)}(x, y),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$ is the set of regular elements of \mathfrak{g} .
- For a subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}} := \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$.
- For $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, $V_{x,y}$ is the space generated by the set

$$\left\{ \varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x, y), i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}, m \in \{0, \dots, d_i - 1\} \right\}.$$

- More generally, for a reductive algebra \mathfrak{l} and for $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{l} \times \mathfrak{l}$, $V_{x,y}^{\mathfrak{l}}$ is defined analogously.
- For $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k$, P_{x_1, \dots, x_k} is the space of \mathfrak{g} generated by x_1, \dots, x_k .
- $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \mid P_{x,y} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}} \text{ and } \dim P_{x,y} = 2\}$.
- For x in \mathfrak{g} , \mathbf{G}^x is the centralizer of x in \mathbf{G} .
- For a subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} and for x in \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{a}^x is the centralizer of x in \mathfrak{a} .
- ϖ is the canonical morphism from \mathfrak{p} to \mathfrak{l} .
- For x in \mathfrak{p} , \tilde{x} is the image of x by ϖ .
- (e, h, f) is a principal standard \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triplet.

3. On the variety $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$

3.1. On parabolic subalgebras.

Lemma 4. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an algebraic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .*

(i) *Let suppose that \mathfrak{a} contains \mathfrak{g}^x for all x in a nonempty open subset of \mathfrak{a} and let suppose that $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}$ is not empty. Then $V_{x,y}$ is contained in \mathfrak{a} for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$.*

(ii) *Let suppose that \mathfrak{a} contains a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $V_{x,y}$ is contained in \mathfrak{a} for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. (i) By hypothesis, for all x in a nonempty open subset of \mathfrak{a} , x is a regular element and \mathfrak{g}^x is contained in \mathfrak{a} . So, $\varepsilon_1(x), \dots, \varepsilon_{\text{rk}\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ belong to \mathfrak{a} for all x in a nonempty open subset of \mathfrak{a} and hence for all x in \mathfrak{a} by continuity. As a result, for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$, $\left\{ \varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x, y), i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}, m \in \{0, \dots, d_i - 1\} \right\}$ is contained in \mathfrak{a} , whence the assertion.

(ii) Let \mathfrak{c} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} contained in \mathfrak{a} . Since \mathfrak{a} is an algebraic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , every semisimple element of \mathfrak{a} is conjugate under the adjoint group of \mathfrak{a} to an element of \mathfrak{c} . Hence for every regular semisimple element x of \mathfrak{g} , belonging to \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{g}^x is contained in \mathfrak{a} . As a result, the assertion is a consequence of (i) since the subset of regular semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} , belonging to \mathfrak{a} , is a nonempty open subset of \mathfrak{a} . ■

Corollary 5. *For all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y}$ is contained in \mathfrak{p} . In particular, for all (x, y) in a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b}$, $V_{x,y} = \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{h} is contained in \mathfrak{p} , for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y}$ is contained in \mathfrak{p} by Lemma 4, (ii). According to [1, Corollary 2],

$$(x, y) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \Leftrightarrow \dim V_{x,y} = \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Since (h, e) belongs to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b}$ is a nonempty open subset, whence the corollary. ■

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathcal{V}'_{\text{reg}}$ be the set of regular elements of \mathfrak{l} . Set:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{p}} &:= \{x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}} \mid \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{V}'_{\text{reg}}\} \\ R'_{\mathfrak{p}} &:= \{x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{g}^x \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{u}} = \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (i) For all x in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x) = \mathfrak{l}^{\tilde{x}}$ if and only if $x \in R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- (ii) The subset $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is open in \mathfrak{p} .
- (iii) For all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y}$ is contained in $V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\mathfrak{l}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{u}}$.
- (iv) For all (x, y) in $R'_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathfrak{p}$, $\varpi(V_{x,y}) = V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\mathfrak{l}}$.

Proof. (i) Let x be in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma 4 (i), \mathfrak{g}^x is contained in \mathfrak{p} . Since ϖ is a morphism of Lie algebra, $\varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{l}^{\tilde{x}}$. Furthermore, $\dim \varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x) = \text{rk} \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}^x \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{u}} = \{0\}$, whence the assertion since \mathfrak{l} has rank $\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}$.

(ii) For x regular semisimple in \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{g}^x is a Cartan subalgebra contained in \mathfrak{p} . As a result, $\mathfrak{g}^x \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{u}} = \{0\}$. So by (i), $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are not empty since for such x , \tilde{x} is a regular semisimple element of \mathfrak{l} . The subset $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \mathfrak{p} is open since the subsets of regular elements of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{l} are open in \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{l} respectively. The map $x \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^x$ from $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}_{\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is regular. So $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subset of \mathfrak{p} .

(iii) Let $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the submodule of elements φ of $S(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{l}$ such that $[\varphi(x), x] = 0$, for all x in \mathfrak{l} . Then $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a free module of rank $\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}$ according to [6, Theorem 9]. Denote by $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}$ a basis of $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and denote by $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ the subset of elements x of \mathfrak{p} such that \tilde{x} is in $\mathcal{V}'_{\text{reg}}$. According to [10, Theorem 4.12], $\mathcal{V}'_{\text{reg}}$ is a big open subset of \mathfrak{l} . So $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ is a big open subset of \mathfrak{p} . For x in $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ and for $i = 1, \dots, \text{rk} \mathfrak{g}$, $\varpi \circ \varepsilon_i(x)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{l}^{\tilde{x}}$. So there exists a unique element $(a_{i,1}(x), \dots, a_{i,\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}(x))$ of $\mathbb{k}^{\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}$ such that

$$\varpi \circ \varepsilon_i(x) = a_{i,1}(x) \varphi_1(\tilde{x}) + \dots + a_{i,\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}(x) \varphi_{\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{x}).$$

The functions $a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}$ so defined on $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ have regular extensions to \mathfrak{p} since \mathfrak{p} is normal and since $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ is a big open subset of \mathfrak{p} . As a result, for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ and for all (a, b) in \mathbb{k}^2 , $\varpi \circ \varepsilon_i(ax + by)$ is a linear combination of the elements $\varphi_1(ax + by), \dots, \varphi_{\text{rk} \mathfrak{g}}(ax + by)$. Hence, by [1], $\varpi(V_{x,y})$ is contained in $V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\mathfrak{l}}$ for all (x, y) in $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, whence the assertion.

(iv) Let (x, y) be in $R'_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathfrak{p}$. For all z in a nonempty open subset of $P_{x,y}$, z belongs to $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since x belongs to $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So by (i), $\mathfrak{l}^{\tilde{z}}$ is contained in $\varpi(V_{x,y})$ for all z in a nonempty open subset of $P_{x,y}$. As a result, $V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\mathfrak{l}}$ is contained in $\varpi(V_{x,y})$, whence the assertion by (iii). ■

Corollary 7. *For all (x, y) in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y} = V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\mathfrak{l}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{u}}$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$. Since $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\dim V_{x,y} \leq \dim V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^I + \dim \mathfrak{p}_u,$$

by Lemma 6 (iii). Moreover,

$$\dim V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^I + \dim \mathfrak{p}_u \leq b_l + \dim \mathfrak{p}_u = b_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Otherwise, $\dim V_{x,y} = b_{\mathfrak{g}}$, since $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence $V_{x,y} = V_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^I + \mathfrak{p}_u$. ■

Set:

$$\mathcal{R}'_+ := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+ \mid \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{p}_u\}.$$

Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\text{rk}\mathfrak{g}}$ be in Π , let s_i be the reflexion associated to β_i for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$ and let I be the set of $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$ such that $\beta_i \in \mathcal{R}'_+$.

Lemma 8. *Let w be in W .*

- (i) *If $w(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+$, then $w \in W_l$.*
- (ii) *If $w(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+ \cup \mathcal{R}_l$, then $w \in W_l$.*

Proof. (i) Let $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$ be a reduced decomposition of w . We proceed by induction on the length of w denoted by $l(w)$. For $l(w) = 1$, $w = s_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$. If $j \in I$, then $s_j(\beta_j) = -\beta_j$ but $w(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+$. Hence $j \notin I$ and $w \in W_l$. Suppose the property true for $l(w) \leq p - 1$. By [9, Lemma 18.8.3 (ii)],

$$w(\beta_{i_p}) \in -\mathcal{R}_+.$$

Then $i_p \notin I$. So $s_{i_p}(\mathcal{R}'_+) = \mathcal{R}'_+$ and

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{p-1}}(\mathcal{R}'_+) = w(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+.$$

Then, by induction hypothesis,

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{p-1}} \in W_l.$$

Hence $w \in W_l$ since $s_{i_p} \in W_l$, whence the assertion.

(ii) Let g_w be in $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$ a representative of w . Since $g_w(\mathfrak{p}_u \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ is contained in \mathfrak{p} , it is contained in a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}' contained in \mathfrak{p} , containing \mathfrak{h} . Then there exists $w' \in W_l$, such that $g_{w'}(\mathfrak{b}') = \mathfrak{b}$, for some representative $g_{w'}$ of w' in $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$. So $g_{w'}g_w(\mathfrak{p}_u \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ is contained in \mathfrak{b} and $w'w(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+$. Hence, by (i), $w'w \in W_l$, whence $w \in W_l$ since $w' \in W_l$. ■

Proposition 9. *Let \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}' be two parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} such that \mathfrak{p}_u is contained in \mathfrak{p}' . If \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}' are conjugate under \mathbf{G} , then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'$.*

Proof. We suppose that $\mathfrak{p}' = g(\mathfrak{p})$, for some g in \mathbf{G} . By Bruhat decomposition there exist $u, b \in \mathbf{B}$ and $w \in W$ such that $g = ug_wb$, where g_w is a representative of w in $N_G(\mathfrak{h})$. Since $g(\mathfrak{p})$ contains \mathfrak{p}_u and since $u^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_u) = \mathfrak{p}_u$, $ug_w(\mathfrak{p})$ and $g_w(\mathfrak{p})$ contains \mathfrak{p}_u . Hence \mathfrak{p} contains $g_w^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$. Then $w^{-1}(\mathcal{R}'_+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+ \cup \mathcal{R}_l$. Hence, by Lemma 8 (ii), $w^{-1} \in W_l$, whence $g_w \in \mathbf{L}$ and $g \in \mathbf{P}$. ■

3.2. A desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$.

We consider the action of \mathbf{P} on $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ given by

$$p \cdot (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) = (gp^{-1}, p(x_1), \dots, p(x_k)).$$

Let μ'_k be the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ to \mathfrak{g}^k defined by

$$\mu'_k(g, x_1, \dots, x_k) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k))$$

and let μ_k be the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to \mathfrak{g}^k defined through the quotient by μ'_k . Let

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g \in G \text{ such that } (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k)) \in \mathfrak{p}^k\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ by μ_k .

The following Lemma is well known:

Lemma 10. *Let P and Q be parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G} such that P is contained in Q . Let X be a Q -variety and let Y be a closed subset of X , invariant under P . Then $Q \cdot Y$ is a closed subset of X . Moreover, the canonical map from $Q \times_P Y$ to $Q \cdot Y$ is a projective morphism.*

Proof. The proof is given in [2, Lemma 1.4]. ■

Proposition 11. *Let $k \geq 2$, the variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ and μ_k is the desingularization morphism. The subvariety $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ of \mathfrak{g}^k is closed, but it is not normal.*

Proof. According to Lemma 10, μ_k is a projective morphism and $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is closed in \mathfrak{g}^k since $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is the image of $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ by μ'_k .

Since $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ and it is nonempty since $(e, h) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{p}^2$. Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) be in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathfrak{p}^k$. Suppose that $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (g(\mathfrak{p}))^k$ for some $g \in G$. By Corollary 5, V_{x_1, x_2} is contained in \mathfrak{p} and $g(\mathfrak{p})$ and by Corollary 7, V_{x_1, x_2} contains \mathfrak{p}_u . Hence \mathfrak{p}_u is contained in $g(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, by Proposition 9, $g(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, for all $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathcal{P}^{(k)}$, $|\mu_k^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| = 1$, Whence μ_k is a birational morphism. Since $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a smooth variety as a vector bundle over the smooth variety \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} , it is a desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ of morphism μ_k .

Let x be in $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{reg}}$ and let g be in \mathbf{G} . Then

$$g^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow g \in N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{h})\mathbf{P}.$$

According to Lemma 8, (ii), $N_G(\mathfrak{h})\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{P} = W/W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ so that $|\mu_k^{-1}(x, 0, \dots, 0)| > 1$. Since μ_k is proper and birational, if $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ would be normal, then by Zariski's main Theorem [8, §III.9], a finite fiber of μ_k would have cardinality 1. So $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is not normal. ■

Let \mathfrak{l}/\mathbf{L} be the categorical quotient of \mathfrak{l} by \mathbf{L} . Let χ_0 be the closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathbb{k}[\chi_0] = S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})$ and let χ be equal to $\chi_0/W_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Then χ is a subset of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{l}/\mathbf{L}$. The group \mathbf{G} acts on χ_0 and χ on the first factor and W acts on χ_0 on the second factor. For $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, let \bar{x} be the image of \tilde{x} in \mathfrak{l}/\mathbf{L} .

Lemma 12. (i) Let x and x' be in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$. If (x', \bar{x}') is in $\mathbf{G} \cdot (x, \bar{x})$, then x' is in $\mathbf{P}(x)$.

(ii) For x in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$, \mathbf{G}^x is contained in \mathbf{P} .

(iii) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}} &\longrightarrow \chi \\ (g, x) &\longmapsto (g(x), \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism onto an open subset of χ .

Proof. (i) Let x and x' be in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ such that $(x', \bar{x}') \in \mathbf{G} \cdot (x, \bar{x})$ and let $x = x_s + x_n$ and $x' = x'_s + x'_n$ be the Jordan decomposition of x and x' respectively. Since $\bar{x} = \bar{x}'$, there exists l in \mathbf{L} such that $\tilde{x}_s = l(\tilde{x}'_s)$, where \tilde{x}_s and \tilde{x}'_s are the semisimple components of \tilde{x} and \tilde{x}' respectively. Then we can suppose that $\tilde{x}_s = \tilde{x}'_s$. The semisimple components x_s and x'_s of x and x' are conjugate under \mathbf{P} since they are conjugate to \tilde{x}_s under \mathbf{P} . Then there exist p and p' in \mathbf{P} such that $p(x_s) = p'(x'_s) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $p(x_s)$ is a semisimple element, $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ is a reductive algebra. Then $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$, since it contains the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$. Then $p(x_n)$ and $p'(x'_n)$ are regular nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$, hence they are conjugate under the parabolic subgroup \mathbf{Q} of $\mathbf{G}^{p(x_s)}$ of Lie algebra $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)})$. Hence $p(x)$ and $p'(x')$ are conjugate under \mathbf{P} .

(ii) By [6, Proposition 14], \mathbf{G}^x is connected since x is regular. Moreover \mathfrak{g}^x is contained in \mathfrak{p} since x is in \mathfrak{p} . Hence \mathbf{G}^x is contained in \mathbf{P} .

(iii) Let (g, x) and (g', x') be in $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ such that $\theta(g, x) = \theta(g', x')$. By (i),

$$\exists p \in \mathbf{P} \text{ such that } x' = p(x),$$

then

$$g^{-1}g'p \in \mathbf{G}^x.$$

Then, by (ii),

$$g^{-1}g' \in \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \cap \mathbf{P} = \{1_{\mathfrak{g}}\}.$$

Whence $(g, x) = (g', x')$ and θ is injective. Since χ is the categorical quotient of the variety χ_0 by the finite subset W_1 and since χ_0 is normal, by [2, Lemma 3.1], χ is a normal variety. Then, by Zariski's Main Theorem [8, §III.9], θ is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ onto an open subset of χ . ■

Let σ'_1 be the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}$ to χ defined by $\sigma'_1(g, x) = (g(x), \bar{x})$ and let σ_1 be the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}$ to χ defined by σ'_1 through the quotient.

Corollary 13. *The morphism σ_1 is birational.*

Proof. Let (x, \bar{x}) be in χ such that x in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ and let (g_1, x_1) be in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\sigma'_1(g_1, x_1) = (x, \bar{x})$. Then $(g_1(x_1), \bar{x}_1) = (x, \bar{x})$ and $(x_1, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbf{G} \cdot (x, \bar{x})$. Hence, by Lemma 12 (i), there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $x_1 = p(x)$. So $g_1p(x) = x$ and $g_1p \in \mathbf{G}^x$. By Lemma 12 (ii), $g_1 \in \mathbf{P}$ since $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$. Hence the restriction of σ_1 to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ is injective, whence σ_1 is birational since it is surjective. ■

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_k : \mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k &\rightarrow \chi^k \\ (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) &\mapsto (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_k}), \end{aligned}$$

where \overline{x}_i is the image of \tilde{x}_i in $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{L}$. Recall that σ_k is the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to χ^k defined through the quotient by σ'_k and $\chi^{(k)}$ is the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ by σ_k and let $\text{pr}_{1,k}$ be the canonical projection from χ^k onto \mathfrak{g}^k . Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k & \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} & \chi^{(k)} \\ & \searrow \mu_k & \downarrow \text{pr}_{1,k} \\ & & \mathcal{P}^{(k)}. \end{array}$$

Proposition 14. (i) *The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\chi^{(k)}$ of morphism σ_k .*

(ii) *For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \chi^{(k)}$ such that $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$ for some i , the fiber of σ_k at x has one element.*

Proof. (i) For $k = 1$, σ_1 is birational by Corollary 13 and it is projective by Lemma 10. For $k \leq 2$, since $\mu_k = \text{pr}_{1,k} \circ \sigma_k$ and since μ_k is a projective and birational morphism, σ_k is projective and birational. Then σ_k is a desingularization of $\chi^{(k)}$ since $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a smooth variety.

(ii) Let x be in $\chi^{(k)}$ such that the first component of $\text{pr}_{1,k}(x)$ is in $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$. Let (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) and (g', x'_1, \dots, x'_k) be in $\sigma_k^{-1}(x)$ and let (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) and (g', x'_1, \dots, x'_k) be in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ representatives of (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) and (g', x'_1, \dots, x'_k) respectively. So

$$(g(x_1), \overline{x_1}) = (g'(x'_1), \overline{x'_1}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 12, $g^{-1}g' \in \mathbf{P}$ and $g(\mathfrak{p}) = g'(\mathfrak{p})$, whence the assertion since σ_k is \mathfrak{S}_k -equivariant. ■

As a generalization of [2, Lemma 3.8(iii)], we have the following corollary:

Corollary 15. *The variety $\chi^{(k)}$ is an irreducible component of the inverse image of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ in χ^k by $\text{pr}_{1,k}$.*

Proof. Since $\chi_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is finite, $\chi \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{pr}_{1,k}$ are finite morphism. So $\text{pr}_{1,k}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}^{(k)})$, $\chi^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ have the same dimension, whence the corollary since $\chi^{(k)}$ is irreducible as an image of an irreducible variety. ■

In this subsection, most of the results are generalization of results of [2] when $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

Proposition 16. *Let*

$$W_k := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k, \overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_k}) \in \chi^{(k)} \mid \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}\}.$$

(i) *The subset W_k is a smooth open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$.*

(ii) *The codimensions of $\chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k$ in $\chi^{(k)}$ and of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \text{pr}_{1,k}(W_k))$ in $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ are at least $2k$.*

(iii) *The restriction of σ_k to $\sigma_k^{-1}(W_k)$ is an isomorphism onto W_k .*

Proof. (i) We recall that θ is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}} &\longrightarrow \chi \\ (g, x) &\longmapsto (g(x), \bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Let W'_k be the inverse image of $\theta(\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}})$ by the projection

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(k)} &\longrightarrow \chi \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k) &\longmapsto (x_1, \bar{y}_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12 (iii), the image of θ is an open subset of χ . Then W'_k is an open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$.

Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) be in \mathfrak{p}^k and let g be in \mathbf{G} such that $(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k)$ is in W'_k . Then x_1 is in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ and for some g' in $\mathbf{P}_{-,u}$ and x'_1 in $\mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$

$$g \cdot (x_1, \bar{x}_1) = g' \cdot (x'_1, \bar{x}'_1).$$

By Lemma 12 (i), there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $x'_1 = p(x_1)$. So $g^{-1}g'p$ is in \mathbf{G}^{x_1} . Hence $g \in \mathbf{P}_{-,u}\mathbf{P}$, since $\mathbf{G}^{x_1} \subset \mathbf{P}$ by Lemma 12 (ii). As a result, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}} \times \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} &\longrightarrow W'_k \\ (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) &\longmapsto (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism whose inverse is

$$\begin{aligned} W'_k &\longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}} \times \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k) &\longmapsto (q, z, q^{-1}(x_2), \dots, q^{-1}(x_k)), \end{aligned}$$

with q and z are the first and the second components of $\theta^{-1}(x_1, \bar{y}_1)$. As a result, W'_k and $G.W'_k$ are smooth open subsets of $\chi^{(k)}$, whence the assertion since $\chi^{(k)}$ is \mathfrak{S}_k -invariant.

(ii) By definition, $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \text{pr}_{1,k}(W_k))$ is equal to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}})^k$ and $\chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k$ is equal to the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}})^k$ by σ_k . Since $\mathfrak{b} \setminus \mathfrak{b}_{\text{reg}}$ has codimension 2 in \mathfrak{b} , $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$ has codimension at least 2 in \mathfrak{p} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k &\leq \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}})^k \leq k \dim \mathfrak{p} + \dim \mathfrak{p}_u - 2k \\ \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \text{pr}_{1,k}(W_k)) &\leq \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}})^k \leq k \dim \mathfrak{p} + \dim \mathfrak{p}_u - 2k. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By Proposition 14 (ii), the restriction of σ_k to $\sigma_k^{-1}(W_k)$ is bijective. Whence the assertion by Zariski Main Theorem [8, §III.9] since W_k is a smooth open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$ by (i). ■

4. On the variety $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$

Recall that μ_k is the morphism from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to \mathfrak{g} defined by the map

$$(g, x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k))$$

from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to \mathfrak{g}^k through the quotient. Let $\mu_{k,u}$ be the restriction of μ_k to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ and let

$$\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g \in G \text{ such that } (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k)) \in \mathfrak{p}_u^k\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))$ be the fields of the rational functions of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ respectively.

Lemma 17. *The morphism $\mu_{1,u}$ is a projective morphism. Moreover, $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ is an algebraic extension of finite degree of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 10, $\mu_{1,u}$ is a projective morphism. Since $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ is the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ by $\mu_{1,u}$, $\mu_{1,u}$ induces an embedding from $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))$ into $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$. Hence $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))$ of finite degree since $\dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u = \dim \mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$. ■

Let \mathcal{A} be the integral closure of $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ and let $X = \text{Spec} \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is invariant under the action of \mathbf{G} in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ since so it is for $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$, whence an action of \mathbf{G} on X . Denote by α the \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism from X to $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ such that its comorphism is the canonical injection from $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ into \mathcal{A} . The variety X is the normalization of $G(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ ([9, §17.2]).

Proposition 18. *There exists a unique \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism $\sigma_{1,u}$ from $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ to X such that $\mu_{1,u} = \alpha \circ \sigma_{1,u}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ is a desingularization of X of morphism $\sigma_{1,u}$.*

Proof. The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ is a smooth variety since it is a vector bundle over the smooth variety \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} . Identify $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))$ with a subfield of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ by the comorphism of $\mu_{1,u}$. Let U be an affine open subset of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$. Then $\mathbb{k}[U]$ contains $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ and the comorphism of the restriction map of $\mu_{1,u}$ to U is the canonical injection of $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ in $\mathbb{k}[U]$. Since U is a smooth variety, it is normal and $\mathbb{k}[U]$ is integrally closed in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$. Hence $\mathbb{k}[U]$ contains \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a morphism $\sigma_{1,u}^U$ from U to X such that its comorphism is the canonical injection of \mathcal{A} in $\mathbb{k}[U]$. Then the morphisms $\sigma_{1,u}^U$, where U is an affine open subset of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ glue together as in [5, step 3 of proof of Ch. II, Theorem 3.3] to give the morphism $\sigma_{1,u}$. The morphism $\sigma_{1,u}$ is unique since its comorphism is the canonical injection from \mathcal{A} to $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$. Since $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ is the fraction field of \mathcal{A} , $\sigma_{1,u}$ is birational. Moreover, since $\mu_{1,u}$ is a projective morphism, $\sigma_{1,u}$ is too, whence the proposition. ■

Denote by κ the quotient map from $\mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{p}_u$ to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ and denote by ι the morphism from $\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u$ to X defined by $\iota(1, x) = \sigma_{1,u} \circ \kappa(1, x)$. Recall that Δ is the Richardson orbit of \mathfrak{p} and recall that $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta} := \Delta \cap \mathfrak{p}_u$. Let X' be the image of $\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ by ι and let δ be the morphism from $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times X'$ to X defined by $\delta(p, x) = p.x$.

Proposition 19. (i) *For $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$, the stabilizer of $\iota(1, x)$ in \mathbf{G} equals $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x$.*
 (ii) *The morphism δ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of X .*
 (iii) *Let g be in \mathbf{G} and let x be in X' . If $g(x)$ is in X' , then g is in \mathbf{P} .*

Proof. (i) Let x be in $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$. Then, by [3, Theorem 7.1.1], \mathbf{G}^x and $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x$ have the same identity component. Then $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x$ is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)}$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x)$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)})$ of degree the index of $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x$ in $\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)})$ is an algebraic extension of finite degree of a transcendental extension of \mathbb{k} . Since $\sigma_{1,u}$ is a birational morphism and since $G.\iota(1, x)$ is an open subset of X , $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)})$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ are isomorphic. Since $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{P}(x)$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$, $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x)$

are isomorphic. So that $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)})$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x)$ are isomorphic. Hence $\mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x)} = \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^x$.

(ii) Let (p_1, x_1) and (p_2, x_2) be in $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times X'$ such that $p_1.x_1 = p_2.x_2$ and let x'_1 and x'_2 be in $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ such that $\iota(1, x'_1) = x_1$ and $\iota(1, x'_2) = x_2$. Let q be in \mathbf{P} such that $x'_2 = q(x'_1)$. Then $x_2 = q.x_1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} p_1.x_1 &= p_2.x_2 = p_2q.x_1 \\ \Rightarrow p_1^{-1}p_2q &\in \mathbf{G}^{x_1} = \mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x'_1)} = \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{G}^{x'_1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (i). So

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^{-1}p_2 &\in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_{-,u} = \{1_g\} \\ \Rightarrow p_1 = p_2 &\Rightarrow x_1 = x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence δ is an injective morphism. Moreover, δ is dominant since X and $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times X'$ have the same dimension. Since X is a normal variety by definition, by Zariski's Main Theorem [8, §III.9], δ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of X .

(iii) Let $g \in G$ and let $x \in X'$ such that $g(x) \in X'$. Then there exist

$$x_1, y_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta} \text{ and } p \in \mathbf{P}$$

Such that

$$x = \iota(1, x_1), \quad g.x = \iota(1, y_1) \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 = p(x_1).$$

So

$$g.\iota(1, x_1) = g.x = \iota(1, y_1) = p.\iota(1, x_1)$$

and then $p^{-1}g \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota(1,x_1)}$. Hence, by (i), $g \in \mathbf{P}$. ■

Remark 1. In several interesting cases, \mathbf{G}^x is connected. When so it is, \mathbf{G}^x is contained in \mathbf{P} so that $X' = \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ and $X = \mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$.

Let ϑ be the morphism from $\mathbf{G}^k \times_{\mathbf{P}^k} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ to X^k defined by

$$\overline{\vartheta(x_1, \dots, x_k)} = (\sigma_{1,u}(x_1), \dots, \sigma_{1,u}(x_k)),$$

let $\sigma_{k,u}$ be the restriction of ϑ to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ and let $X^{(k)}$ be the image of $\sigma_{k,u}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k,u}} & X^{(k)} \\ & \searrow \mu_{k,u} & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & \mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}, \end{array}$$

where φ is the morphism induced by the canonical projection α from X to $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$ such that $\mu_{k,u} = \varphi \circ \sigma_{k,u}$.

Proposition 20. *Let*

$$V_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^{(k)} \mid \exists i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ such that } \alpha(x_i) \in \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}\}.$$

(i) *The subset V_k is a smooth open subset of $X^{(k)}$.*

- (ii) The morphism φ from $X^{(k)}$ to $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ is finite and surjective.
- (iii) The codimension of $X^{(k)} \setminus V_k$ in $X^{(k)}$ and the codimension of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u^k \setminus \varphi(V_k))$ in $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ are at least k .
- (iv) The restriction of $\sigma_{k,u}$ to $\sigma_{k,u}^{-1}(V_k)$ is an isomorphism onto V_k .

Proof. (i) Set $V'_k := \mathbf{P}_{-,u}(X') \times X^{k-1} \cap X^{(k)}$ and

$$V' := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\iota(\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u))^k \mid x_1 \in \iota(\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}) \right\}.$$

By Proposition 19 (ii), V'_k is an open subset of $X^{(k)}$. Let $g \in G$ and $x_1 \in X'$ such that $g.x_1 \in \mathbf{P}_{-,u}(X')$. Then there exist

$$q \in \mathbf{P}_{-,u}, \quad y_1 \in X', \quad x'_1, y'_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad p \in \mathbf{P}$$

such that

$$g(x_1) = q(y_1), \quad x_1 = \iota(1, x'_1), \quad y_1 = \iota(1, y'_1) \quad \text{and} \quad y'_1 = p(x'_1).$$

So

$$g.\iota(1, x'_1) = g(x_1) = q.\iota(1, y'_1) = qp.\iota(1, x'_1)$$

and $p^{-1}q^{-1}g \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota(1, x'_1)}$. Hence, by Proposition 19 (i), $g \in \mathbf{P}_{-,u}\mathbf{P}$. As a result

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times V' & \longrightarrow & V'_k \\ (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) & \longmapsto & (g.x_1, \dots, g.x_k) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism whose inverse is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V'_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times V' \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k) & \longmapsto & (g_1, y_1, g_1^{-1}.x_2, \dots, g_1^{-1}.x_k), \end{array}$$

where $(g_1, y_1) = \delta^{-1}(x_1)$. Let ι_k be the morphism from $\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ to X^k defined by

$$\iota_k(1, x_1, \dots, x_k) = (\iota(1, x_1), \dots, \iota(1, x_k))$$

and let Z_k be the image of ι_k . Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k & \xrightarrow{\iota_k} & Z_k \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \varphi|_{Z_k} \\ & & \mathfrak{p}_u^k, \end{array}$$

where $\varphi|_{Z_k}$ is the restriction of φ to Z_k . Hence $\mathbb{k}[\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k] \supseteq \mathbb{k}[Z_k] \supseteq \mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{p}_u^k]$. Since $\mathbb{k}[\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k]$ and $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{p}_u^k]$ are equal, ι_k is an isomorphism onto its image. So it is a closed immersion. Then V' is smooth since $V' = \iota_k(\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k)$ and since $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ is smooth as a \mathbf{P} -orbit. Hence V'_k and $\mathbf{G}.V'_k$ are smooth open subsets of $X^{(k)}$, whence the assertion since $X^{(k)}$ is \mathfrak{S}_k -invariant.

(ii) Since α is a finite morphism, φ is too and since $\mu_{k,u}$ is surjective, φ is too.

(iii) By definition of V_k , $X^{(k)} \setminus V_k$ is equal to the image of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})^k$ by $\sigma_{k,u}$ and $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u^k \setminus \varphi(V_k))$ is equal to $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})^k$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X^{(k)} \setminus V_k &\leq \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})^k \leq (k+1) \dim \mathfrak{p}_u - k \\ \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u^k \setminus \varphi(V_k)) &\leq \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p}_u \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})^k \leq (k+1) \dim \mathfrak{p}_u - k, \end{aligned}$$

whence the assertion since $\dim X^{(k)} = \dim \mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k = (k+1) \dim \mathfrak{p}_u$.

(iv) Let $(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and (g, y_1, \dots, y_k) be in $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ such that x_1 and y_1 are in $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ and

$$\sigma_{k,u}(\overline{(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)}) = \sigma_{k,u}(\overline{(g, y_1, \dots, y_k)}) \in V_k,$$

where $\overline{(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)}$ and $\overline{(g, y_1, \dots, y_k)}$ are the images of $(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and (g, y_1, \dots, y_k) in $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ by the quotient map. Then there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $y_1 = p(x_1)$. So

$$\iota(1, x_1) = \iota(g, y_1) = g \cdot \iota(1, y_1) = gp \cdot \iota(1, x_1),$$

then

$$\iota(1, x_1) = gp \cdot \iota(1, x_1) \in X'.$$

So, by Proposition 19 (i), $g \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\overline{(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)} = \overline{(g, y_1, \dots, y_k)}$. Hence the restriction of $\sigma_{k,u}$ to $\sigma_{k,u}^{-1}(V_k)$ is injective since V_k is \mathfrak{S}_k -invariant, whence the assertion by Zariski Main Theorem [8, §III.9] since V_k is smooth open subset of $X^{(k)}$ by (i). ■

Proposition 21. *The variety $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$ of morphism $\sigma_{k,u}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a vector bundle on the smooth variety \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} , it is a smooth variety. According to Lemma 10, $\mu_{k,u}$ is a projective morphism then $\sigma_{k,u}$ is too since $\mu_{k,u} = \varphi \circ \sigma_{k,u}$. Hence, by Proposition 20 (iv), $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$. ■

Let x be in $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}$ and let $(\mathbf{G}^x)_0$ be the neutral component of \mathbf{G}^x . The canonical map $\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^x$ induces an embedding of $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ into $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$. Let \mathcal{C} be the integral closure of $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$.

Proposition 22. (i) *The field $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$ is a Galois extension of the field $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^x)$ of Galois group $\Gamma = \mathbf{G}^x/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0$.*

(ii) *The subalgebra \mathcal{C} is invariant under the action of Γ and $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)_n]$ is the set of fixed points by Γ in \mathcal{C} , where $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)_n$ is the normalization of $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)$.*

Proof. (i) Since $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)^\Gamma = \mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^x)$, $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$ is a Galois extension of the Galois groupe Γ .

(ii) Since $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)]$ is invariant under the action of Γ , \mathcal{C} is too. Moreover, $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)_n]$ is contained in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)) = \mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^x)$ the set of fixed points of Γ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$. Let a be in \mathcal{C} such that a is a fixed point by Γ . Then a is in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^x)$. Hence a is in $\mathbb{k}[\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)_n]$ since it is in \mathcal{C} , whence the assertion. ■

Proposition 23. (i) The field $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k)$ is the field of rational fractions $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$ over $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$, where $m = \dim \mathfrak{p}_u^{k-1}$. Moreover, it is a subfield of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$.

(ii) The field $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)})$ is the set of fixed points of Γ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$ under the trivial extension of its action on $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathbf{P}_{-,u}$ is isomorphic to an open subset of \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} and since $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a vector bundle over \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P} , $\mathbf{P}_{-,u} \times \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$. Moreover, $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k$ is a vector bundle over $\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u$ whose fibers are isomorphic to \mathfrak{p}_u^{k-1} . Hence $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u^k)$ equals $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$, where $m = \dim \mathfrak{p}_u^{k-1}$. So it is a subfield of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$ since $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)$ is an extension of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G} \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_u)$.

(ii) Let ρ be the first projection from $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)} \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta}) \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-1}$ to $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})$, let x_1 be in $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})$ and let z be in $\rho^{-1}(x_1)$ such that it is a smooth point of $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ and ρ is smooth at z . Then there exists a smooth affine open subset O containing z in $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ such that ρ_O the restriction of ρ to O is a submersion onto an open subset of $\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_{u,\Delta})$. Hence the fiber of ρ_O at $\rho(z)$ is an open subset of an affine space. So the restrictions of the affine coordinates π_1, \dots, π_m of this space to $\rho_O^{-1}(\rho(z))$ are the restrictions of regular functions on O which we denote again π_1, \dots, π_m . Let ψ be the morphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: O &\longrightarrow \rho(O) \times \mathbb{k}^m \\ y &\longmapsto (\rho(y), \pi_1(y), \dots, \pi_m(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ is a submersion at z . So, for all y in an open subset O' of O , containing z , the intersection of the kernels of the differential at y of the restrictions of π_1, \dots, π_m to $F_{\rho(y)}$ the fiber of ρ at $\rho(y)$ equals $\{0\}$ so that ψ is locally injective on $F_{\rho(y)}$. Let

$$\Lambda := \{(x', x'') \in O' \times O' \mid \psi(x') = \psi(x'')\}$$

and let $\Lambda_{O'}$ be the diagonal of O' . Hence the dimension of $\Lambda \cap F_{\rho(y)} \times F_{\rho(y')}$ is zero, for (y, y') in Λ . Then the dimensions of Λ and of O are equal. Hence $\Lambda_{O'}$ is an irreducible component of Λ . Then there exists an open subset O'' of O such that the restriction of ψ to O'' is injective. Hence $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}) = \mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u))(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$, whence the assertion since $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}(\mathfrak{p}_u)) = \mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)^\Gamma$. ■

Let $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ be the integral closure of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}]$ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)[\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m]$, let $\left(\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n, \nu_k\right)$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}$ and let $\left(\left(X^{(k)}\right)_n, \eta_k\right)$ be the normalization of $X^{(k)}$.

Proposition 24. (i) The algebra $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ is the set of fixed points of Γ in $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$.

(ii) The algebra $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ is a direct factor of $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ as a $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ -module. (iii) There exists a pure morphism β in the sense of [7, Appendix to §7] from $\left(X^{(k)}\right)_n$ to $\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n$ such that $\varphi \circ \eta_k = \nu_k \circ \beta$.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}]$ is invariant under the action of Γ , $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ is too. Moreover, $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ is contained in $\mathbb{k}\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)$ the set of fixed points of Γ in $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G}^x)_0)(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$. Let a be in $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ such that a is a fixed point by Γ . Then a is in $\mathbb{k}\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)$ and it satisfies an equation of integral dependence on $\mathbb{k}\left[\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right]$. Hence a is in $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$, whence the assertion.

(ii) Let Φ be the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{C}^{(k)} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right] \\ c &\longmapsto c^\# = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \cdot c \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Then Φ is a projection from $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ onto $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ by (i). Since $(bc)^\# = bc^\#$, for $b \in \mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}^{(k)}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ is the direct sum as $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ -module of $\mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right]$ and $M_k := \text{Ker}\Phi$.

(iii) Since $(X^{(k)})_n$ is normal and since $\varphi \circ \eta_k$ is surjective, there exists a morphism β from $(X^{(k)})_n$ to $\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n$ such that $\varphi \circ \eta_k = \nu_k \circ \beta$ ([5], ch. II, Ex. 3.8). By (ii),

$$\mathbb{k}\left[(X^{(k)})_n\right] = \mathbb{k}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(k)}\right)_n\right] \oplus (M_k \cap \mathbb{k}\left[(X^{(k)})_n\right]) .$$

Hence β is a pure morphism in the sense of [7, Appendix to §7]. ■

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jean-Yves Charbonnel for his advice and help and for his rigorous attention to this work. I also thank the referee for the careful reading of our paper, and for the useful pieces of advice given.

References

- [1] Bolsinov, A. V., *Commutative families of functions related to consistent Poisson brackets*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, **24** (1991), 253–274.
- [2] Charbonnel, J.-Y., and M. Zaiter, *On the commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra and other related varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:1204.0377v2 [math.RT].
- [3] Collingwood, D. H., and W. M. McGovern, “Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras,” Van Nostrand Reinhold (1993), New York.
- [4] Dixmier, J., *Champs de vecteurs adjoints sur les groupes et algèbres de Lie semi-simples*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **309** (1979), 183–190.
- [5] Hartshorne, R., “Algebraic geometry,” Graduate Texts in Math. **52**, 1977, Springer.

- [6] Kostant, B., *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer J. of Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.
- [7] Matsumura, H., “Commutative ring theory,” Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, 1986.
- [8] Mumford, D., *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1358**, 1988, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, 123–284.
- [9] Tauvel, P., and R. W. T. Yu, “Lie algebras and algebraic groups,” Monographs in Mathematics 2005, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [10] Veldkamp, F. D., *The center of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra in characteristic p* , Annales Scientifiques de L’Ecole Normale Supérieure **5** (1972), 217–240.

Mouchira Zaiter
Lebanese University
Faculty of sciences I
Al-Hadath Beirut, Lebanon
zaiter.mouchira@Hotmail.fr

Received November 27, 2012
and in final form October 17, 2013