

A Generalized Weil Representation for the Finite Split Orthogonal Group $O_q(2n, 2n)$, q odd and > 3 .

Andrea Vera Gajardo*

Communicated by A. Pasquale

Abstract. We construct via generators and relations a generalized Weil representation for the split orthogonal group $O_q(2n, 2n)$ over a finite field of q elements. Besides, we give an initial decomposition of the representation found. We also show that the constructed representation is equal to the restriction of the Weil representation to $O_q(2n, 2n)$ for the reductive dual pair $(\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q), O_q(2n, 2n))$ and that the initial decomposition is the same as the decomposition with respect to the action of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 20C33.

Key Words and Phrases: Weil representation, split orthogonal group, involutive analogues of classical groups.

1. Introduction

Weil representations have proven to be a powerful tool in the theory of group representations. They originate from a very general construction of A. Weil ([12]), which has as a consequence the existence of a projective representation of the group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, K)$, K a locally compact field. Weil built this representation taking advantage of the representation theory of the related Heisenberg group, as described by the Stone-von Neumann theorem in the real case ([7]). In particular, these representations have allowed to build in a universal and uniform way all irreducible complex linear representations of the general linear group of rank 2 over a finite field ([11]), and later over a local field, except in residual characteristic two ([6]).

Weil representations can be constructed in various ways. For instance, they can be constructed via Heisenberg groups, via constructions of equivariant vector bundles ([4]), via presentations or via dual pairs ([1]), as we shall see later. The method using presentations is accomplished by having a simple presentation of the group, and then defining linear operators on a suitable vector space which preserve the relations among the generators of the presentation. This idea was originally suggested by Cartier, and used successfully by Soto-Andrade, for symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ ([11]) and complex irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$. In

*The author was partially supported by Fondecyt Grant 1120578.

the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ he considered this group as a group "SL(2)" but with entries in the matrix ring $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and thus he obtained a suitable presentation for the group. In this way, he constructed the Weil representation for the symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$. In the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{F}_q)$, Soto-Andrade obtained all the irreducible representations of this group by decomposing the two Weil representations associated to the two isomorphism types of quadratic forms of rank 4 over \mathbb{F}_q .

This point of view was generalized and gave rise to the groups $\mathrm{SL}_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$ for $(A, *)$ an involution ring and $\varepsilon = \pm 1 \in A$. These groups are a generalization of special linear groups $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$, where K is a field. They were defined for $\varepsilon = -1$ by Pantoja and Soto-Andrade in [9] and generalized to $\varepsilon = 1$ in [10].

The groups $\mathrm{SL}_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$ include, among others, symplectic and split orthogonal groups. For instance, if K is a field, $A = M_n(K)$, \diamond the transposition of matrices then $\mathrm{SL}_\diamond^{\varepsilon=-1}(2, A)$ is the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, K)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_\diamond^{\varepsilon=1}(2, A)$ is the split orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}_K(2n, 2n)$. Thus, these groups allow us to look at higher rank classical groups as rank two groups, considering them with coefficients in a new ring.

The procedure used by Soto-Andrade in [11] was approached even in the case of a nonsemi-simple involutive ring $(A, *)$ with nontrivial nilpotent Jacobson radical. In fact, in [2] Gutiérrez found a Bruhat presentation and a generalized Weil representation for $\mathrm{SL}_*^{\varepsilon=-1}(2, A_m)$, where $A_m = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^m \rangle$.

In [3] Gutiérrez, Pantoja and Soto-Andrade build Weil representations in a very general way via generators and relations, for the groups $\mathrm{SL}_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$ for which a "Bruhat" presentation analogue to the classical one holds. In this way, in order to use this method it is very important to have an adequate presentation of the group. In [8], Pantoja generalized the classical Bruhat presentation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ to $\mathrm{SL}_*^{\varepsilon=-1}(2, A)$, when A is simple artinian ring with involution.

In this work, we construct a generalized Weil representation of finite split orthogonal groups $\mathrm{O}_q(2n, 2n)$, using the method described in [3]. As mentioned above, this group is naturally the group $\mathrm{SL}_\diamond^{\varepsilon=1}(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$. However one of the results of this paper is to realize this group as a $\mathrm{SL}_\sim^{\varepsilon=-1}(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ group, where \sim is a certain specific involution in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, different from \diamond . This allows to use the Bruhat-like presentation that is exhibited in [8] and facilitate significantly technical aspects of the construction. In fact, the result is more general, and provides an isomorphism between the groups $\mathrm{SL}_*^+(2, M_2(A_0))$ and $\mathrm{SL}_\sim^-(2, M_2(A_0))$, where $(A_0, *)$ is a unitary involutive ring and \sim is another involution in A_0 obtained from $*$.

Also, we study the structure of the associated unitary group and using this group we get an initial decomposition of the representation.

In section 6 we show compatibility of the method of Gutiérrez, Pantoja and Soto-Andrade with theory of dual pairs. For this, we prove that the representation of $\mathrm{O}_q(2n, 2n)$ constructed using this method is equal to the restriction of the Weil representation to $\mathrm{O}_q(2n, 2n)$ for the dual pair $(\mathrm{Sp}(2, k), \mathrm{O}_q(2n, 2n))$. Also, we prove that the initial decomposition mentioned above is the same as decomposition with respect to the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2, k)$ via the Weil representation.

The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments on this paper.

2. The groups $SL_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$.

Let $(A, *)$ be a unitary ring with an involution $*$. We can extend the involution $*$ in A to the ring $M_2(A)$ putting

$$T^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & c^* \\ b^* & d^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider $\varepsilon = \pm 1 \in A$ and $J_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$. Let us denote by H_ε the associated ε -hermitian form defined by the matrix J_ε .

Definition 2.1. The group $SL_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$ is the set of all automorphisms g of the A -module $M = A \times A$ such that $H_\varepsilon \circ (g \times g) = H_\varepsilon$. In matrix form:

$$SL_*^\varepsilon(2, A) = \{T \in M_2(A) \mid T J_\varepsilon T^* = J_\varepsilon\}.$$

Remark 2.1. In [10] it is shown that a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$ is in $SL_*^\varepsilon(2, A)$ if and only if the following equalities hold:

1. $ab^* = -\varepsilon ba^*$;
2. $cd^* = -\varepsilon dc^*$;
3. $a^*c = -\varepsilon c^*a$;
4. $b^*d = -\varepsilon d^*b$;
5. $ad^* + \varepsilon bc^* = a^*d + \varepsilon c^*b = 1$.

We note that if (A, \diamond) is the matrix ring $M_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with the transpose involution \diamond , then $SL_\diamond^{-1}(2, A)$ is the symplectic group $Sp(2m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . On the other hand $SL_\diamond^1(2, A)$ gives the split orthogonal group $O_q(m, m)$.

In what follows we put $SL_*^+(2, A) = SL_*^1(2, A)$, $SL_*^-(2, A) = SL_*^{-1}(2, A)$ and \diamond always will be the transpose involution in a matrix ring.

Let $(A_0, *)$ be a unitary ring with involution $*$ and $A = M_2(A_0)$. Let us consider the matrix $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A^\times$, which satisfies $J^{-1} = J^* = -J$. Using the matrix J we can define a new involution in A , namely, $a^\sim = Ja^*J^{-1}$. Let us consider $M_2(A)$ provided with the involutions $*$ and \sim inherited from A .

Theorem 2.2. *The groups $SL_*^+(2, A)$ and $SL_\sim^-(2, A)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A)$. A direct computation proves that $T^\sim U = UT^*$ for all $T \in M_2(A)$. It is clear that $TJ_-T^\sim = J_-$ if and only if $TJ_-UT^* = J_-U$. Then we must show that J_-U and J_+ are equivalent. In fact, the (orthogonal) matrix $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$ satisfies $PJ_+P^* = J_-U$. ■

Although the split orthogonal group is naturally a “ SL^+ ”- group, in practical terms it is better to look at it as a “ SL^- ”-group, because this fact will greatly facilitate technical aspects.

Corollary 2.3. *The split orthogonal group $O_q(2n, 2n)$ is isomorphic to the group $SL_{\sim}^-(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$, where the involution \sim in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is given by $a^{\sim} = J_{2n}a^{\diamond}J_{2n}^{-1}$. ($J_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$).*

Proof. Taking $(A_0, *)$ as the involutive ring $(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \diamond)$ and using Theorem 2.2, we get that the groups $SL_{\diamond}^+(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ and $SL_{\sim}^-(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ are isomorphic. ■

3. A Bruhat presentation for $SL_{*}^{\varepsilon}(2, A)$.

Let A be a unitary ring with involution $*$. We will write $A_{\varepsilon,*}^s$ to denote the set of all ε - symmetric elements in A respect to the involution $*$. Namely;

$$A_{\varepsilon,*}^s = \{a \in A \mid a^* = -\varepsilon a\}.$$

In order to facilitate the notation, we put $A_{+,*}^s = A_{1,*}^s$ and $A_{-,*}^s = A_{-1,*}^s$. Let us consider

$$h_t = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{*-1} \end{pmatrix} (t \in A^{\times}), \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (s \in A_{\varepsilon,*}^s).$$

Definition 3.1. We will say that $SL_{*}^{\varepsilon}(2, A)$ has a Bruhat presentation if it is generated by the above elements with defining relations:

1. $h_t h_{t'} = h_{tt'}, u_s u_{s'} = u_{s+s'}$;
2. $w^2 = h_{\varepsilon}$;
3. $h_t u_s = u_{tst^*} h_t$;
4. $wh_t = h_{t^{*-1}} w$;
5. $wu_{t^{-1}} w u_{-\varepsilon t} w u_{t^{-1}} = h_{-\varepsilon t}, \quad t \in A^{\times} \cap A_{\varepsilon,*}^s$.

Remark 3.2. Observing the last relation, we note that in order to have a Bruhat presentation for $SL_{*}^{\varepsilon}(2, A)$ is necessary that $A^{\times} \cap A_{\varepsilon,*}^s \neq \emptyset$.

In [8] it is proved that if A is a simple artinian ring with involution $*$ that either is infinite or isomorphic to the full matrix ring over \mathbb{F}_q with $q > 3$, then the group $SL_{*}^-(2, A)$ has a Bruhat presentation.

Thus, the group $SL_{\sim}^-(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ mentioned in Corollary 2.3 has a Bruhat presentation if $q > 3$. We will use this fact to construct the desired representation.

4. A generalized Weil representation for the split orthogonal group $O_q(2n, 2n)$.

In this section, our aim is to construct a Weil representation for the split orthogonal group seen as the group $SL_{\sim}^-(2, M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q))$. One way to this goal is to construct the representation using the Bruhat presentation. For this purpose we will use the result that follows ([3]).

Let A be a ring with an involution $*$. Let us suppose that the ring A is finite and that the group $G = SL_{\varepsilon}^*(2, A)$ has a Bruhat presentation. Let M be a finite right A -module and let us consider the following data:

1. A bi-additive function $\chi : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and a character $\alpha \in \widehat{A}^\times$ such that for all $x, y \in M, t \in A^\times$:
 - (a) $\chi(xt, y) = \alpha(tt^*)\chi(x, yt^*)$
 - (b) $\chi(y, x) = \chi(-\varepsilon x, y)$
 - (c) $\chi(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \in M \Rightarrow y = 0$.
2. A function $\gamma : A_{\varepsilon, *}^s \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that for all $s, s' \in A_{\varepsilon, *}^s, x, z \in M, r \in A^\times, t \in A_{\varepsilon, *}^s \cap A^\times$:
 - (a) $\gamma(s + s', x) = \gamma(s, x)\gamma(s', x)$
 - (b) $\gamma(s, xr) = \gamma(rs r^*, x)$
 - (c) $\gamma(t, x + z) = \gamma(t, x)\gamma(t, z)\chi(x, zt)$.
3. $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $c^2|M| = \alpha(\varepsilon)$, and for all $t \in A_{\varepsilon, *}^s \cap A^\times$ the following equality holds:

$$\sum_{y \in M} \gamma(t, y) = \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon t)}{c}.$$

Theorem 4.1. (Gutiérrez, Pantoja and Soto-Andrade, [3]) *Let M be a finite right A -module. Denote $L^2(M)$ the vector space of all complex-valued functions on M , endowed with the inner product with respect to the counting measure on M . Set:*

1. $\rho(h_t)(f)(x) = \bar{\alpha}(t)f(xt), \quad f \in L^2(M), t \in A^\times, x \in M;$
2. $\rho(u_s)(f)(x) = \gamma(s, x)f(x), \quad f \in L^2(M), s \in A_{\varepsilon, *}^s, x \in M;$
3. $\rho(w)(f)(x) = c \sum_{y \in M} \chi(-\varepsilon x, y)f(y), \quad f \in L^2(M), x \in M$

(where $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the complex conjugate of the character α). These formulas define a unitary linear representation $(L^2(M), \rho)$ of G , called the generalized Weil representation of G associated to the data $(M, \alpha, \gamma, \chi)$.

Remark 4.2. Let us note that this definition contains the classic Weil representation of $SL_2(K)$, where K is a field (see [11], for instance).

In what follows, we will focus on finding the necessary data to construct a generalized Weil representation for $O_q(2n, 2n)$.

From now on we put $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $A = M_{2n}(k)$ and we consider q odd greater than 3.

We will apply Theorem 4.1 to the group $SL_{\sim}^-(2, A) \cong O_q(2n, 2n)$. To do this, we recall the following fact. Let E be a finite dimensional vector space over a field K . In [5], the authors describe a correspondence between the linear anti-automorphisms of $\text{End}_K(E)$ and the equivalence classes of nondegenerate bilinear forms on E modulo multiplication by a factor in K^\times . Under this correspondence, K -linear involutions on $\text{End}_K(E)$ correspond to nondegenerate bilinear forms which are either symmetric or skew-symmetric. Let B be a nondegenerate bilinear form. The aforementioned correspondence associates B with σ_B , where σ_B is a linear anti-automorphism in $\text{End}_K(E)$ defined by the following equality:

$$B(f(x), y) = B(x, \sigma_B(f)y), \quad f \in \text{End}_K(E), x, y \in E. \tag{1}$$

Now, let V a vector space of k -dimension $2n$. We fix a basis for V in order to identify $M_{2n}(k) \simeq \text{End}_k(V)$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow k$ be the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form given by the standard dot product. We consider the nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot] : V \times V \longrightarrow k$, given by $[x, y] = \langle x, yJ_{2n} \rangle$. According to the correspondence between involutions and nondegenerate bilinear forms described above, the symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ corresponds to the transpose involution \diamond . Similarly, the skew-symmetric bilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ corresponds to the new involution \sim . That is, for all $x, y \in V$ and $a \in A$:

$$\langle xa, y \rangle = \langle x, ya^\diamond \rangle, \tag{2}$$

$$[xa, y] = [x, ya^\sim]. \tag{3}$$

Now, let ψ be a nontrivial character of k^+ . Using the notation above let us consider:

1. M the right A -module V^2 action: $(x, y)a = (xa, ya)$, $a \in A$, $x, y \in V$.
2. $\chi : M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\chi((x, y), (v, z)) = \psi([x, z] - [y, v])$.
3. α the trivial character of A^\times .
4. $\gamma : A_{\sim}^s \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\gamma(u, (x, y)) = \psi([xu, y])$.

Lemma 4.3. *For all $u \in A^\times \cap A_{\sim}^s$, the map $Q_u : V^2 \longrightarrow k$ given by $Q_u((x, y)) = [xu, y]$ is a nondegenerate split quadratic form. Furthermore, for $u, u' \in A^\times \cap A_{\sim}^s$ the quadratic forms Q_u and $Q_{u'}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in k, (x, y), (v, z) \in V^2$. Clearly $Q_u(\lambda(x, y)) = \lambda^2 Q_u((x, y))$. We will prove that $B((x, y), (v, z)) = Q_u((x + v, y + z)) - Q_u((x, y)) - Q_u((v, z))$ is a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form. We have:

$$B((x, y), (v, z)) = [xu + vu, y + z] - [xu, y] - [vu, z] = [xu, z] + [vu, y].$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B((x, y) + (r, t), (v, z)) &= [(x + r)u, z] + [vu, (y + t)] \\
 &= [xu, z] + [ru, z] + [vu, y] + [vu, t] \\
 &= B((x, y), (v, z)) + B((r, t), (v, z)), \\
 B(\lambda(x, y), (v, z)) &= [\lambda xu, z] + [vu, \lambda y] \\
 &= \lambda[xu, z] + \lambda[vu, y] \\
 &= \lambda B((x, y), (v, z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, B is a symmetric bilinear form.

Let us suppose that $B((x, y), (v, z)) = 0$ for all $(v, z) \in V^2$. If we choose $v = 0$, then $[xu, z] = 0$ for all $z \in V$. Since $[\ , \]$ is nondegenerate and u is invertible, we get $x = 0$. Similarly $y = 0$. Therefore, B is nondegenerate.

Now, if $u, u' \in A^\times \cap A_{-, \sim}^s$ then uJ_{2n}^\diamond and $u'J_{2n}^\diamond$ are invertible skew symmetric matrices. In fact, if $u \in A_{-, \sim}^s$ then $u^\sim = J_{2n}u^\diamond J_{2n}^{-1} = u$. Also $J_{2n}^\diamond = -J_{2n}$, so we get that $(uJ_{2n}^\diamond)^\diamond = J_{2n}u^\diamond = uJ_{2n} = -uJ_{2n}^\diamond$. Thus uJ_{2n}^\diamond and $u'J_{2n}^\diamond$ represent a nondegenerate skew symmetric bilinear form, therefore they are equivalent. So, there exists $j \in A^\times$ such that $uJ_{2n}^\diamond = ju'J_{2n}^\diamond j^\diamond$. Thus, $Q_{u'}((xj, yj)) = [xju', yj] = Q_u((x, y))$.

If we choose $u = I_{2n}$, the quadratic form Q_u is represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J_{2n} \\ J_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, Q_u is split. ■

Theorem 4.4. *The data $(M, \alpha, \gamma, \chi)$ describe a Generalized Weil Representation for $G = O_q(2n, 2n)$. Furthermore, this representation is independent of the choice of the character ψ .*

Proof. We check that χ satisfies the corresponding conditions. Let $(x, y), (v, z) \in M, a \in A$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a)} \quad \chi((x, y)a, (v, z)) &= \psi([xa, z] - [ya, v]) \\
 &= \psi([x, za^\sim] - [y, va^\sim]), \quad \text{(by (3))} \\
 &= \chi((x, y), (v, z)a^\sim). \\
 \text{(b)} \quad \chi((v, z), (x, y)) &= \psi([v, y] - [z, x]) \\
 &= \psi([x, z] - [y, v]) \\
 &= \chi((x, y), (v, z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let us suppose that $\chi((x, y), (v, z)) = 1$ for all $(v, z) \in M$. If $v = 0$, then $\psi([x, z]) = 1$ for all $z \in V$. If $x \neq 0$, then $[x, \cdot] : V \rightarrow k$ is a nontrivial linear functional. Therefore it is surjective. Let $\lambda \in k$ such that $\psi(\lambda) \neq 1$, and $t = t(\lambda) \in V$ such that $\lambda = [x, t]$, then we get the following contradiction:

$$1 = \psi([x, t]) = \psi(\lambda).$$

Therefore $x = 0$, and similarly $y = 0$.

Now, we will prove that γ satisfies the corresponding properties. Let $u, u' \in A_{-, \sim}^s$, $a \in A^\times$, $(x, y), (v, z) \in M$:

- (a) $\gamma(u + u', (x, y)) = \psi([xu + xu', y])$
 $= \psi([xu, y])\psi([xu', y])$
 $= \gamma(u, (x, y))\gamma(u', (x, y)).$
- (b) $\gamma(u, (x, y)a) = \psi([xau, ya])$
 $= \psi([xaua^\sim, y])$
 $= \gamma(aua^\sim, (x, y)).$
- (c) $\gamma(u, (x, y) + (v, z)) = \psi([(x + v)u, y + z])$
 $= \psi([xu, y])\psi([xu, z])\psi([vu, y])\psi([vu, z])$
 $= \gamma(u, (x, y))\gamma(u, (v, z))\psi([x, zu] - [y, vu])$
 $= \gamma(u, (x, y))\gamma(u, (v, z))\chi((x, y), (v, z)u).$

Now, we must choose $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying $c^2|M| = 1$ and show that for $u \in A^\times \cap A_{-, \sim}^s$ the following equality holds:

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in M} \gamma(u, (x, y)) = \sum_{x,y \in V} \psi([xu, y]) = \frac{1}{c}.$$

According to Lemma 4.3, we know that $\sum_{(x,y) \in M} \gamma(u, (x, y))$ is a Gauss sum associated to a split quadratic form in a vector space of even dimension $4n$. This sum is calculated, for instance, in [11]. In fact, $\sum_{(x,y) \in M} \gamma(u, (x, y)) = q^{2n}$. We choose $c = \frac{1}{q^{2n}}$. Thus, $\sum_{(x,y) \in M} \gamma(u, (x, y)) = \frac{1}{c}$.

Now, let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be two nontrivial characters of k^+ . Let us prove that the corresponding representations are isomorphic.

Let $\lambda \in k^\times$ such that $\psi_2(r) = \psi_1(\lambda r)$ for all $r \in k$. Let $(L^2(M), \rho_1)$ and $(L^2(M), \rho_2)$ the Weil representations obtained from ψ_1 and ψ_2 respectively. Then, the linear automorphism $\Psi : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ given by $(\Psi f)(x, y) = f(x, \lambda y)$ is a isomorphism between the representations $(L^2(M), \rho_1)$ and $(L^2(M), \rho_2)$. ■

5. An initial decomposition.

Definition 5.1. The group $U(\gamma, \chi)$ is the group of all A -linear automorphisms β of M such that:

1. $\gamma(u, \beta(x, y)) = \gamma(u, (x, y))$ for all $u \in A_{\varepsilon, *}^s$, $(x, y) \in M$.
2. $\chi(\beta(x, y), \beta(v, z)) = \chi((x, y), (v, z))$ for all $(x, y), (v, z) \in M$.

In what follows we will denote $U(\gamma, \chi)$ simply by U .

Following the idea of [3], if we know the structure of the group U and the set of its irreducible representations, we can find an *initial* decomposition of the Weil Representation in the sense that we do not know if the components obtained are irreducible. In what follows, we make this decomposition explicit.

For $\beta \in U$ and $x \in M$ we put $\beta.x = \beta(x)$. The group U acts naturally on $L^2(M)$. That is to say the action is given by:

$$\sigma : U \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(L^2(M)), \sigma_{\beta}(f)(x) = f(\beta^{-1}.x).$$

In [3] it is shown that the natural action of U on $L^2(M)$ commutes with the action of the Weil Representation.

Let \widehat{U} be the set of the irreducible representations of U . We consider the isotypic decomposition of $L^2(M)$ with respect to U :

$$L^2(M) \cong \bigoplus_{(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} n_{\pi} V_{\pi}.$$

Since $n_{\pi} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_U(V_{\pi}, L^2(M))) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi}))$, we can write this decomposition in the following way:

$$L^2(M) \cong \bigoplus_{(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} (\text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\pi}).$$

If we put $m_{\pi} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\pi})$, we get:

$$L^2(M) \cong \bigoplus_{(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} m_{\pi} \text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi}).$$

If $(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}$ and $\beta \in U$, we denote by π_{β} the map $\pi(\beta) : V_{\pi} \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$. The space $\text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi})$ is formed by linear functions $\Theta : L^2(M) \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$ such that for any $\beta \in U$

$$\Theta \circ \sigma_{\beta} = \pi_{\beta} \circ \Theta. \tag{4}$$

Let us consider the Delta functions $\{e_x \mid x \in M\}$ and the map $\theta : M \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$ such that $\theta(x) = \Theta(e_x)$ for all $x \in M$. Since $\sigma_{\beta}(e_x) = e_{\beta.x}$, condition (4) becomes:

$$\theta(\beta.x) = \pi_{\beta} \circ \theta(x). \tag{5}$$

Conversely, let $\theta : M \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$ satisfying (5). We extend linearly and we get a map $\Theta : L^2(M) \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$ such that (4) holds.

Thus, we can see the space $\text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi})$ as the function space formed by maps $\theta : M \longrightarrow V_{\pi}$ such that $\theta(\beta.x) = \pi_{\beta} \circ \theta(x)$ for all $\beta \in U, x \in M$. The group $G = \text{SL}_{*}^{\varepsilon}(2, A)$ acts on this space via the Weil representation, using the same formulas as defined in Theorem 4.1. Similarly, it is possible to define the natural action of the group U in this space, because—like $L^2(M)$ —it is formed for functions with domain M .

Let ρ denote the Weil action of G on $L^2(M)$ and $\widehat{\rho}$ the Weil action of G on $\bigoplus_{(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} m_{\pi} \text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi})$. Because of how we define the Weil representation, there exist scalars $K_g(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on $g \in G$ and $x, y \in M$, such that for all $f \in L^2(M)$ and $\Lambda \in \bigoplus_{(V_{\pi}, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} m_{\pi} \text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_{\pi})$ the following statements hold:

$$\rho_g(f) = \sum_{y \in M} K_g(\cdot, y) f(y); \tag{6}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_g(\Lambda) = \sum_{y \in M} K_g(\cdot, y) \Lambda(y). \tag{7}$$

In this way, we get:

Lemma 5.2. $(L^2(M), \rho)$ and $(\bigoplus_{(V_\pi, \pi) \in \widehat{U}} m_\pi \text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_\pi), \widehat{\rho})$ are isomorphic representations of G .

Finally, we have:

Proposition 5.3. The space $\text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_\pi)$ is invariant under the Weil action of G .

Proof. Let $g \in G$, $\theta \in \text{Hom}_U(L^2(M), V_\pi)$, $\beta \in U$, $x \in M$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\rho}_g \theta)(\beta.x) &= \sigma_{\beta^{-1}}(\widehat{\rho}_g \theta)(x), && \text{(definition of } \sigma_\beta) \\ &= \widehat{\rho}_g(\sigma_{\beta^{-1}} \theta)(x) \\ &= \widehat{\rho}_g(\pi_\beta \circ \theta)(x), && \text{(since } \sigma_{\beta^{-1}}(\theta) = \pi_\beta \circ \theta) \\ &= \pi_\beta(\widehat{\rho}_g \theta(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because of (7). ■

Now, having made the decomposition above explicit, our purpose is to obtain an initial decomposition for our case $G = O_q(2n, 2n) \cong \text{SL}_{\sim}^-(2, M_{2n}(k))$. For this, it is enough to know the structure of the group U and the set of irreducible representations.

Remark 5.4. We note that since in our case $A = M_{2n}(k)$ and $A_{\sim}^s \cap A^\times \neq \emptyset$, the first condition in definition (5.1) implies the second one (see [3]).

Theorem 5.5. Let γ and χ be the functions defined above. Then $U(\gamma, \chi) \cong \text{SL}_2(k)$.

Proof. Let $\beta \in U(\gamma, \chi)$. In particular β is k -linear, therefore we can suppose that $\beta \in M_{4n}(k)$. We can write the action of A on M in matrix language as follows:

$$(x \ y) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = (xa \ ya), \quad x, y \in V, a \in A.$$

Since β is A -linear we have that $\beta(x, y)a = \beta(xa, ya)$. In matrix form:

$$\beta \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \beta. \tag{8}$$

Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in A$ such that $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, using (8), we get that each of these blocks must be scalar. Thus, there are $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in k$ such that $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_{2n} & b_2 I_{2n} \\ b_3 I_{2n} & b_4 I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$ and hence $\gamma(u, \beta(x, y)) = \psi([(b_1 x + b_3 y)u, b_2 x + b_4 y])$.

Let us note that the bilinear form $(x, y) \mapsto [xu, y]$ is skew symmetric for all $u \in A_{\sim}^s$, hence $[xu, x] = 0$ for all $x \in V, u \in A^{sym}$. Thus for all $x, y \in V, u \in A_{\sim}^s$

$$\gamma(u, \beta(x, y)) = \psi((b_1 b_4 - b_2 b_3)[xu, y]) = \psi([xu, y]) = \gamma(u, (x, y)).$$

Consequently $\psi((b_1 b_4 - b_2 b_3 - 1)[xu, y]) = 1$ for all $x, y \in V, u \in A_{\sim}^s$. From this last equality it follows that $b_1 b_4 - b_2 b_3 = 1$. In fact, let $u \in A_{\sim}^s \cap A^\times$,

$x \neq 0$ and let us suppose $b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 - 1 \neq 0$. The map $F_{x,u} : V \rightarrow k$ given by $F_{x,u}(z) = [(b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 - 1)xu, z]$ is a nontrivial linear functional and therefore is surjective. Let $\lambda \in k$ such that $\psi(\lambda) \neq 1$ and $z = z(\lambda) \in V$ such that $\lambda = [(b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 - 1)xu, z]$. Then $\psi(\lambda) = \psi([(b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 - 1)xu, z]) = 1$. This contradicts our assumption and therefore our result follows. ■

Thus, for our case, we get an initial decomposition of the Weil Representation $(L^2(M), \rho)$. We expect to address the question about irreducibility elsewhere.

6. Dual Pairs.

In this section we will prove that the representation $(L^2(M), \rho)$ of $O_q(2n, 2n)$ constructed in section 4 is equal to the restriction of the Weil representation to $O_q(2n, 2n)$ for the dual pair $(\text{Sp}(2, k), O_q(2n, 2n))$. Also, we will prove that the initial decomposition described above is the same as decomposition with respect to the action of $\text{Sp}(2, k)$ via the Weil representation.

$$\text{Let } J_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(k) \text{ and } F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{2n} \\ -J_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{4n}(k).$$

The matrix F defines the following nondegenerate split symmetric bilinear form in $V_1 = k^{4n}$:

$$(u, v) = v^t F u, \quad u, v \in V_1.$$

The group G of isometries of this form is isomorphic to the split orthogonal group $O_q(2n, 2n)$. As before, set

$$a^\sim = J_{2n} a^t J_{2n}, \quad a \in M_{2n}(k).$$

A direct calculation shows that the following matrices belong to the group G :

$$h_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^\sim)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2n} \\ -I_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_s = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & s \\ 0 & I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$(a \in M_{2n}(k)^\times, s = s^\sim \in M_{2n}(k))$.

Therefore $G = \text{SL}_\sim(2, M_{2n}(k))$.

Let $V_2 = k^2$ and $W = \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$. The following formula defines a nondegenerate symplectic form on W :

$$\langle\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\rangle = \text{tr}(w_1 F w_2^t J_2), \quad w_1, w_2 \in W.$$

The group G acts on W by

$$g(w_1) = w_1 g^{-1}, \quad g \in G, w_1 \in W.$$

This action preserves the symplectic form $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$. In fact, since $g \in G$,

$$\langle\langle w_1 g^{-1}, w_2 g^{-1} \rangle\rangle = \text{tr}(w_1 g^{-1} F (g^{-1})^t w_2^t J_2) = \text{tr}(w_1 F w_2^t J_2) = \langle\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\rangle.$$

Let

$$X = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in M_{2,2n}(k)\}, \quad Y = \{(0, y) \mid y \in M_{2,2n}(k)\}.$$

Then $W = X \oplus Y$ is a complete polarization. We will consider the Schrödinger model of the Weil representation of $\text{Sp}(W)$ attached to the above complete polarization realized on $L^2(X)$ as in [1]. Let $(L^2(X), \omega)$ such representation.

We identify X with $M_{2,2n}(k)$ in the canonical way

$$X \ni (x, 0) \longleftrightarrow x \in M_{2,2n}(k).$$

Remark 6.1. Let us note that the module M in section 4 is canonically isomorphic to X . Consequently the spaces $L^2(M)$ and $L^2(X)$ are also isomorphic.

Let ψ a nontrivial character of the additive group k^+ . For all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ it is clear that $h_a(x) = xh_a^{-1} \in X$ and $h_a(y) = yh_a^{-1} \in Y$, then the matrix h_a preserves X and Y . Also, $\det(h_a|_X) \in k^{\times 2}$. Thus, Proposition 34 in [1] shows that

$$\omega(h_a)f(x) = f(xa), \quad f \in L^2(X).$$

Thus, $\omega(h_a) = \rho(h_a)$.

Now, let us see the action of ω on u_s . The matrix u_s acts trivially on Y and on W/Y . Therefore, Proposition 35 in [1] shows that

$$\omega(u_s)f(x) = \psi(\langle\langle xc(-u_s), x \rangle\rangle)f(x),$$

where $c(-u_s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -s/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{4n}(k)$ is the Cayley transform for $-u_s$.

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in X$, $x_1, x_2 \in k^{2n}$. Then,

$$\langle\langle xc(-u_s), x \rangle\rangle = x_2sJ_{2n}x_1^t.$$

In order to prove that $\omega(u_s) = \rho(u_s)$ we have to check that

$$[x_1s, x_2] = \langle\langle xc(-u_s), x \rangle\rangle,$$

where $[\ , \]$ is the symplectic form defined in section 4. In fact,

$$[x_1s, x_2] = [x_1, x_2s] = -[x_2s, x_1] = x_2sJ_{2n}x_1^t$$

It is clear that the matrix w maps X bijectively onto Y and Y onto X , and $w^2 = -1$. Then, using Proposition 36 of [1], we get:

$$\omega(w)f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X|}} \sum_{x' \in X} \psi(\langle\langle w(x), x' \rangle\rangle)f(x').$$

Thus, in order to prove that $\omega(w) = \rho(w)$ we have to check that

$$\chi(x, x') = \psi(\langle\langle xw^{-1}, x' \rangle\rangle).$$

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $x' = \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 & 0 \\ x'_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2 \in k^{2n}$. So,

$$\psi(\langle\langle xw^{-1}, x' \rangle\rangle) = \psi(x_2J_{2n}(x'_1)^t - x_1J_{2n}(x'_2)^t).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, x') &= \psi([x_1, x'_2] + [x'_1, x_2]) \\ &= \psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J_{2n} \\ J_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (x'_1)^t \\ (x'_2)^t \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \psi(x_2 J_{2n} (x'_1)^t - x_1 J_{2n} (x'_2)^t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that the representation constructed in section 4 is equal to the restriction of the Weil representation to $O_q(2n, 2n)$ for the dual pair $(\mathrm{Sp}(2, k), O_q(2n, 2n))$.

Furthermore, since an element $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(2, k) = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ preserves X and Y and $\det(g|_X) \in k^{\times 2}$, using Proposition 34 in [1], we get that the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ acts on $L^2(X)$ as follows:

$$\omega(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k), f \in L^2(X), x \in X.$$

Therefore, the initial decomposition in section 5 is the same as the decomposition with respect to the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ via the Weil representation.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank José Pantoja, Jorge Soto, Luis Gutiérrez and Anne-Marie Aubert for their never ending support.

References

- [1] Aubert, A.-M., and T. Przebinda, *A reverse engineering approach to Weil Representation*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), 1500–1585.
- [2] Gutiérrez, L., *A Generalized Weil Representation for $\mathrm{SL}_*(2, A_m)$, where $A_m = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^m \rangle$* , J. Algebra **332** (2009), 42–53.
- [3] Gutiérrez, L., J. Pantoja, and J. Soto Andrade, *On Generalized Weil Representations over Involutive Rings*, Contemp. Math. **544** (2011), 109–122.
- [4] —, *Geometric Weil representations for star-analogues of $\mathrm{SL}(2, k)$* , Contemp. Math. **537** (2011), 211–226.
- [5] Knus, M., A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J. Tignol, “The Book of Involutions,” Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Providence, R.I., 1998.
- [6] Kutzko, P., *The exceptional representations of GL_2* , Compos. Math, **51** (1984), 3–14.
- [7] Lion, G., and M. Vergne, “The Weil Representation, Maslov Index and Theta Series,” Progr. Math., **6**, Birkhäuser, 1980.
- [8] Pantoja, J., *A presentation of the group $\mathrm{SL}_*(2, A)$, A a simple artinian ring with involution*, Manuscripta Math. **121** (2006), 97–104.
- [9] Pantoja, J., and J. Soto-Andrade, *A Bruhat decomposition of the group $\mathrm{SL}_*(2, A)$* , J. Algebra **262** (2003), 401–412.

- [10] —, *Bruhat presentations for \ast -classical groups*, Comm. in Algebra **37** (2009), 4170–4191.
- [11] Soto-Andrade, J., “Représentations de certains groupes symplectiques finis,” Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. **55-56**, 1978.
- [12] Weil, A., *Sur certains groupes d’opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 143–211.

Andrea Vera Gajardo
P.A.I.E.P
Vicerrectoría Académica
Universidad de Santiago de Chile
Av. Libertador B. O’Higgins 3363
Santiago, 9170022, Chile
andreaveragajardo@gmail.com

Received November 3, 2013
and in final form June 30, 2014