

A Class of Novikov Superalgebras

Zhiqi Chen and Ming Ding*

Communicated by E. B. Vinberg

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a class of Novikov superalgebras which include some nonassociative superalgebras.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17B60, 17A30, 17D25.

Key Words and Phrases: Left symmetric algebra, Novikov superalgebra, linear function.

1. Introduction

Novikov superalgebras were introduced in [7] as a particular case of left symmetric superalgebras which were called by Gerstenhaber \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded pre-Lie algebras [4]. Novikov superalgebras are related to quadratic conformal superalgebras [8].

A Novikov superalgebra A is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $A = A_0 + A_1$ with a bilinear product $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ satisfying, for any $x \in A_i, y \in A_j, z \in A$,

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = (-1)^{ij}((yx)z - y(xz)),$$

$$(zx)y = (-1)^{ij}(zy)x.$$

The even part of a Novikov superalgebra is exactly a Novikov algebra. The supercommutator

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{ij}yx, \quad \forall x \in A_i, y \in A_j$$

makes any Novikov superalgebra A a Lie superalgebra. The passage from a Novikov algebra A to a Lie algebra is analogous.

There are only a few results on Novikov superalgebras, such as Novikov superalgebras with associative, even, supersymmetric and nondegenerate bilinear forms are associative [1, 6], Novikov superalgebras in low dimensions [3, 5] and so on.

This paper is to obtain a class of new examples of Novikov superalgebras which include some nonassociative superalgebras. For a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space

* This work was supported by NSF of China (No. 11301282) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130031120004).

$A = A_0 + A_1$, a bilinear function $h : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be supersymmetric if

$$h(x, y) = (-1)^{ij}h(y, x), \quad \forall x \in A_i, y \in A_j.$$

Let $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two linear functions. Define a product on A by

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0 + h(x, y)c_1, \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (1)$$

where h is a supersymmetric bilinear function, $c_0 \in A_0$, $c_1 \in A_1$ and $c_0 + c_1 \neq 0$.

The main result in this paper is the following conclusion.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $A = A_0 + A_1$ is a Novikov superalgebra under the product defined by the equation (1), where $\dim A_0 = n(n \geq 2)$ and $\dim A_1 = m(m \geq 1)$, then A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:*

1. N_0 is a trivial algebra, i.e., $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in N_0$;
2. $N_1 = \langle e_i e_1 = e_i, f_j e_1 = f_j | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$;
3. $N_2^k = \langle f_{2j-1} f_{2j} = e_1 = -f_{2j} f_{2j-1} | 1 \leq j \leq k \rangle$ for any $2k \leq m$;
4. $N_3^k = \langle e_i f_i = f_1 = f_i e_i, e_1 f_j = h(e_1, f_j) f_1 = f_j e_1 | 2 \leq i \leq k, 2 \leq j \leq m \rangle$ for any $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$;
5. $N_4^{k_1, k_2} = \langle e_i e_i = e_1, f_{2j-1} f_{2j} = e_1 = -f_{2j} f_{2j-1} | 2 \leq i \leq k_1, j \leq k_2 \rangle$ for any $1 \leq k_1 \leq n, 2k_2 \leq m$;
6. $N_5 = \langle e_1 e_1 = e_1 \rangle$;
7. $N_6 = \langle e_1 e_i = e_i, e_1 f_j = f_j | 2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$;
8. $N_7 = \langle e_1 e_1 = e_1 + e_2, e_i e_1 = e_i, f_j e_1 = f_j | 2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$;
9. $N_8 = \langle e_1 e_i = e_i = e_i e_1, f_j e_1 = f_j = e_1 f_j | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$.

Here $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of A_0 and $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ is a basis of A_1 .

Remark 1.2. 1. When $\dim A_0 = n(n \geq 2)$ and $\dim A_1 = 0$, the classification of left symmetric algebras arising from the product defined by the equation (1) has been given in [2].

2. With conditions and notations in the above theorem, it is not difficult to see that A is not associative if and only if A is isomorphic to N_6 or N_7 .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we suppose that $A = A_0 + A_1$ is a Novikov superalgebra under the product defined by the equation (1), where $\dim A_0 = n(n \geq 2)$ and $\dim A_1 = m(m \geq 1)$. Throughout what follows, let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis of A_0 and f_1, \dots, f_m be a basis of A_1 .

Lemma 2.1. *With the above conditions, we have $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$.*

Proof. For any $x \in A_1$ and $y \in A_0$, we have

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0 + h(x, y)c_1 \in A_1.$$

Thus $f(x)y + h(x, y)c_0 = 0$. Note that $\dim A_0 \geq 2$, it follows that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$. Similarly we can prove that $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$. ■

Proposition 2.2. *If $c_0 \neq 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$, then A is isomorphic to N_0 or N_1 .*

Proof. For any $x, y \in A_1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0 + h(x, y)c_1 = h(x, y)c_0 + h(x, y)c_1 \in A_0.$$

Thus $h(x, y)c_1 = 0$ which implies $h(x, y) = 0$ for any $x, y \in A_1$. Similarly we can prove $h(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$. Thus the product of A is given by

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x.$$

Note that A_0 is a Novikov algebra, then by the discussion for Novikov algebras in [2], we have

1. $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_0$;
2. $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_0$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in A_0$.

In the case 1, by Lemma 2.1, we have $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$, which implies that $x * y = 0$. Thus A is isomorphic to N_0 .

In the case 2, by Lemma 2.1, we have $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ which implies that $x * y = g(y)x$. Without loss of generality, assume that $g(e_1) \neq 0, g(e_i) = 0, 2 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, we can normalize g by $g(e_1) = 1$. Note that $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$. Hence A is isomorphic to N_1 . ■

Lemma 2.3. *If $c_0 \neq 0$ and $c_1 = 0$, then $h(x, y) = h(y, x) = 0$ for any $x \in A_0$ and $y \in A_1$,*

Proof. We only prove that $h(x, y) = 0, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1$. For any $x \in A_0$ and $y \in A_1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0 = f(x)y + h(x, y)c_0 \in A_1.$$

Thus $h(x, y)c_0 = 0$ which implies $h(x, y) = 0$ in this case. ■

Proposition 2.4. *If $c_0 \neq 0, c_1 = 0$ and $h(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A_0$, then A is isomorphic to N_1 or N_2^k .*

Proof. Since $h(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A_0$, we have

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x, \forall x, y \in A_0.$$

Similar to Proposition 2.2, we only need to consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A_0$.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$ which implies that $x * y = h(x, y)c_0$. Then according to Lemma 2.3 together with the condition $h(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A_0$, we deduce that the product of A (only consider the possible nonzero product in the following) is given by

$$x * y = h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_1.$$

Note that $h(x, y) = -h(y, x)$ for any $x, y \in A_1$. Without loss of generality, we choose $c_0 = e_1$ and assume that $h(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) = 1 = -h(f_{2j}, f_{2j-1}), j \leq k$ for any $2k \leq m$. Thus we get that A is isomorphic to N_2^k .

Case 2: $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_0$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in A_0$.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ which implies that $x * y = g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $g(e_1) = 1, g(e_i) = 0, 2 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, $g(f_j) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Similarly in the *Case 1*, we assume that $h(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) = 1 = -h(f_{2j}, f_{2j-1}), j \leq k$ for any $2k \leq m$. We claim that $k = 0$. Otherwise set $c_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$, we have

$$(e_1, f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) = (e_1 * f_{2j-1}) * f_{2j} - e_1 * (f_{2j-1} * f_{2j}) = 0 - e_1 c_0 = -a_1 e_1,$$

$$(f_{2j-1}, e_1, f_{2j}) = (f_{2j-1} * e_1) * f_{2j} - f_{2j-1} * (e_1 * f_{2j}) = c_0 - 0 = c_0.$$

Thus $(e_1, f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) = (f_{2j-1}, e_1, f_{2j})$ implies $c_0 = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence A is isomorphic to N_1 . ■

If $c_0 \neq 0, c_1 = 0$ and $h(x, y) \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in A_0$, the product in A is reduced to

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A$$

where $h : A_0 \times A_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero symmetric bilinear function. Note that the even part of A is a Novikov algebra, then by [2], A_0 is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:

1. For every $k = 0, \dots, n - 1, A_{(1)}^{(k)} = \langle e_j e_j = e_1 | 2 \leq j \leq k + 1 \rangle$;
2. $A_{(2)} = \langle e_1 e_1 = e_1 \rangle$;
3. For every $k = 0, \dots, n - 2, A_{(3)}^{(k),3} = \langle e_1 e_2 = e_1, e_2 e_1 = 2e_1, e_2 e_2 = e_2, e_2 e_i = e_i, e_j e_j = e_1 | 3 \leq i \leq n, 3 \leq j \leq k + 2 \rangle$;
4. $A_{(4)}^0 = \langle e_1 e_j = e_j | 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle$;
5. $A_{(6)} = \langle e_1 e_1 = e_1 + e_2, e_j e_1 = e_j | 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle$;
6. $A_{(7)}^\alpha = \langle e_1 e_1 = \alpha e_1, e_1 e_j = e_j, e_j e_1 = \alpha e_j | 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle, \alpha \neq 0$.

Here $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of A_0 .

Proposition 2.5. *If $c_0 \neq 0$, $c_1 = 0$ and $h(x, y) \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in A_0$, then A is isomorphic to $N_4^{k_1, k_2}$, or N_5 , or N_6 , or N_7 , or N_8 .*

Proof. The proof can be divided as follows.

Case 1: The even part is $A_{(1)}^{(k)}$. For this case, we know that $f(x) = g(x) = 0$, $h(x, c_0) = 0, \forall x \in A_0$ (see [2]). The product of A is given by

$$x * y = h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_0; \quad x * y = h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_1.$$

Thus, we can choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of A_0 and $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ of A_1 such that

$$e_i * e_i = e_1, 2 \leq i \leq k_1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq k_1 \leq n;$$

$$f_{2l-1} * f_{2l} = e_1 = -f_{2l} * f_{2l-1}, l \leq k_2 \text{ for any } 2k_2 \leq m.$$

This algebra is isomorphic to $N_4^{k_1, k_2}$.

Case 2: The even part is $A_{(2)}$. Similar to the *Case 1*, the product of A is given by

$$e_1 * e_1 = e_1; \quad f_{2l-1} * f_{2l} = a_1^2 e_1 = -f_{2l} * f_{2l-1}, l \leq k \text{ for any } 2k \leq m$$

where $a_1 \neq 0$. Since A is a Novikov superalgebra, we have

$$0 = (f_{2l-1} * e_1) * f_{2l} = (f_{2l-1} * f_{2l}) * e_1 = a_1^2 e_1 * e_1 = a_1^2 e_1.$$

Thus $k = 0$. Hence A is isomorphic to N_5 .

Case 3: The even part is $A_{(3)}^{(k), 3}$. In this case, we have

$$x * y = f(x)y + h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A$$

where $f(x) = h(x, c_0)$ is a nonzero linear function on A_0 satisfying $f(c_0) = 0$. We choose some nonzero element $y \in A_1$. For any $x, z \in A_0$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$0 = (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y = f(x)f(z)y + h(x, z)f(c_0)y = f(x)f(z)y.$$

It follows that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_0$ which is a contradiction.

Case 4: The even part is $A_{(4)}^0$. According to Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, the product of A is given by

$$x * y = f(x)y, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1;$$

$$x * y = h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_1;$$

$$x * y = f(x)y + h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_0.$$

For any $x, y \in A_1$, we have $(y * x) * x = -(y * x) * x$, thus

$$0 = (y * x) * x = (h(y, x)c_0) * x = h(y, x)f(c_0)x$$

From $f(c_0) \neq 0$ (see [2]), we have $h(y, x) = 0$ for any $x, y \in A_1$. Thus the case N_6 follows.

Case 5: The even part is $A_{(6)}$. The product of A is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= g(y)x, \forall x \in A_1, y \in A_0; \\ x * y &= h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_1; \\ x * y &= g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_0. \end{aligned}$$

According to [2], there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \neq 0$ such that $c_0 = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i$, $g(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1)$, $h(e_1, e_1) = 1, h(e_i, e_j) = 0, 2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $a_2 \neq 0$, and set

$$e'_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}e_1; \quad e'_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}c_0; \quad e'_j = e_j, \quad 3 \leq j \leq n,$$

then we can choose a basis $f_j, 1 \leq j \leq m$ of A_1 such that the product of A is given by as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 * e'_1 &= e'_1 + e'_2, e'_j * e'_1 = e'_j, 2 \leq j \leq n; \\ f_{k'} * e'_1 &= f_{k'}, 1 \leq k' \leq m; f_{2l-1} * f_{2l} = e'_2 = -f_{2l} * f_{2l-1}, l \leq k \text{ for any } 2k \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} (e'_1, f_{2l-1}, f_{2l}) &= (e'_1 * f_{2l-1}) * f_{2l} - e'_1 * (f_{2l-1} * f_{2l}) = 0 - e'_1 e'_2 = 0, \\ (f_{2l-1}, e'_1, f_{2l}) &= (f_{2l-1} * e'_1) * f_{2l} - f_{2l-1} * (e'_1 * f_{2l}) = f_{2l-1} * f_{2l} - 0 = e'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(e'_1, f_{2l-1}, f_{2l}) = (f_{2l-1}, e'_1, f_{2l})$ implies $k = 0$. Hence under the new basis, we can get the case N_7 .

Case 6: The even part is $A_{(7)}^{(\alpha)}$. According to Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, the product of A is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= f(x)y, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1; \\ x * y &= g(y)x, \forall x \in A_1, y \in A_0; \\ x * y &= h(x, y)c_0, \forall x, y \in A_1; \\ x * y &= f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_0, \quad \forall x, y \in A_0. \end{aligned}$$

As the same proof as in Case 5, we obtain the product of A is given by as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 * e_1 &= \alpha e_1, e_1 * e_j = e_j, e_j * e_1 = \alpha e_j, 2 \leq j \leq n; \\ f_{2l-1} * f_{2l} &= e_1 = -f_{2l} * f_{2l-1}, l \leq k \text{ for any } 2k \leq m; \\ f_{k'} * e_1 &= \alpha f_{k'} = \alpha e_1 * f_{k'}, \alpha \neq 0, 1 \leq k' \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

However, $(1 - \alpha)e_1 = (e_1, f_{2l-1}, f_{2l}) = (f_{2l-1}, e_1, f_{2l}) = (\alpha - 1)e_1$ implies $\alpha = 1$. Furthermore $-2f_{2l} = (f_{2l}, f_{2l-1}, f_{2l}) = -(f_{2l-1}, f_{2l}, f_{2l}) = -f_{2l}$ implies $k = 0$. Thus A is isomorphic to the following algebra

$$\langle e_1 e_i = e_i = e_i e_1, f_j e_1 = f_j = e_1 f_j | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$$

which is the case N_8 . ■

Proposition 2.6. *If $c_0 = 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$, then A is isomorphic to N_1 or N_3^k .*

Proof. For this case, the product of A is given by

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c_1, \forall x, y \in A.$$

Moreover, the product of A_0 is reduced as follows

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x, \forall x, y \in A_0.$$

Similar to Proposition 2.2, we will discuss the following two cases:

Case 1: $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A_0$.

By Lemma 2.1, $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$ which implies that $x * y = h(x, y)c_1$. Then

$$x * y = h(x, y)c_1 = y * x, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1.$$

For any $x, z \in A_0$ and $y \in A_1$, we have $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y = 0$, thus

$$0 = (x * y) * z = (h(x, y)c_1)z = h(x, y)h(c_1, z)c_1.$$

Thus we obtain $h(c_1, z) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f_1 = c_1$, it follows that

$$e_i * f_j = h(e_i, f_j)f_1 = f_j * e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq m.$$

Then under a new basis, we can obtain that A is isomorphic to N_3^k .

Case 2: $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A_0$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in A_0$.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ which implies that $x * y = g(y)x + h(x, y)c_1$. Then we have

$$x * y = h(x, y)c_1, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1;$$

$$y * x = g(x)y + h(x, y)c_1, \forall x \in A_0, y \in A_1;$$

$$x * y = g(y)x, \forall x, y \in A_0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $f_1 = c_1$, $g(e_1) = 1$ and $g(e_i) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, it follows that

$$f_j * e_i = h(e_i, f_j)f_1, \forall i \geq 2; f_j * e_1 = f_j + h(e_1, f_j)f_1; e_i * f_j = h(e_i, f_j)f_1; e_j * e_1 = e_j.$$

Note that $0 = (e_j * e_k) * f_i = (e_j * f_i) * e_k$ implies $h(f_1, e_k) = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, and $(e_j * e_1) * f_i = (e_j * f_i) * e_1$ implies $h(f_1, e_1) = 0$. Furthermore $(f_i, e_1, e_1) = (e_1, f_i, e_1)$ implies $h(e_1, f_i) = 0$. Now we can choose a new basis such that the product of A is given by

$$e_l * e_1 = e_l, 1 \leq l \leq n;$$

$$f_j * e_1 = f_j, 1 \leq j \leq m; e_i * f_i = f_1 = f_i * e_i, 2 \leq i \leq k \text{ for any } k \leq \min\{m, n\}.$$

If there exists some $2 \leq i \leq k, k \leq \min\{m, n\}$ such that $e_i * f_i = f_1 = f_i * e_i$, then $f_1 = (f_i, e_1, e_1) = (e_1, f_i, e_1) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence A is isomorphic to N_1 . ■

References

- [1] Ayadi, I., and S. Benayadi, *Symmetric Novikov superalgebras*, J. of Math. Physics **51** (2010), 023501.
- [2] Bai, C., *Left-symmetric Algebras From Linear Functions*, J. of Algebra **281** (2004), 651–665.
- [3] Chen, Z., and F. Zhu, *Novikov superalgebras with $A_0 = A_1A_1$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. **60** (2010), 903–907.
- [4] Gerstenhaber, M., *The Cohomology Structure of an Associative Ring*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 267–288.
- [5] Kang, Y., and Z. Chen, *Novikov superalgebras in low dimensions*, J. of Nonlinear Math. Physics **16** (2009), 251–257.
- [6] Ni, J., and Z. Chen, *Novikov super-algebras with associative non-degenerate super-symmetric bilinear forms*, J. of Nonlinear Math. Physics **17** (2010), 159–166.
- [7] Xu, X., *Variational calculus of supervariables and related algebraic structures*, J. of Algebra **223** (2000), 396–437.
- [8] —, *Quadratic Conformal Superalgebras*, J. of Algebra **231** (2000), 1–38.

Zhiqi Chen
School of Mathematical Sciences and
LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, P.R. China
chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn

Ming Ding
School of Mathematical Sciences and
LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, P.R. China
dingming@nankai.edu.cn

Received May 26, 2015
and in final form August 8, 2015