

# Gröbner–Shirshov Basis of the Universal Enveloping Rota–Baxter Algebra of a Lie Algebra

Vsevolod Gubarev and Pavel Kolesnikov\*

Communicated by A. Fialowski

**Abstract.** We consider Lie algebras equipped with a Rota–Baxter operator. The forgetful functor from this category to the category of Lie algebras has a left adjoint one denoted by  $U_{RB}$ . We prove an operator analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for  $U_{RB}$  by means of Gröbner–Shirshov bases theory for Lie algebras with an additional operator.

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 17B01, 17B37.

*Key Words and Phrases:* Rota–Baxter operator, free Lie algebra, universal envelope.

## 1. Introduction

A Rota–Baxter algebra is a linear space  $A$  over a field  $\mathbb{k}$  equipped with a bilinear product  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ ,  $a, b \in A$ , and with a linear map  $R : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$R(a)R(b) = R(R(a)b) + R(aR(b)) + \lambda R(ab), \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant from  $\mathbb{k}$ . A linear operator  $R$  satisfying (1) is called a Rota–Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$ .

This notion initially appeared in analysis [1], and then in combinatorics [14] and quantum field theory [6]. We refer the reader to the book [11] and references therein for more details. There is a number of studies on associative and commutative Rota–Baxter algebras. Let us briefly review some results closely related with the topic of this paper.

A linear basis of the free associative Rota–Baxter algebra was found in [8], where it was also shown that the universal enveloping Rota–Baxter algebra of a free dendriform (or tridendriform, for nonzero weight) algebra is free. A simpler proof of the same fact follows from [9]. Another method for finding a basis of free associative Rota–Baxter algebra was proposed in [3]; in a more modern form the same approach was exposed in [10].

Rota–Baxter Lie algebras are of special interest since they are closely related with pre-Lie (left/right-symmetric) algebras. Namely, if  $L$  is a Lie algebra with

---

\* Supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065)

a product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  equipped with a Rota–Baxter operator  $R$  then the same space  $L$  with new operation  $ab = [R(a), b]$ ,  $a, b \in L$ , is a pre-Lie algebra.

Moreover, there is a natural relation between Rota–Baxter operators and solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation (CYBE) [15]. Namely, if  $L$  is a Lie algebra equipped with a symmetric invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (not necessarily non-degenerate) then there is a natural map  $L \rightarrow L^*$ ,  $a \mapsto \langle a, \cdot \rangle$ , and thus we have a map  $\Phi : L \otimes L \rightarrow L^* \otimes L \hookrightarrow \text{End}(L)$ . If  $X \in L \otimes L$  is a skew-symmetric solution of CYBE

$$[X^{12}, X^{13}] + [X^{12}, X^{23}] + [X^{13}, X^{23}] = 0.$$

then  $R = \Phi(X)$  is a Rota–Baxter operator on  $L$ .

This paper is devoted to the study of combinatorial structure of Lie algebras with a Rota–Baxter operator. The main problem we solve is an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt (PBW) Theorem for the universal enveloping Rota–Baxter Lie algebra  $U_{RB}(L)$  of an arbitrary Lie algebra  $L$ . We prove that  $U_{RB}(L)$  carries a natural filtration such that the corresponding associated graded algebra  $\text{gr} U_{RB}(L)$  is isomorphic (as a Lie algebra) to the universal enveloping system  $U_{RA}(L)$  in the class  $\text{RALie}$  of Lie algebras equipped with linear operator  $R$  satisfying the identity  $[R(x), R(y)] = 0$ . The same statement holds for the varieties of associative and commutative algebras.

The main tool of the proof is a version of the Composition–Diamond Lemma (CD-Lemma) for Lie algebras with an additional linear operator. A more general approach to this Lemma (for Lie algebras with an arbitrary set of linear operators) was developed in [13]. In the proof of CD-Lemma we use terminology of [10] and some combinatorial statements of [16] (for more detailed exposition of the latter results see [2]).

## 2. Algebras with additional operator

Suppose  $\text{Var}$  is a variety of linear algebras (associative, commutative, Lie, Poisson, etc.). Denote by  $\text{RVar}$  the variety of  $\text{Var}$ -algebras equipped with an additional linear operator  $R$ . Denote the forgetful functor from  $\text{RVar}$  to  $\text{Var}$  by  $\Theta_R$ , and let  $U_R$  stand for its left adjoint functor from  $\text{Var}$  to  $\text{RVar}$ .

Obviously, if  $\text{Var}\langle V \rangle$  is a free  $\text{Var}$ -algebra generated by a linear space  $V$  then  $U_R(\text{Var}\langle V \rangle)$  is isomorphic to the free  $\text{RVar}$ -algebra  $\text{RVar}\langle V \rangle$ .

Let us state explicit construction of  $U_R(A)$ . Given  $A \in \text{Var}$ , denote by  $\bar{A}$  a copy of the linear space  $A$ . Let  $\rho : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  stand for the isomorphism  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ .

Define a series of algebras  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  by the following rule:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A, \\ A_1 &= A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A} \rangle, \\ &\dots \\ A_n &= A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $*$  =  $*_{\text{Var}}$  is the free product in the variety  $\text{Var}$ .

As above, let  $\bar{A}_n$  be a copy of the space  $A_n$ . Denote the linear isomorphism  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ ,  $a \in A_n$ , by  $\rho_n$ .

Construct a series of Var-homomorphisms  $\tau_n : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , as follows. Start with the canonical embedding  $\tau_0 : A \rightarrow A_1$ , and proceed by induction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{n-1}} & A_n \\
 \downarrow \rho_{n-1} & & \downarrow \rho_n \\
 \bar{A}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}} & \bar{A}_n \\
 \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\
 \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\tau_{n-1}^0} & \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle \\
 \downarrow \subseteq & & \downarrow \subseteq \\
 A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\tau_n} & A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle,
 \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\tau}_{n-1} = \rho_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \tau_{n-1} \circ \rho_n$  is a linear homomorphism,  $\tau_{n-1}^0$  is the induced Var-homomorphism of free algebras, and  $\tau_n$  is a Var-homomorphism coming from the universal property of the free product.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Homomorphisms  $\tau_n$  are injective for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $\tau_{n-1}$  is injective. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bar{A}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow[\subseteq]{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}} & \bar{A}_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \bar{A}_{n-1} \\
 \subseteq \downarrow & & \subseteq \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle & \xrightarrow{\varphi^0} & \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle
 \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi$  is a projection of the linear space  $\bar{A}_n$  onto  $\bar{A}_{n-1}$ :  $\varphi\tau_{n-1}^0 = id_{\bar{A}_{n-1}}$ ,  $\varphi^0$  is the extension of  $\varphi$  to a homomorphism of free algebras. Then the universal property of free product implies the existence of  $\psi$  such that

$$A_n = A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle \xrightarrow{\tau_n} A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle \xrightarrow{\psi} A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle = A_n.$$

Hence,  $\psi\tau_n = id_{A_n}$  and  $\tau_n$  is injective. ■

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every RVar-algebra  $B$  and for every homomorphism of Var-algebras  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  there exists unique family  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of Var-homomorphisms  $\psi_n : A_n \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\rho_n \circ \psi_{n+1} = \psi_n \circ R$$

and

$$\psi_0 = \psi, \quad \psi_n = \tau_n \circ \psi_{n+1}.$$

**Proof.** Let us show existence and uniqueness by induction. Given  $\psi_n : A_n \rightarrow B$ ,

construct

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & \bar{A}_n & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & A_{n+1} = A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle \\
 \psi_n \downarrow & & \bar{\psi}_n \downarrow & & \psi_n^0 \downarrow & & \uparrow \subseteq \\
 B & \xrightarrow{R} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B & \xleftarrow{\psi} & A
 \end{array}$$

Here the rightmost vertical arrow is the canonical embedding of  $A$  into the free product which coincides with  $\tau_0 \circ \dots \circ \tau_n$ ,  $\bar{\psi}_n = \rho_n^{-1} \circ \psi_n \circ R$  is a linear map,  $\psi_n^0$  is a homomorphism of Var-algebras induced by  $\bar{\psi}_n$ . The right-hand square on the diagram above induces Var-homomorphism  $\psi_{n+1} : A_{n+1} = A * \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_n \rangle \rightarrow B$ .

Let us prove  $\psi_n = \psi_{n+1}\tau_n$ . For  $n = 0$ , it follows from the definition of  $\psi_1$ . Assume  $n > 0$  and  $\psi_{n-1} = \psi_n\tau_{n-1}$ . Then for all  $y \in \bar{A}_{n-1}$

$$\bar{\tau}_{n-1}(y) = \rho_n\tau_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{-1}(y)$$

Since  $\bar{\psi}_n\rho_n(z) = R\psi_n(z)$  for all  $z \in A_n$ , we have

$$\bar{\psi}_n\bar{\tau}_{n-1}(y) = \bar{\psi}_n\rho_n\tau_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{-1}(y) = R\psi_n\tau_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{-1}(y) = R\psi_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{-1}(y) = \bar{\psi}_{n-1}(y).$$

Therefore, the induced Var-homomorphisms are related in the same way:

$$\psi_{n-1}^0 = \psi_n^0\tau_{n-1}^0.$$

Now, for all  $x \in \text{Var}\langle \bar{A}_{n-1} \rangle \subseteq A_n$  we have

$$\tau_n(x) = \tau_{n-1}^0(x).$$

Hence,

$$\psi_{n+1}\tau_n(x) = \psi_n^0\tau_{n-1}^0(x) = \psi_{n-1}^0(x) = \psi_n(x)$$

by the definition of  $\psi_{n+1}$ . Since  $\psi_n$  is uniquely determined by its action on  $\bar{A}_{n-1}$  (uniqueness property of the universal map on free product), we have the required equality  $\psi_{n+1}\tau_n = \psi_n$  on the entire  $A_n$ . ■

The chain

$$A \xrightarrow{\tau_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1} A_2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\tau_n} A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

naturally defines direct system of Var-algebras. Let

$$A_\infty = \varinjlim A_n,$$

$$\rho : A_\infty \rightarrow A_\infty, \quad \rho = \varinjlim \rho_n.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *The Var-algebra  $A_\infty$  with linear map  $\rho$  is isomorphic to the universal RVar enveloping  $U_R(A)$ .*

**Proof.** The universal property of  $(A_\infty, \rho)$  follows from Lemma 2.2. ■

Let us consider a particular case  $\text{Var} = \text{Lie}$ . Recall that if  $Y$  is a well-ordered set of generators then a linear basis of  $\text{Lie}\langle Y \rangle$  may be constructed in the following way [16]. A word  $u \in Y^*$  is called an (associative) *Lyndon–Shirshov word* (LS-word) if either  $u \in Y$  or for every presentation  $u = vw$ ,  $v, w \in Y^*$ , we have  $u > vw$  lexicographically. Denote the set of all such words by  $\text{LS}(Y)$ . For every  $u \in \text{LS}(Y)$  there exists *standard* bracketing  $[u]$  such that  $[u] = ([v][w])$ , where  $w$  is the longest proper LS-suffix of  $u$  (then  $v$  is also an LS-word,  $[v]$  and  $[w]$  are standard bracketings on these shorter words). The set  $\{[u] \mid u \in \text{LS}(Y)\}$  is a linear basis of  $\text{Lie}\langle Y \rangle$ .

It is not hard to construct a linear basis of free RLie-algebra  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  for a given well-ordered set  $X$  of generators. Let  $\text{RLS}_0(X) = \{[u] \mid u \in \text{LS}(X)\}$  be the basis of  $\text{Lie}\langle X \rangle$  equipped with deg-lex ordering:

$$[u] < [v] \iff u <_{\text{deglex}} v$$

Assume the set  $\text{RLS}_n(X)$  is already constructed and equipped with a well order. Consider the alphabet  $U_n = X \cup \{R([u]) \mid [u] \in \text{RLS}_n(X)\}$  with the following order:

$$\begin{aligned} x &< R([u]), \\ R([u]) &< R([v]) \iff [u] < [v], \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in X$ ,  $[u], [v] \in \text{RLS}_n(X)$ . Then

$$\text{RLS}_{n+1}(X) := \{[w] \mid w \in \text{LS}(U_n)\}$$

equipped with the deg-lex order. Obviously,  $\text{RLS}_n(X) \subset \text{RLS}_{n+1}(X)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *The set*

$$\text{RLS}(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{RLS}_n(X)$$

*is a linear basis of  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $L = \text{Lie}\langle X \rangle$ ,  $U_R(L) \simeq \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle \xrightarrow{\theta} L_\infty$ . Consider the images of RLS-words as elements of  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  under the isomorphism  $\theta$  iduced by  $x \mapsto x$ ,  $x \in X$ . By definition,  $\theta(\text{RLS}_0(X))$  is a basis of  $L_0 = L$ . Assume  $\theta(\text{RLS}_k(X))$  is a basis of  $L_k$  for all  $k \leq n$ , and the embedding  $\text{RLS}_{k-1}(X) \subset \text{RLS}_k(X)$  is compatible with  $\tau_{k-1} : L_{k-1} \rightarrow L_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\theta(R(\text{RLS}_n(X))) = \rho_n(\theta(\text{RLS}_n(X)))$  is the set of free generators for  $\text{Lie}\langle \bar{L}_n \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  is compatible with  $\tau_n$ .

Recall that the free product of two free Lie algebras is the free Lie algebra generated by disjoint union of the generating sets of factors. In our case, these generating sets are  $X$  and  $\theta(R(\text{RLS}_n(X)))$ . Therefore,  $\theta(\text{RLS}_{n+1}(X))$  is the linear basis of  $L_{n+1}$ . ■

In particular,  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  as a Lie algebra is isomorphic to  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$ , where  $U = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} U_n$ . Therefore,  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  has a natural ascending filtration

$$\text{RLie}^{(n)}\langle X \rangle = \{f \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle \mid \deg f \leq n\}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\deg f$  is the degree of  $f \in \text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$  relative to the alphabet  $U$ .

Note that  $U$  may not be a well-ordered set, e.g.,  $xy > R(xy) > R^2(xy) > \dots$  for  $x > y$ . However, for every  $n \geq 0$  the subset  $U_n$  is obviously well-ordered.

For  $[u] \in \text{RLS}(X)$ , denote by  $\deg_R u$  ( $R$ -degree) the total number of operators  $R$  appearing in  $u$ . For  $f \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ , let  $\deg_R f$  be the maximal  $R$ -degree among all its monomials. Note that for every  $n \geq 0$  there exists  $N$  such that  $\{[u] \in \text{RLS}(X) \mid \deg_R u \leq n\} \subseteq \text{LS}(U_N)$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Denote by  $\text{RAVar}$  the subvariety of  $\text{RVar}$  defined by identity  $R(x)R(y) = 0$  (image of  $R$  is abelian). The following construction provides the universal enveloping  $\text{RAVar}$ -algebra  $U_{RA}(A)$  of  $A \in \text{Var}$ , the proof is similar to the one stated above.

For  $A \in \text{Var}$ , let  $A_0 = A$  and  $A_{n+1} = A * A_n^0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , where  $A_n^0$  is the same space as  $A_n$  considered as an algebra with zero multiplications. Then  $U_{RA}(A)$  is isomorphic to  $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_n$ .

Let us construct a series of  $\text{RALS}_n(X) \subset \text{RLS}_n(X)$  by induction. Start with  $\text{RALS}_0(X) = \text{RLS}_0(X)$  and assume  $\text{RALS}_n(X)$  is already defined. Consider the alphabet

$$U_n = X \cup \{R([u]) \mid [u] \in \text{RALS}_n(X)\}$$

with the same order as in the definition of  $\text{RLS}$ , and set  $\text{RALS}_{n+1}(X)$  to be the set of all  $[w] \in \text{LS}(U_n)$  such that  $w$  does not contain subwords of the form  $R([u])R([v])$ ,  $u, v \in \text{RALS}_n(X)$ ,  $[u] > [v]$ . It is easy to see ([17]) that  $\text{RALS}_n(X)$  is the linear basis of the Lie algebra  $L_n$  constructed from  $L_0 = \text{Lie}\langle X \rangle$  as above. Therefore,

$$\text{RALS}(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{RALS}_n(X)$$

is the linear basis of  $U_{RA}(\text{Lie}\langle X \rangle) \simeq \text{RALie}\langle X \rangle$ . As a Lie algebra,  $\text{RALie}\langle X \rangle$  is generated by  $U = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} U_n$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *The free  $\text{RALie}$ -algebra  $\text{RALie}\langle X \rangle$  is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to the partially commutative (see [7]) Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}\langle U \mid uv = 0, u, v \in U \setminus X \rangle$ . ■*

### 3. CD-lemma for $\text{RLie}$ algebras

Let us call elements of  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  by  $\text{RLie}$ -polynomials, and let  $\bar{f} \in \text{RLS}(X)$  stand for the leading word (principle monomial) of an  $\text{RLie}$ -polynomial  $f$ .

Recall an important statement which plays a key role in the combinatorial theory of Lie algebras.

**Lemma 3.1** (Shirshov bracketing, [16, Lemma 4]). *Let  $U$  be an ordered set, and  $w, u \in \text{LS}(U)$ . Suppose  $u$  is a subword of  $w$ , i.e.,  $w = aub$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are some words in  $U$  (either of them may be empty). Denote by  $w_{u \leftarrow *}$  a word in the alphabet  $U \dot{\cup} \{*\}$  obtained from  $aub$  by replacing of this occurrence of  $u$  with*

a new symbol  $*$ :  $w_{u\leftarrow*} = a*b$ . Then there exists a unique bracketing on  $w_{u\leftarrow*}$ , denoted by  $\{w_{u\leftarrow*}\}$ , such that

$$\{a[u]b\} = [w] + \sum_i \alpha_i [w_i], \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}, \quad w_i \in \text{LS}(U), \quad [w_i] < [w].$$

Uniqueness of the Shirshov bracketing implies the following property: let  $w, u, z \in \text{LS}(U)$ ,  $u$  is a subword of  $z$ , and  $z$  is a subword of  $w$ . Consider the words  $w_{z\leftarrow*} = a*b$ ,  $w_{u\leftarrow*}$ , and  $z_{u\leftarrow*}$  with the corresponding Shirshov bracketings  $\{\dots\}$ . Then

$$\{a\{z_{u\leftarrow*}\}b\} = \{w_{u\leftarrow*}\}.$$

Suppose  $S$  is a set of monic RLie polynomials. Construct  $\hat{S}$  as follows. For every  $f \in S$ ,  $\bar{f} = [u]$ , consider the associative word  $u \in \text{LS}(U)$  and consider all  $[w] \in \text{RLS}(X)$  such that the corresponding  $w \in \text{LS}(U)$  contain  $u$  as a subword:  $w = aub$ . Let  $\{w_{u\leftarrow*}\} = \{a*b\}$  be the Shirshov bracketing. Denote by  $\hat{S}_0$  the collection of all RLie-polynomials  $\{w_{u\leftarrow f}\} = \{afb\}$  corresponding to all possible occurrences of  $u$ ,  $[u] = \bar{f}$ ,  $f \in S$ , in all RLS-words  $[w]$ . Then  $\overline{\{w_{u\leftarrow f}\}} = [w]$  and  $\{w_{u\leftarrow f}\}$  belongs to the ideal of Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$  generated by  $S$ . All these polynomials are monic, and  $S \subset \hat{S}_0$ .

Proceed by induction: given  $\hat{S}_n = \Sigma$ , define  $\hat{S}_{n+1} = \Sigma \cup \widehat{R(\Sigma)}_0 \supset \hat{S}_n$ , and

$$\hat{S} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \hat{S}_n$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Denote by  $I_R(S)$  the ideal generated by  $S$  in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ . Then  $f \in I_R(S)$  if and only if  $f = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i$ ,  $h_i \in \hat{S}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}$ .

**Proof.** The ideal  $I_R(S)$  in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  is the minimal  $R$ -invariant ideal in the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$  which contains  $S$ . By the construction,  $I_R(S) \supseteq \hat{S}$ .

Conversely, it follows from [18, Lemma 3] that the ideal  $I(\Sigma)$  generated by a set  $\Sigma$  in  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$  coincides with the linear span of  $\hat{\Sigma}_0$ . Hence, the linear span of  $\hat{S}$  is an ideal in  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$ . Obviously, this ideal is  $R$ -invariant, so  $I_R(S) \subseteq \mathbb{k}\hat{S}$ . ■

Recall that a *rewriting system* is an oriented graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  which has no infinite oriented paths. A vertex  $v \in V$  is called *terminal* if there are no edges of the form  $v \rightarrow w$  in  $E$ .

Define an oriented graph  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  on the set of vertices  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  based on a set of monic RLie-polynomials  $S$ , assuming that two RLie-polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  are connected by an edge  $f \rightarrow g$  if and only if  $f = f_0 + \alpha[u] + f_1$  (all monomials of  $f_0$  are larger than  $[u]$  and  $[u] > \bar{f}_1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ) such that  $[u] = \bar{h}$  for some  $h \in \hat{S}$ , and  $g = f - \alpha h$ . Every edge obviously corresponds to unique  $h \in \hat{S}$ , and therefore has a well-defined *level* which is the minimal  $n$  such that  $h \in \hat{S}_n$ .

From now on, assume the following additional condition on  $S$ :  $\text{deg}_R \bar{s} \geq \text{deg}_R s$  for every  $s \in S$ , i.e., the number of operators  $R$  in the leading word  $\bar{s}$  is greater or equal than  $R$ -degrees of all other monomials in  $s$ . Obviously, the same condition holds for  $h \in \hat{S}$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is a rewriting system since for every vertex  $f \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  its cone (set of all vertices  $g$  such that there exists an

oriented path  $f \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow g$ ) belongs to  $\mathbb{k} \text{RLS}_n(X)$  for some  $n$  and  $\text{RLS}_n(X)$  is well ordered. Terminal vertices of this rewriting system are also called  $S$ -reduced RLie-polynomials.

Let notation  $f \sim_d g$  express that  $f, g \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  are connected by a non-oriented path of length  $d \geq 1$ , and  $f \sim g$  says  $f \sim_d g$  for some  $d \geq 1$ .

The following two lemmas are almost obvious but we still state their proofs for readers' convenience.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}(V)$  stand for the subgraph of  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  with vertices  $V$ . Then for every  $f, g, h \in V$*

$$f \sim g \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(V) \iff f + h \sim g + h \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(V).$$

**Proof.** It is enough to show  $(\Rightarrow)$ . Suppose  $f \sim_d g$  and proceed by induction on  $d$ . In fact, we only need  $d = 1$  since the induction step is obvious. Assume  $f \rightarrow g$ ,  $f = f_0 + \alpha[u] + f_1$ ,  $[u] = \bar{s}$ ,  $s \in \hat{S}$ ,  $g = f - \alpha s$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , as in the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$ . In particular,  $s \in V$ . Apply the same principle to write down the decompositions of  $h = h_0 + \beta[u] + h_1$  (for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{k}$ ) and  $g = f - \alpha s = g_0 + g_1$ . Then  $f + h = f_0 + h_0 + (\alpha + \beta)[u] + f_1 + h_1$ ,  $g + h = g_0 + h_0 + \beta[u] + h_1 + g_1$ . If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  then  $f + h \rightarrow g + h$ . If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$  then

$$f + h \rightarrow f + h - (\alpha + \beta)s = g + h - \beta s \leftarrow g + h,$$

so  $f + h \sim_2 g + h$ . Finally, if  $\alpha + \beta = 0$  then

$$f + h = f_0 + h_0 + f_1 + h_1 = g + \alpha s + h = g + h - \beta s \leftarrow g + h. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.3, the following statement holds: for every  $f, g \in V$*

$$f - g = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}, \quad s_i \in \hat{S} \cap V,$$

if and only if  $f \sim g$  in  $\mathcal{G}(V)$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Leftarrow)$  It follows from the definition of edges in  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$ .

$(\Rightarrow)$  Assume  $f - g = \alpha_1 s_1 + \dots + \alpha_n s_n$ ,  $s_i \in \hat{S} \cap V$ . If  $n = 1$  then we simply have  $f - g \rightarrow 0$ , so  $f \sim g$  by Lemma 3.3. If  $n > 1$  then  $f - (g + \alpha_1 s_1) \sim 0$  by induction, so  $f - g \sim \alpha_1 s_1 \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 3.3.  $\blacksquare$

By Lemma 3.2, the ideal  $I_R(S)$  coincides with the linear span of  $\hat{S}$ . Therefore, connected components (in the non-oriented sense) of  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  are exactly the elements of the quotient algebra  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle / I_R(S)$ .

We will mainly use the following subspaces of  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \mathbb{k}\{[u] \in \text{RLS}(X) \mid \deg_R u \leq n\}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ V^{[w]} &= \mathbb{k}\{[u] \in \text{RLS}(X) \mid [u] \leq [w]\}, \quad [w] \in \text{RLS}(X), \\ V_n^{[w]} &= V_n \cap V^{[w]}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$V_n = \bigcup_{[w] \in \text{RLS}(X) \cap V_n} V_n^{[w]},$$

and  $\text{RLS}(X) \cap V_n$  is a well-ordered subset of  $\text{RLS}(X)$ .

Recall that a rewriting system is said to be *confluent* if for every vertex  $v$  there exists unique terminal vertex  $t$  such that  $v$  is connected with  $t$  by an oriented path (i.e.,  $v \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t$ , or  $v \rightsquigarrow t$ ). In particular, every non-oriented connected component of a confluent rewriting system contains unique terminal vertex. Therefore, if the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is confluent then there exists unique normal form of an element in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle / I_R(S)$  which may be found by straightforward walk on the graph. The following statement is a well-known criterion of confluence.

**Theorem 3.5** (Diamond Lemma, [19]). *A rewriting system  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  is confluent if and only if for every  $v \in V$  and for every two edges  $v \rightarrow w_1, v \rightarrow w_2$  there exists a vertex  $u \in V$  such that  $w_1 \rightsquigarrow u$  and  $w_2 \rightsquigarrow u$ . ■*

It is easy to see that a rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is confluent if and only if so is each subsystem  $\mathcal{G}(V_n), n \geq 0$ . The latter is confluent if and only if so is  $\mathcal{G}(V_n^{[w]})$  for all  $[w] \in \text{RLS}(X) \cap V_n$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $S \subset \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  be a set of monic RLie-polynomials,  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}(V_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  has the following property: for every RLS-word  $[w] \in V_n$  and for every pair of edges  $[w] \rightarrow g_1, [w] \rightarrow g_2$  in  $\mathcal{G}(V_n)$  we have*

$$g_1 - g_2 = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i, \quad h_i \in \hat{S} \cap V_n, \bar{h}_i < [w]. \tag{3}$$

*Then the system  $\mathcal{G}(V_n)$  is confluent.*

**Proof.** Let us check the Diamond Condition from Theorem 3.5 for the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[v]} = \mathcal{G}(V_n^{[v]}) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S), [v] \in \text{RLS}(X) \cap V_n$ .

Proceed by induction on  $[v]$ . Assume the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[u]}$  is confluent for all  $[u] \in V_n, [u] < [v]$ , and consider an ambiguity in the graph  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[v]}$ , i.e., a pair of edges  $f \rightarrow g_1, f \rightarrow g_2$ . Here  $g_1 = f - \alpha h_1, g_2 = f - \beta h_2, h_i \in \hat{S} \cap V_n^{[v]}$ . There are three possible cases:

Case 1:  $\bar{h}_1 \neq \bar{h}_2$ .

Then  $f$  may be written (with ordered monomials) as  $f = f_0 + \alpha[u_1] + f_{01} + \beta[u_2] + f_1, [u_i] = \bar{h}_i$ . Suppose  $[u_1] - h_1 = \gamma[u_2] + h$ , where  $h$  does not contain monomial  $[u_2], \gamma \in \mathbb{k}$ . It is now easy to see that if  $\gamma\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  then there exist edges  $g_1 \rightarrow g, g_2 \rightarrow g' \rightarrow g$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[v]}$ , where  $g' = g_2 - \alpha h_1, g = f_0 + f_{01} + f_1 + \alpha h + (\beta + \gamma\alpha)([u_2] - h_2)$ . If  $\gamma\alpha + \beta = 0$  then there exist edges  $g_2 \rightarrow g' \rightarrow g_1$  for the same  $g'$ . Therefore, in this case the Diamond Condition holds.

Case 2:  $\bar{h}_1 = \bar{h}_2 < \bar{f}$ .

Then  $f = f_0 + \alpha[u] + f_1, [u] = \bar{h}_i < \bar{f} \leq [v]$ . Hence, there in an ambiguity

$f' \rightarrow g'_1, f' \rightarrow g'_2$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[u]}$ , where  $f' = \alpha[u] + f_1, g_i = f_0 + g'_i$ . By the inductive hypothesis, there exist two paths in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[u]}$ :  $g'_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow g', i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $g_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow f_0 + g'$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[v]}$  since all monomials in  $f_0$  are greater than  $[u]$ .

Case 3:  $\bar{h}_1 = \bar{h}_2 = \bar{f}$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $\bar{f} = [v]$ . Then  $g_i = \alpha([v] - h_i) + f_1$  and the difference  $g_1 - g_2 = \alpha(h_2 - h_1)$  coincides (up to a scalar) with one that appears in the pair of edges  $[v] \rightarrow [v] - h_i, i = 1, 2$ . Therefore, the condition of the statement implies  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are connected by a non-oriented path in  $\mathcal{G}_R^{[u]}(X, S)$  for some  $[u] < [v]$ . The last rewriting system is confluent by induction hypothesis, so there exist oriented paths  $g_1 \rightsquigarrow g$  and  $g_2 \rightsquigarrow g$  in  $\mathcal{G}_R^{[u]}(X, S)$ , so the Diamond Condition holds for  $\mathcal{G}_n^{[v]}$ . ■

Recall the Shirshov’s definition of a composition [18] in the free Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$ .

Let  $f, g \in \text{Lie}\langle U \rangle$  be monic Lie-polynomials,  $\bar{f} = [u], \bar{g} = [v]$ . We say that  $f$  and  $g$  form a composition relative to a word  $w$  if  $u = u_1u_2, v = v_1v_2, u_2 = v_1$  ( $u_i, v_i \in U^*$ ). Here  $w = u_1u_2v_2 = u_1v_1v_2$  is a LS-word, and there are two Shirshov bracketings:

$$\{w_{u \leftarrow *}\} = \{ *v_2\}_1, \quad \{w_{v \leftarrow *}\} = \{u_1*\}_2.$$

The Lie polynomial

$$(f, g)_w = \{fv_2\}_1 - \{u_1g\}_2$$

is called a *composition of  $f$  and  $g$  relative to  $w$* . It is important that

$$\overline{(f, g)_w} < [w]. \tag{4}$$

It follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  that if  $f, g \in S$  then

$$[w] \rightarrow g_1 = [w] - \{fv_2\}_1$$

is an edge in  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$ , and so is

$$[w] \rightarrow g_2 = [w] - \{u_1g\}_2.$$

Therefore,  $g_1 - g_2 = (f, g)_w$ .

Suppose  $S$  is a set of monic RLie-polynomials such that the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is *reduced*, i.e., it has the following property: every vertex  $s \in S$  is a terminal vertex of the graph  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S \setminus \{s\})$ . Then  $S$  itself is said to be reduced.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $S$  be a reduced set of monic RLie-polynomials. Suppose all compositions of type  $(s_1, s_2)_w, s_1, s_2 \in S, [w] \in V_n \cap \text{RLS}(X)$ , have the following presentation:*

$$(s_1, s_2)_w = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i, \quad h_i \in \hat{S} \cap V_n, \bar{h}_i < [w]. \tag{5}$$

*Then the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}(V_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is confluent.*

**Proof.** Check the conditions of Proposition 3.6 for a word  $[w] \in \text{RLS}(X) \cap V_n$ . Assume there is a pair of edges in  $\mathcal{G}(V_n)$ :  $[w] \rightarrow g_1, [w] \rightarrow g_2$ .

There are several possible cases.

Case 1. Both edges are of level 0. (This case is actually covered by the classical Composition-Diamond Lemma [18], but we prefer to consider it in our terminology to make the exposition complete.) Then

$$g_1 = [w] - h_1, \quad g_2 = [w] - h_2,$$

$h_i \in \widehat{\{s_i\}}_0 \cap V_n, s_i \in S$ . Let  $[u] = \bar{s}_1$  and  $[v] = \bar{s}_2$ .

Recall the following

**Lemma 3.8** ([5]). *Suppose  $u, v, w \in \text{LS}(U)$ ,  $w = aubvc$ , where  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are some words in  $U$  (either of them may be empty). Then there exists a bracketing  $\{a * b * c\}$  such that  $\{a[u]b[v]c\} = [w] + \sum_i \alpha_i [w_i], [w_i] < [w]$ .*

This statement also implicitly appears in [18].

Case 1.1. Let the corresponding occurrences of subwords  $u$  and  $v$  in  $w$  do not intersect. Then  $w = aubvc$ ,  $h_1 = \{as_1bvc\}_1$ ,  $h_2 = \{aubs_2c\}_2$ , where  $\{\dots\}_1$  and  $\{\dots\}_2$  are the Shirshov bracketings on  $w_{u \leftarrow *}$  and  $w_{v \leftarrow *}$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 &= \{aubs_2c\}_2 - \{as_1bvc\}_1 \\ &= \{aubs_2c\}_2 - \{a[u]bs_2c\}_{12} + \{as_1b[v]c\}_{12} - \{as_1bvc\}_1 \\ &\quad + \{a[u]bs_2c\}_{12} - \{as_1bs_2c\}_{12} + \{as_1bs_2c\}_{12} - \{as_1b[v]c\}_{12} \\ &= (\{aubs_2c\}_2 - \{a[u]bs_2c\}_{12}) + (\{as_1b[v]c\}_{12} - \{as_1bvc\}_1) \\ &\quad + \{a([u] - s_1)bs_2c\}_{12} + \{as_1b(s_2 - [v])c\}_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{a * b * c\}_{12}$  is the bracketing from Lemma 3.8. In the last expression, all summands belong to linear span of  $h_i \in \hat{S} \cap V_n$  with  $\bar{h}_i < [w]$ , so  $g_1 - g_2$  has the required presentation (3).

Case 1.2. Let the corresponding occurrences of  $u$  and  $v$  in  $w$  intersect:  $u = u_1u_2, v = v_1v_2, u_2 = v_1$ . Then  $z = uv_2 = u_1v$  is a LS-word,  $w = azb = auv_2b = au_1vb$ ,  $h_1 = \{as_1v_2b\}_1, h_2 = \{au_1s_2b\}_2$ , where  $\{a * v_2b\}_1$  and  $\{au_1 * b\}$  are the Shirshov bracketings on  $w_{u \leftarrow *}$  and  $w_{v \leftarrow *}$ , respectively. Consider also the Shirshov bracketings  $\{a * b\}_0$  on  $w_{z \leftarrow *}$  and  $\{*v_2\}_{01}, \{u_1*\}_{02}$  on  $z_{u \leftarrow *}$  and  $z_{v \leftarrow *}$ , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 &= \{au_1s_2b\}_2 - \{as_1v_2b\}_1 \\ &= \{a\{u_1s_2\}_{02}b\}_0 - \{a\{s_1v_2\}_{01}b\}_0 \\ &= -\{afb\}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f = (s_1, s_2)_z$ . Since  $f = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i, \bar{h}_i < [z], h_i \in \hat{S} \cap V_n$ , RLie polynomial  $g_1 - g_2$  may be presented in the form (3).

Case 2. The edge  $[w] \rightarrow g_1$  is of positive level  $d, [w] \rightarrow g_2$  is of level 0.

In this case,  $w = a_1 \dots a_m$ ,  $a_i \in U$ ,  $a_k = R([v])$  for some  $k$ , where  $[v] = \bar{h}$ ,  $h \in \{\widehat{s_1}\}_{d-1}$ ,  $s_1 \in S$ . Therefore,  $h_1 = [a_1 \dots a_{k-1}R(h)a_{k+1} \dots a_m]$ . As above,

$$w = aub, \quad [u] = \bar{s}_2,$$

and  $h_2 = \{as_2b\}$ . Since  $S$  is reduced, the occurrence of letter  $a_k = R([v]) \in U$  considered above may appear in either of the subwords  $a$  or  $b$ . Suppose  $a = ca_kc'$  (the second case is analogous). Then

$$w = cR([v])c'ub, \quad c, c', b \in U^* \cup \{\epsilon\},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the empty word. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 &= \{cR([v])c's_2b\} - [cR(h)c'ub] \\ &= \{cR([v])c's_2b\} - \{cR(h)c's_2b\} + (\{cR(h)c's_2b\} - [cR(h)c'ub]), \end{aligned}$$

and the same reasonings as in Case 1.1 show the required relation (3) holds.

Case 3. Both edges  $[w] \rightarrow g_1$ ,  $[w] \rightarrow g_2$  have positive level. In this case,  $w = a_1 \dots a_k \dots a_l \dots a_m$ ,  $a_i \in U$ , where  $a_k = R([u])$ ,  $a_l = R([v])$ , where  $[u] \rightarrow g'_1$  and  $[v] \rightarrow g'_2$  are edges of smaller level, and

$$h_1 = [a_1 \dots R(g'_1) \dots a_l \dots a_m], \quad h_2 = [a_1 \dots a_k \dots R([v]) \dots a_m].$$

Case 3.1. If  $k \neq l$  then one may proceed as in Case 2.

Case 3.2. If  $k = l$ , proceed by induction on the level of edges. Consider  $a_k = a_l = R([u])$  with edges  $[u] \rightarrow g'_1$ ,  $[u] \rightarrow g'_2$  in  $\mathcal{G}(V_{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{G}(V_n)$ . Inductive hypothesis claims  $g'_1 - g'_2 = \sum_i \alpha_i h'_i$ ,  $h'_i < [u]$ . Therefore,

$$g_1 - g_2 = [a_1 \dots R(g'_1 - g'_2) \dots a_m]$$

also has a required presentation (3). ■

The entire system  $S$  is *closed with respect to composition* if for every  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  every their composition  $(s_1, s_2)_w$  may be presented as

$$(s_1, s_2)_w = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i, \quad h_i \in \hat{S}, \quad \bar{h}_i < [w], \quad \deg_R h_i \leq \deg_R w.$$

A reduced set of monic RLie-polynomials which is closed with respect to composition is called a *Gröbner–Shirshov basis* (GSB) in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ .

Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 immediately imply

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $S$  is a GSB in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ . Then the rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$  is confluent.* ■

**Corollary 3.10.** *If  $S$  is a GSB in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  then the set of  $S$ -reduced words forms a linear basis of the algebra  $\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle = \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle / I_R(S)$ .*

**Proof.** Terminal vertices of  $\mathcal{G}(X, S)$  are exactly linear combinations of  $S$ -reduced words. ■

**Example 3.11.** Let  $S$  consist of all  $R([u])R([v])$ ,  $[u], [v] \in \text{RALS}(X)$ ,  $[u] > [v]$ . Then  $S$  is a reduced system closed with respect to compositions, and the set of  $S$ -reduced words coincides with  $\text{RALS}(X)$ .

Obviously,  $\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle \simeq \text{RALie}\langle X \rangle$ , so  $\text{RALS}(X)$  is indeed the linear basis of  $\text{RALie}\langle X \rangle$ .

More generally, let  $L$  be a Lie algebra, and let  $(X, \leq)$  be an ordered linear basis of  $L$ .

**Example 3.12.** The set  $W$  of all words  $[w] \in \text{RALS}(X)$  such that  $w$  do not contain subwords of type  $xy$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x > y$ , form a linear basis of  $U_{RA}(L)$ .

It is easy to see that

$$S = \{R([u])R([w]) \mid [u], [w] \in W, u > w\} \cup \{xy - [x, y] \mid x, y \in X, x > y\}$$

is a GSB, and  $\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle \simeq U_{RA}(L)$ .

#### 4. Rota–Baxter Lie algebras

Let  $\text{RBLie}$  denotes the variety of Lie algebras equipped with a Rota–Baxter operator  $R$  of weight  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ , i.e., a linear map satisfying (1).

Consider the forgetful functor  $\text{RBLie} \rightarrow \text{Lie}$ . For every  $L \in \text{Lie}$  there exists universal enveloping algebra  $U_{RB}(L) \in \text{RBLie}$ :  $L \subset U_{RB}(L)$  is a Lie subalgebra such that for every  $B \in \text{RBLie}$  and for every homomorphism  $\varphi : L \rightarrow B$  of Lie algebras there exists unique homomorphism of  $\text{RBLie}$  algebras  $\bar{\varphi} : U_{RB}(L) \rightarrow B$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}|_L = \varphi$ . In this Section, we clarify the structure of  $U_{RB}(L)$  and prove an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem.

Suppose  $L$  is a Lie algebra with a linear basis  $X$ . Assume  $X$  is well ordered. Consider

$$S^{(0)} = \{xy - [x, y] \mid x, y \in X, x > y\} \subset \text{Lie}\langle X \rangle \subset \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle.$$

Here  $[x, y]$  is a linear form in  $X$  equal to the product of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $L$ . Then  $S^{(0)}$  is a GSB in  $\text{Lie}\langle X \rangle$  and, therefore, in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ . Moreover,  $L \simeq \text{Lie}\langle X \mid S^{(0)} \rangle$ .

Now, consider

$$\rho(x, y) = R(x)R(y) - R(R(x)y) + R(R(y)x) - \lambda R([x, y]), \quad x, y \in X, x > y,$$

and let  $S^{(2)} \subset \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  be the union of  $S^{(0)}$  and the set of all  $\rho(x, y)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$  the subgraph  $\mathcal{G}(V_2)$  of  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(2)})$ . Obviously,  $S^{(2)}$  is a GSB: it is reduced, and the graph  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(2)})$  has no ambiguities.

Proceed by induction on  $R$ -degree. Assume a reduced system  $S^{(n)}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is already constructed in such a way that the subgraph  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)} = \mathcal{G}(V_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(n)})$  is a confluent rewriting system. Denote by  $T_n$  the set of terminal vertices of  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ , and let  $t_n : V_n \rightarrow T_n$  be the linear map that turns an  $\text{RLie}$ -polynomial  $f$ ,  $\deg_R f \leq n$ , into the terminal vertex  $t_n(f)$  connected with  $f$ .

For every two terminal words  $a, b \in T_n \cap \text{RLS}(X)$ ,  $\deg_R a + \deg_R b = n - 1$ ,  $a > b$ , consider

$$\rho(a, b) = R(a)R(b) - R(t_n([R(a), b])) + R(t_n([R(b), a])) - \lambda R(t_n([a, b])),$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  stands for the product in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ . Construct

$$S^{(n+1)} = S^{(n)} \cup \{\rho(a, b) \mid a, b \in T_n \cap \text{RLS}(X), \deg_R a + \deg_R b = n - 1, a > b\}.$$

It is easy to see from the construction that the subgraph  $\mathcal{G}(V_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(n+1)})$  coincides with  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ .

We have to resolve the following problems:

- Prove the confluence of  $S^{(n+1)}$  (assuming  $S^{(n)}$  is confluent);
- Show the isomorphism of  $\text{RLie}$ -algebras  $\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle \simeq U_{RB}(L)$ , where  $S$  is the union of all  $S^{(n)}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ;
- Describe the set of all  $S$ -reduced words in  $\text{RLS}(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f, g \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ ,  $\deg_R f + \deg_R g = n$ . Then  $t_n([f, t_n(g)]) = t_n([f, g])$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $[f, g]$  and  $[f, t_n(g)]$  belong to the same connected component of  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ . Since the latter is confluent,  $t_n([f, g]) = t_n([f, t_n(g)])$ . ■

**Lemma 4.2.** *The rewriting system  $\mathcal{G}^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{G}(V_{n+1}) \subset \mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(n+1)})$  is confluent.*

**Proof.** Here we assume by induction that  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)} = \mathcal{G}(V_n) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_R(X, S^{(n+1)})$  is confluent. It is enough to check the conditions of Proposition 3.7 for compositions  $(s_1, s_2)_w$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in S^{(n+1)}$ ,  $[w] \in \text{RLS}(X)$ ,  $\deg_R w = n + 1$ . Suppose  $s_1 = \rho(a, b)$ ,  $s_2 = \rho(b, c)$ ,  $w = R(a)R(b)R(c)$ ,  $a, b, c \in T_n \cap \text{RLS}(X)$ ,  $a > b > c$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a, b) &= R(a)R(b) - \sum_i \gamma_i R(c_i), \\ \rho(b, c) &= R(b)R(c) - \sum_j \alpha_j R(a_j), \\ \rho(a, c) &= R(a)R(c) - \sum_l \beta_l R(b_l), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\deg_R c_i, \deg_R a_j, \deg_R b_l < n - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1, s_2)_w &= [\rho(a, b), R(c)] - [R(a), \rho(b, c)] = [R(a)R(b), R(c)] - [R(a), R(b)R(c)] \\ &\quad - \sum_i \gamma_i [R(c_i), R(c)] + \sum_j \alpha_j [R(a), R(a_j)] \\ &= -[R(b), \rho(a, c)] - \sum_l \beta_l [R(b), R(b_l)] \\ &\quad - \sum_i \gamma_i [R(c_i), R(c)] + \sum_j \alpha_j [R(a), R(a_j)] \\ &= -[R(b), \rho(a, c)] + K(a, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $h = [R(b), \rho(a, c)] \in \hat{S}^{(n)}$ ,  $\bar{h} = [R(b)R(a)R(c)] < [w]$ , and all monomials in

$$K(a, b, c) = \sum_j \alpha_j [R(a), R(a_j)] - \sum_l \beta_l [R(b), R(b_l)] - \sum_i \gamma_i [R(c_i), R(c)]$$

are smaller than  $[w]$  since they are of degree two in  $U$ . Straightforward computations show

$$K(a, b, c) = \sum_k \xi_k h_k + R(J(a, b, c)),$$

where  $h_k \in \hat{S}^{(n)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J(a, b, c) &= t_n([R(a), t_n([R(b), c] + [b, R(c)] + \lambda[b, c])] + [a, t_n([R(b), R(c)])]) \\ &\quad + \lambda[a, t_n([R(b), c] + [b, R(c)] + \lambda[b, c])] \\ &\quad - [R(b), t_n([R(a), c] + [a, R(c)] + \lambda[a, c])] - [b, t_n([R(a), R(c)])] \\ &\quad - \lambda[b, t_n([R(a), c] + [a, R(c)] + \lambda[a, c])] \\ &\quad - [t_n([R(a), R(b)]), c] - [t_n([R(a), b] + [a, R(b)] + \lambda[a, b]), R(c)] \\ &\quad - \lambda[t_n([R(a), b] + [a, R(b)] + \lambda[a, b]), c]. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $[R(b), R(c)] \rightarrow R(t_n([R(b), c] + [b, R(c)] + \lambda[b, c]))$  is an edge in  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ , so  $t_n([R(b), R(c)]) = \sum_j \alpha_j R(a_j)$ . Moreover,  $t_n(R(x)) = R(t_n(x))$  for all  $x \in V_{n-1}$ .

It remains to apply Lemma 4.1 to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} J(a, b, c) &= t_n(\text{Jac}(R(a), R(b), c) + \text{Jac}(R(a), b, R(c)) + \text{Jac}(a, R(b), R(c))) \\ &\quad + \lambda \text{Jac}(R(a), b, c) + \lambda \text{Jac}(a, R(b), c) + \lambda \text{Jac}(a, b, R(c)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \text{Jac}(a, b, c) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Jac}(x, y, z) = [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] - [[x, y], z]$  is the Jacobian. Hence,  $(s_1, s_2)_w$  has a required presentation (5). ■

Denote  $S = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} S^{(n)}$ . Obviously,  $S$  is a GSB. Denote by  $T$  the set of terminal vertices in  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$ ,  $T = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T_n$ .

**Lemma 4.3.**  *$\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle$  is a Rota–Baxter Lie algebra.*

**Proof.** We have to prove

$$[R(f), R(g)] - R([R(f), g]) - R([f, R(g)]) - \lambda R([f, g]) \in I_R(S) \tag{6}$$

for all  $f, g \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$ . Since for every  $f \in \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $f - t \in I_R(S)$ , it is enough to check (6) for  $f = a, g = b$ , where  $a, b \in T \cap \text{RLS}(X)$ . Assume  $a \in T_n, b \in T_m$ . Then  $[R(a), R(b)]$  and  $R([R(a), b] + [a, R(b)] + \lambda[a, b])$  have the same terminal form in  $\mathcal{G}^{(n+m+2)}$ , so they are connected by a non-oriented path in  $\mathcal{G}_R(X, S)$ . Hence, (6) holds. ■

**Corollary 4.4.**  $\text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle \simeq U_{RB}(L)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B \in \text{RBLie}$ , and let  $\varphi : L \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Identify  $L$  with the Lie subalgebra in  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle$  spanned by  $X$ . Then there exists unique homomorphism of  $\text{RLie}$ -algebras  $\psi : \text{RLie}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow B$  such that  $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . Denote by  $\tau$  the natural homomorphism  $\text{RLie}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle$ ,  $\text{Ker } \tau = I_R(S)$ . Since for every  $f \in V_n$  we have  $f - t_n(f) \in \text{Ker } \tau$ , Lemma 4.3 implies  $S^{(n)} \subset \text{Ker } \tau$ . Therefore, there exists a homomorphism of  $\text{RLie}$ -algebras  $\bar{\varphi} : \text{RLie}\langle X \mid S \rangle \rightarrow B$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \psi(x) = \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . ■

The universal enveloping Rota–Baxter Lie algebra  $U_{RB}(L)$  of a Lie algebra  $L$  has a natural ascending filtration induced by (2):

$$U_{RB}^{(n)}(L) = \tau(\text{RLie}^{(n)}\langle X \rangle).$$

Denote by  $\text{gr } U_{RB}(L)$  the associated graded  $\text{RLie}$  algebra. The following statement is ideologically similar to the classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.**  $\text{gr } U_{RB}(L) \simeq U_{RA}(L)$  as Lie algebras.

**Proof.** A GSB of  $U_{RA}(L)$  is given by Example 3.12. It is enough to compare GSBs of  $U_{RA}(L)$  and  $U_{RB}(L)$ . The principal parts of these relations coincide, they are of degree 2. For the latter algebra, the right-hand sides of relations are of degree 1. Therefore,  $U_{RA}(L)$  and  $U_{RB}(L)$  have similar monomial bases of terminal words from RLS (more precisely, from RALS), and the principal part of the product of two such words in  $U_{RB}(L)$  coincides with the product of these words in  $U_{RA}(L)$ . ■

**Corollary 4.6** (c.f. [13]). For  $L = \text{Lie}\langle X \rangle$ , we have  $U_{RB}(L) \simeq \text{RBLie}\langle X \rangle$ . The set of words  $\text{RALS}(X)$  described in Corollary 2.6 is a linear basis of  $\text{RBLie}\langle X \rangle$ .

**Remark 4.7.** For associative algebras the statement of Theorem 4.5 is easy to show by means of Gröbner–Shirshov bases technique for associative Rota–Baxter algebras [3]: multiplication table of an associative algebra  $A$  is closed under all compositions in the free associative Rota–Baxter algebra.

**Remark 4.8.** For commutative algebras, an analogue of Theorem 4.5 also holds. Moreover, there is an explicit construction of the universal enveloping commutative Rota–Baxter algebra  $U_{RB}(A)$  for a given commutative algebra  $A$  (mixed shuffle algebra [11]).

Let us briefly state the construction from [11] (in the case of zero weight) in more natural terms. Consider

$$\text{III}(A) = A^\# \otimes B^\#, \quad B = \text{preCom}\langle A^\# \rangle^{(+)},$$

where  $\text{preCom}\langle V \rangle$  stands for the free pre-commutative algebra (also known as Zinbiel algebra, see [20]) generated by a space  $V$ ,  $Z^{(+)}$  denotes the anti-commutator algebra of an algebra  $Z$ , and  $A^\# = A \oplus \mathbb{k}1_A$ ,  $B^\# = B \oplus \mathbb{k}1_B$  are the algebras obtained by joining external units. Note that  $B$  is an associative and commutative algebra.

Define a linear operator  $R$  on  $\text{III}(A)$  by the following rule:

$$R(a \otimes 1_B) = 1_A \otimes a, \quad a \in A^\#,$$

$$R(a \otimes b) = 1_A \otimes ab, \quad a \in A^\#, b \in B.$$

Here  $ab$  is the product in pre-commutative algebra  $\text{preCom}\langle A^\# \rangle$ . The Zinbiel identity  $(xy)z = x(yz) + x(z y)$  on  $\text{preCom}\langle A^\# \rangle$  implies  $R$  to be a Rota–Baxter operator on  $\text{III}(A)$ . For example,

$$R(a_1 \otimes 1_B)R(a_2 \otimes b) = (1_A \otimes a_1)(1_A \otimes a_2 b)$$

$$= 1_A \otimes (a_1(a_2 b) + (a_2 b)a_1) = 1_A \otimes a_1(a_2 b) + 1_A \otimes a_2(ba_1) + 1_A \otimes a_2(a_1 b).$$

On the other hand,

$$R((a_1 \otimes 1_B)R(a_2 \otimes b) + R(a_1 \otimes 1_B)(a_2 \otimes b)) = R((a_1 \otimes 1_B)(1_A \otimes a_2 b) + (1_A \otimes a_1)(a_2 \otimes b))$$

$$= R(a_1 \otimes a_2 b + a_2 \otimes (a_1 b + ba_1)) = 1_A \otimes a_1(a_2 b) + 1_A \otimes a_2(a_1 b) + 1_A \otimes a_2(ba_1).$$

It is easy to check that the embedding

$$A \rightarrow \text{III}(A), \quad a \mapsto a \otimes 1_B, \quad a \in A,$$

may be extended to a homomorphism of Rota–Baxter algebras  $U_{RB}(A) \rightarrow \text{III}(A)$ . Suppose  $X$  is a linear basis of  $A$  and consider the following elements of  $U_{RB}(A)$ :

$$u = R^{s_1}(x_1 R^{s_2}(x_2 R^{s_3}(\dots R^{s_{n-1}}(x_{n-1} R^{s_n}(x_n)) \dots))), \tag{7}$$

$$x_i \in X, \quad s_1 \geq 0, \quad s_2, \dots, s_n > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Images of these elements  $\text{III}(A)$  are linearly independent since the linear base of  $\text{preCom}\langle A^\# \rangle$  is given by  $x_1(x_2(\dots(x_{n-1}x_n)\dots))$ ,  $x_i \in X \cup \{1_A\}$  [12]. On the other hand, the set of (7) obviously span  $U_{RB}(A)$ . Therefore, (7) is a linear basis of  $U_{RB}(A)$  as well as of  $U_{RA}(A)$  from Remark 2.5.

For nonzero weight, it is enough to replace  $\text{preCom}\langle A^\# \rangle$  with  $\text{postCom}\langle A^\# \rangle$  (commutative tridendriform algebra, or CTD-algebra, [20]), and set  $B$  to be the associated commutative algebra.

**Remark 4.9.** The statement of Theorem 4.5 also holds for algebras with a Nijenhuis operator (see [4]), i.e., a linear map  $N$  such that

$$[N(x), N(y)] = N([N(x), y]) + N([x, N(y)]) - N^2([x, y]).$$

The route of proof is completely similar to what is stated above. The key computation of a composition is based on the following relation which is easy to check by straightforward computation:

$$\text{Jac}(N(a), N(b), N(c)) = N(\text{Jac}(a, N(b), N(c)) + \text{Jac}(N(a), b, N(c)))$$

$$+ \text{Jac}(N(a), N(b), c) - N^2(\text{Jac}(a, b, N(c)) + \text{Jac}(a, N(b), c))$$

$$+ \text{Jac}(N(a), b, c) + N^3(\text{Jac}(a, b, c)).$$

## References

- [1] Baxter, G., *An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 731–742.
- [2] Bokut, L. A., and Y.-Q. Chen, *Gröbner-Shirshov bases and their calculation*, Bull. Math. Sci. **4** (2014), 325–395.
- [3] Bokut, L. A., Y.-Q. Chen, and J.-J. Qiu, *Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 89–100.
- [4] Cariñena, J., J. Grabowski, and G. Marmo, *Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **15** (2000), 4797–4810.
- [5] Chibrikov, E. S., *On free Lie conformal algebras*, Vestnik Novosibirsk State University **4** (2004), 65–83.
- [6] Connes A., and D. Kreimer, *Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **210** (2000), 249–273.
- [7] Duchamp, G., and D. Krob, *The free partially commutative Lie algebra: Bases and ranks*, Adv. Math. **95** (1992), 92–126.
- [8] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., and L. Guo, *Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 320–339.
- [9] Gubarev, V. Yu., and P. S. Kolesnikov, *Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota-Baxter algebras*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), 226–245.
- [10] Gao, X., and L. Guo, *Rota’s Classification Problem, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases*, J. Algebra **470** (2017), 219–253.
- [11] Guo, L., “An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra,” International Press (US) and Higher Education Press (China), 2012.
- [12] Loday, J.-L., *Dialgebras*, in: Loday J.-L., A. Frabetti, F. Chapoton, and F. Goichot, Eds., “Dialgebras and related operads,” Lectures Notes in Mathematics **1763**, Springer, Berlin etc., 2001, 7–66,
- [13] Qiu, J., and Y. Chen, *Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Lie  $\Omega$ -algebras and free Rota-Baxter Lie algebras*, J. Algebra and its Appl., to appear, arXiv:1604.06675.
- [14] Rota, G.-C., *Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 325–329 and *ibid.* **75** (1969), 330–334.
- [15] Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M. A., *What is a classical  $r$ -matrix?*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **17** (1983), 259–272.
- [16] Shirshov, A. I., *On free Lie rings*, Mat. Sb. **45** (1958), 113–122 (Russian).

- [17] —, *On a hypothesis of the theory of Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zhurn. **3** (1962), 297–301 (Russian).
- [18] —, *Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zhurn. **3** (1962), 292–296 (Russian).
- [19] Newman, M. H. A., *On theories with a combinatorial definition of “equivalence”*, Ann. of Math. **43** (1942), 223–243.
- [20] Zinbiel G. W., *Encyclopedia of types of algebras 2010*, arXiv:1101.0267 [math.RA].

Vsevolod Gubarev  
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics  
Akad. Koptyug prosp., 4  
Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia  
and  
Novosibirsk State University  
Pirogov str., 2  
Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia  
wsewolod89@gmail.com

Pavel Kolesnikov  
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics  
Akad. Koptyug prosp., 4  
Novosibirsk, 630090  
Russia  
pavelsk@math.nsc.ru

Received March 3, 2016  
and in final form February 2, 2017