

Homogeneous Distributions on Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces

Huajian Xue

Communicated by T. Kobayashi

Abstract. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a local field F . Let $\chi: F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an arbitrary character of F^\times . We determine the structure of the natural representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on the space $\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi$ of χ -invariant distributions on V .

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 22E50.

Key Words and Phrases: Representation, Schwartz function, tempered distribution, degenerate principal series.

1. Introduction

Let V be a vector space over a local field F , of finite dimension $n \geq 1$. For every $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and every distribution η on V , define

$$g.\eta := \text{the push-forward of } \eta \text{ through the map } g: V \rightarrow V. \quad (1)$$

For each character $\chi: F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, a distribution η on V is said to be χ -invariant if

$$a.\eta = \chi(a)\eta, \quad \text{for all } a \in F^\times.$$

Here and as usual, F^\times is identified with the center of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. By [2, Theorem 4.0.2], we know that every χ -invariant distribution on V is tempered when F is archimedean. By convention, every distribution on V is defined to be tempered when F is non-archimedean. The goal of this paper is to understand the space

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi := \{\eta \in \mathcal{S}^*(V) \mid a.\eta = \chi(a)\eta\} \quad (2)$$

of χ -invariant tempered distributions on V , as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ under the action (1). Here and as usual, $\mathcal{S}(V)$ denotes the space of Schwartz or Schwartz-Bruhat functions on V , when F is respectively archimedean or non-archimedean; and $\mathcal{S}^*(V)$ denotes the space of all (continuous in the archimedean case) linear functionals on $\mathcal{S}(V)$. It is a fundamental fact in Tate's thesis that the space (2) is one dimensional when $n = 1$ (see [17, Section 1]). Thus we will focus on the case when $n \geq 2$.

Dualizing the action (1), we have a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on $\mathcal{S}(V)$ by

$$(g.f)(x) := f(g^{-1}x), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathrm{GL}(V), f \in \mathcal{S}(V), x \in V.$$

Define the normalized (F^\times, χ) -coinvariant space

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi(V) := \left(\mathcal{S}(V) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{F^\times, \chi}, \quad (3)$$

where $|\det|_F$ denotes the positive character

$$\mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad g \mapsto |\det(g)|_F,$$

$|\cdot|_F$ denotes the normalized absolute value on F , and for a smooth representation U of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, $U_{F^\times, \chi}$ denotes the maximal (Hausdorff in the archimedean case) quotient of U on which F^\times acts through the character χ . Here and as usual, we do not distinguish a one dimensional representation with its corresponding character. Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi \cong \left(\mathcal{S}_{\chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}}(V) \right)^* \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

as representations of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Here and henceforth, we use a superscript $*$ to indicate the dual space in various contexts. Thus we only need to study the representation (3).

As before, write $\mathcal{S}(V \setminus \{0\})$ for the space of Schwartz or Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $V \setminus \{0\}$, when F is respectively archimedean or non-archimedean (see [1] for the definition of Schwartz functions in the archimedean case). Similar to (3), define

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) := \left(\mathcal{S}(V \setminus \{0\}) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{F^\times, \chi}. \quad (5)$$

Then the embedding $\mathcal{S}(V \setminus \{0\}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$ induces a homomorphism

$$j_\chi : \mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\chi(V) \quad (6)$$

of representations of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$.

It is easy to see that the representation $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ is isomorphic to a degenerate principal series. More precisely, fix an arbitrary nonzero vector $v_0 \in V$, and write $P(v_0) = F^\times \times P^\circ(v_0)$ for the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ stabilizing Fv_0 , where $P^\circ(v_0)$ denotes the stabilizer of v_0 in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Then the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(V \setminus \{0\}) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(\mathrm{GL}(V)), \\ \phi \otimes 1 &\mapsto \left(g \mapsto \int_{F^\times} \phi((ga)^{-1}v_0) \cdot |\det(ga)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \chi^{-1}(a) \, d^\times a \right) \end{aligned}$$

induces a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -intertwining isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P(v_0)}^{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\chi \boxtimes 1). \quad (7)$$

Here $d^\times a$ is a Haar measure on the multiplicative group F^\times . And “Ind” indicates the normalized smooth induction, on which $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts by right translation. While “1” stands for the trivial character of $P^\circ(v_0)$ and “ \boxtimes ” indicates the external tensor product. The structure of this degenerate principal series is well-known (see Lemma 4.1).

Denote by C_F the submonoid of $\mathrm{Hom}(F^\times, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ generated by characters of the form $\iota|_{F^\times} : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, where $\iota : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous field embedding. Explicitly, denote by \mathbb{N} the set of non-negative integers. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, let ι be the natural imbedding of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{C} . If $F = \mathbb{C}$, let ι_1, ι_2 be the identity map and complex conjugate respectively. Then

$$C_F = \begin{cases} \{(\iota|_{\mathbb{R}^\times})^r | r \in \mathbb{N}\}, & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R}; \\ \{(\iota_1|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})^r (\iota_2|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})^s | r, s \in \mathbb{N}\}, & \text{if } F = \mathbb{C}; \\ \{1\}, & \text{if } F \text{ is non-archimedean.} \end{cases}$$

In Section 2, we will define an irreducible finite dimensional representation $\sigma_{V, \chi}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ for each $\chi \in C_F$. Note that

$$C^+(n) := |\cdot|_F^{\frac{n}{2}} C_F \quad \text{and} \quad C^-(n) := \{\chi^{-1} | \chi \in C^+(n)\}$$

are disjoint subsets of $\mathrm{Hom}(F^\times, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

Now the main result of this paper is formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let V be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) vector space over a local field F , and let χ be a character of F^\times . Define the homomorphism $j_\chi : \mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ as (6). Then we have the followings:*

- (a) *If $\chi \notin C^+(n) \cup C^-(n)$, then j_χ is an isomorphism of irreducible representations.*
- (b) *If $\chi \in C^+(n)$, then j_χ is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation, and the corresponding quotient representation is isomorphic to $\sigma_{V, \chi \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \otimes |\det|_F^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*
- (c) *If $\chi \in C^-(n)$, then both $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ have length 2 and have a unique irreducible subrepresentation. The unique irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ is isomorphic to*

$$\ker(j_\chi) \cong \mathrm{coker}(j_\chi) \cong \left(\sigma_{V, \chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \otimes |\det|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^*.$$

The space $\mathcal{S}(V)$ carries an action of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$ which is defined by

$$((g_1, g_2) \cdot f)(x) := f(g_2^{-1} x g_1), \quad (g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V), f \in \mathcal{S}(V), x \in V. \quad (8)$$

The Weil representation ω of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is defined to be

$$\omega := \mathcal{S}(V) \otimes \left(|\cdot|_F^{\frac{n}{2}} \boxtimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

In the theory of local theta correspondence, one is interested in the decomposition of ω into irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Let χ be a character of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$. View $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(V)$) as the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \{1\}$ (resp. $\{1\} \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$) of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$, and view χ as the character $\chi \boxtimes 1$ of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \{1\}$. Denote by $(\omega)_{\mathrm{GL}_1(F), \chi}$ the maximal (Hausdorff in the archimedean case) quotient of ω on which $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ acts through the character χ . By [12, Chapter 2, Lemma III.4], there is a unique (up to isomorphism) smooth representation $\Theta(\chi)$, called the full theta lift of χ , of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that

$$(\omega)_{\mathrm{GL}_1(F), \chi} \cong \chi \boxtimes \Theta(\chi)$$

as representations of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Note that in (8), $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}(V)$ by right translation. While in (3), $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ is viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and acts on $\mathcal{S}(V)$ by left translation. It follows that

$$\Theta(\chi) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(V)$$

in our setting. It is a fundamental fact that the full theta lift always has a unique irreducible quotient whenever it is nonzero (see [7] [16] [11] [5] [4]). Howe also expects that in many cases, the full theta lift also has a unique irreducible subrepresentation, and the irreducible subrepresentation is “large” and the irreducible quotient representation is “small”. Theorem 1.1 provides some evidences for these expectations.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Binyong Sun for the encouragements and fruitful discussions. He also thanks the referee for his careful reading and very helpful comments and suggestions on the previous version of this paper.

2. Distributions supported at 0

We continue with the notation of the Introduction. For every $\chi \in \mathbb{C}_F$, we shall define a representation $\sigma_{V, \chi}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ in what follows. If F is non-archimedean, we define $\sigma_{V, 1}$ to be the one dimensional trivial representation for the unique member $1 \in \mathbb{C}_F$. If $F \cong \mathbb{R}$, let $\chi = (\iota|_{F^\times})^r \in \mathbb{C}_F$, ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) (as is defined in the Introduction), we define

$$\sigma_{V, \chi} := \mathrm{Sym}^r(V \otimes_{F, \iota} \mathbb{C}).$$

Here and henceforth, Sym^r indicates the r -th symmetric power. If $F \cong \mathbb{C}$, let $\chi = (\iota_1|_{F^\times})^r \cdot (\iota_2|_{F^\times})^s \in \mathbb{C}_F$, ($r, s \in \mathbb{N}$), we define

$$\sigma_{V, \chi} := \mathrm{Sym}^r(V \otimes_{F, \iota_1} \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s(V \otimes_{F, \iota_2} \mathbb{C}).$$

In all cases, $\sigma_{V, \chi}$ is an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of central character χ .

Let $\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})$ denotes the space of tempered distributions on V whose support is contained in $\{0\}$. For each character $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, put

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})^\chi := \mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi,$$

which is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$.

Denote by δ_0 the Dirac distribution. Set $V = F^n$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, for $I = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let ∂^I be the differential operator which takes k_i -th derivative for the i -th variable for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $F = \mathbb{C}$, define $\partial^{I_1} \bar{\partial}^{I_2}$ for $I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ similarly. By [13, Theorem 1.7], we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{span}\{\partial^I \delta_0 | I \in \mathbb{N}^n\}, & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R}; \\ \mathrm{span}\{\partial^{I_1} \bar{\partial}^{I_2} \delta_0 | I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^n\}, & \text{if } F = \mathbb{C}; \\ \mathrm{span}\{\delta_0\}, & \text{if } F \text{ is non-archimedean.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to deduce the following

Lemma 2.1. *If $\chi \in C_F$, then $\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})^\chi \cong \sigma_{V, \chi}$; otherwise it is zero. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\}) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in C_F} \mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})^\chi.$$

3. Fourier transform

Denote by V^* the dual space of V . The Fourier transform yields a linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(V) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V^*) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \phi \otimes 1 \mapsto \widehat{\phi} \otimes 1,$$

where

$$\widehat{\phi}(\lambda) := \int_V \phi(x) \psi(\lambda(x)) \, dx.$$

Here dx is a fixed Haar measure on V , and ψ is a fixed non-trivial unitary character ψ on F . It is routine to check that

$$\mathcal{F}(g \cdot \eta) = g^{-t} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(\eta)), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathrm{GL}(V), \eta \in \mathcal{S}(V) \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here $g^{-t} \in \mathrm{GL}(V^*)$ denotes the inverse transpose of g . Consequently, \mathcal{F} induces a linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi(V) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(V^*) \tag{9}$$

which is intertwining with respect to the isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^*), g \mapsto g^{-t}$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $n \geq 2$, then the space $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ is infinite dimensional.*

Proof. Since $C^+(n)$ and $C^-(n)$ are disjoint, by using the isomorphism (9), it suffices to prove the lemma in the case when $\chi \notin C^-(n)$.

As a reformulation of (4), we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(V)^{\chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \cong (\mathcal{S}_\chi(V))^* \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{10}$$

Note that $\chi \notin C^-(n)$ if and only if $\chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}} \notin C_F$. Thus we only need to show that $\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi$ is infinite dimensional when $\chi \notin C_F$.

For each one dimensional subspace L of V , let η_L denote a nonzero distribution in the one dimensional space $\mathcal{S}^*(L)^\chi$. Assuming $\chi \notin C_F$, we know from Lemma 2.1 that the support of η_L equals L . Then the infinite family

$$\{\text{the push-forward of } \eta_L \text{ through the embedding } L \hookrightarrow V \},$$

where L runs over all one dimensional subspace of V , is linearly independent in $\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi$. This shows that the space $\mathcal{S}^*(V)^\chi$ is infinite dimensional. \blacksquare

4. Proof of theorem 1.1

In this section, assume that $n \geq 2$. Recall the infinite dimensional representation $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) \cong \text{Ind}_{P(v_0)}^{\text{GL}(V)}(\chi \boxtimes 1)$ from the Introduction. Combining [8, Section 2.4 and 3.4] and [6, Theorem 1.1], we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. (a) *If $\chi \notin C^+(n) \cup C^-(n)$, then the representation $\text{Ind}_{P(v_0)}^{\text{GL}(V)}(\chi \boxtimes 1)$ is irreducible; otherwise, it has length 2 and has a unique irreducible subrepresentation.*

(b) *If $\chi \in C^+(n)$, then the irreducible quotient representation of $\text{Ind}_{P(v_0)}^{\text{GL}(V)}(\chi \boxtimes 1)$ is isomorphic to $\sigma_{V, \chi \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \otimes |\det|_F^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

(c) *If $\chi \in C^-(n)$, then the irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{P(v_0)}^{\text{GL}(V)}(\chi \boxtimes 1)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\sigma_{V, \chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \otimes |\det|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^*$.*

Proof. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$. Set $G = \text{GL}(V)$ and $P = P(v_0)$. We may assume that $v_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, thus P has the form $P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{R}^\times & * \\ 0 & \text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \end{pmatrix}$, and $P^\circ = P^\circ(v_0)$ is the subgroup of P with the first column being $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$. The modular character Δ_P of P satisfies

$$\Delta_P(p) = |\det(\text{Ad}_p)| = |a|^{n-1} |\det g|^{-1} = |a|^n |\det p|^{-1},$$

where $p = \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \in P$. Denote by ${}^u\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \otimes 1)$ the non-normalized induction and observe that

$${}^u\text{Ind}_P^G((\chi \boxtimes 1) \otimes \Delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \boxtimes 1).$$

For any representation π of P and any character χ' of G , there is a natural isomorphism

$${}^u\text{Ind}_P^G(\pi \otimes \chi'|_P) \cong \chi' \otimes {}^u\text{Ind}_P^G \pi. \quad (11)$$

It follows that

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \boxtimes 1) \cong |\det|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes {}^u\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \cdot |\cdot|_F^{\frac{n}{2}} \boxtimes 1) \quad (12)$$

by setting $\pi = \chi \boxtimes 1$ and $\chi' = |\det|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) & * \\ 0 & \mathbb{R}^\times \end{pmatrix}$ be another parabolic subgroup of G , and let Q° be the subgroup of Q with the last row being $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Then $Q = Q^\circ \times \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Set $w = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : {}^u\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \boxtimes 1) &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}^u\text{Ind}_Q^G(1 \boxtimes \chi^{-1}), \\ f &\mapsto (g \mapsto f(wg^{-t}w)), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which is intertwining with respect to the isomorphism $G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto wg^{-t}w$.

By ([8], Theorem 3.41, 3.42, 3.43 and 3.44, applied with $k = 1$), the representation ${}^u\text{Ind}_Q^G(1 \boxtimes \chi)$ is irreducible if $\chi \notin |\cdot|^{-\frac{n}{2}}C^+(n) \cup |\cdot|^{-\frac{n}{2}}C^-(n)$; otherwise, it has length 2 and has a unique irreducible subrepresentation. Hence the assertion (a) follows from the isomorphisms (12) and (13).

If $\chi = (\iota_{|\mathbb{R}^\times})^r (r \in \mathbb{N})$. For $I = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ which satisfies $|I| := k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n = r$, we define

$$f_I \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix} := a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \cdots a_n^{k_n}.$$

It is easy to check that $f_I \in {}^u\text{Ind}_Q^G(1 \boxtimes \chi)$, and $W := \text{span}\{f_I | I \in \mathbb{N}^n, |I| = r\}$ is a (finite dimensional) subrepresentation of ${}^u\text{Ind}_Q^G(1 \boxtimes \chi)$ which is isomorphic to $\sigma_{V, \chi}$. Applying the isomorphisms (12) and (13), we get part (c).

Note that the representations $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \boxtimes 1)$ and $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1} \boxtimes 1)$ are contragredient ([15, Lemma 5.2.4]). Thus (c) implies (b), and we complete the proof for $F = \mathbb{R}$.

The proof for the case that $F = \mathbb{C}$ is analogous.

Now suppose that F is non-archimedean, then part (a) of the lemma follows from Theorem 1.1 (i) and Lemma 4.2 of [6]. And parts (b) and (c) follow from Corollary 3.5 of [6]. \blacksquare

The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\}))^* \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\}) \xrightarrow{j_\chi} \mathcal{S}_\chi(V) \rightarrow \left(\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})^{\chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \right)^* \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (14)$$

Here we have used the natural isomorphism

$$\left((\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\}))^* \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{F^\times, \chi} \cong \left(\mathcal{S}^*(V, \{0\})^{\chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \right)^* \otimes |\det|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

of representations of $\text{GL}(V)$.

If $\chi \notin C^-(n)$, then Lemma 2.1 and the exactness of (14) imply that j_χ is surjective. We argue according to the three cases of Theorem 1.1.

Case a: $\chi \notin C^+(n) \cup C^-(n)$.

In this case, $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ is irreducible by Part (a) of Lemma 4.1. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ is nonzero. Therefore the surjective homomorphism j_χ is an isomorphism. This proves Part (a) of Theorem 1.1.

Case b: $\chi \in C^+(n)$.

In this case, by Lemma 3.1, $\ker(j_\chi)$ is a subrepresentation of $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ of infinite codimension. Then Parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 4.1 imply that $\ker(j_\chi) = \{0\}$, which further implies Part (b) of Theorem 1.1.

Case c: $\chi \in C^-(n)$.

In this case, applying Part (b) of Theorem 1.1 to V^* , and using the isomorphism (9), we know that $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V)$ has length 2 and has a unique irreducible subrepresentation. The exact sequence (14) and Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$\text{coker}(j_\chi) \cong \left(\sigma_{V, \chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}} \otimes |\det|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* .$$

Thus the image of j_χ is irreducible, and hence $\ker(j_\chi)$ is irreducible as $\mathcal{S}_\chi(V \setminus \{0\})$ has length 2. Applying Part (c) of Lemma 4.1, this proves Part (c) of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] Aizenbud, A., and D. Gourevitch, “Schwartz functions on Nash Manifolds,” *International Mathematics Research Notices IMRN* 2008, rnm **155**, 37pp.
- [2] Aizenbud, A., D. Gourevitch, and E. Sayag, *Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an Archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis’s theorem*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **149** (2009), 509–567.
- [3] Fulton, W., and J. Harris, “Representation theory,” *Graduate Texts in Math.* **129**, Springer New York etc., 1991.
- [4] Gan, W. T., and B. Sun, *The Howe duality conjecture: quaternionic case*, Preprint, arXiv:1507.04551, 2015.
- [5] Gan, W. T., and S. Takeda, *A proof of the Howe duality conjecture*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016), 473–493.
- [6] Gourevitch, D., *Composition series for degenerate principal series of $GL(n)$* , Preprint, arXiv:1510.05255, 2015.
- [7] Howe, R., *Transcending classical invariant theory*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), 535–552.
- [8] Howe, R., and S. T. Lee, *Degenerate principal series representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$* , *Journal of Functional Analysis* **166** (1999), 244–309.
- [9] Kudla, S. S., *Tate’s thesis*, in: “An Introduction to the Langlands Program,” D. Bump et al., Eds., Springer Science and Business Media, 2004.
- [10] Lighthill, M. J., “Introduction to Fourier analysis and generalised functions,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1958.
- [11] Minguez, A., *Correspondance de Howe explicite: paires duales de type II*, *Annales Scientifiques de l’Éc. Norm. Supér.* **41** (2008), 717–741.
- [12] Mœglin, C., M. F. Vigneras, and J. L. Waldspurger, “Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1291**, Springer Berlin, 1987.

- [13] Stein, E. M., and R. Shakarchi, “Functional analysis,” Princeton Lectures in Analysis **4**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.
- [14] Tate, J., *Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke’s zeta-functions*, Dissertation, Princeton University, 1950.
- [15] Wallach, N., “Real reductive groups I,” Pure and Applied Math. **132**, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [16] Waldspurger, J. L., *Démonstration d’une conjecture de dualité de Howe dans le cas p -adique, $p \neq 2$* , Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings **2** (1990), 267–324.
- [17] Weil, A., *Fonction zêta et distributions*, Séminaire Bourbaki **9** (1966), 523–531.

Huajian Xue
Academy of Mathematics
and Systems Science
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, 100190, China
xuehuajian@126.com

Received July 23, 2016
and in final form June 20, 2017